

Participatory Rural Appraisal at Kalyanipuram Village of Pudukkottai District by RAWE Students, ADAC&RI, Trichy

Comment [WU1]: The abbreviations in the heading should be in full.

Comment [WU2]: No abbreviation

Abstract

Participatory Rural Appraisal as an approach and methods for learning about rural life and conditions from rural people. Due to many revolutions held at field of agriculture, it changed its way of practice into a more scientific way. Technology of doing agriculture was improved from its conventional way. Many challenges have been noticed and they have been suppressed at its best. But even though some problems are raised at farmer's field which are unable to reach brainstorming desk of scientists. This is because the real need of farmers is very minimally noticed and ground level exploration is lacking in this due to many reasons. The suggestions and remedies to their problems should be at their frequency of understanding. In this process we have achieved a model problem shooter and its way of solving the farmer's problems at ground level and helping the farming community in more understandable way. This model can be practiced for having a lively experience of getting infiltrated into the village activities and rural routine.

Key words: RAWE Students - ADAC&RI - PRA - Kalyanipuram Village - Pudukkottai

Comment [WU3]: This are not key words just names of people and places

Introduction

Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA) as an approach and methods for learning about rural life and conditions from rural people. Its extend into analysis, planning and action. PRA closely involve villagers and local officials in the process. The main motto of this participatory rural appraisal is to find all the possible resources and other basic institutional elements in the village and an imitational map was drawn on the ground by making villagers actively participating. After preparing the map some of the methodologies are used to interact with villagers which help us get the real essence of the village condition and their unfelt problems can be observed and The root level cause can be identified which helps us to find the solution quickly and specifically.

Comment [WU4]: Incomplete sentence. What does the sentence mean?

Comment [WU5]: Participating in what?

Comment [WU6]: Take note of the two (the's) added to the sentence and add it in your work.

Anbil Dharmalingam Agriculture College and Research Institute, Trichy is a pioneer Institute in its Education, Extension and Research Activities towards farming community. We are undergone a Rural Agricultural Work Experience Programme from 23/09/19 to 21/12/19 in our 4th year of B.sc Agriculture and we were attached to the Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Vamban to guide our activities. We have selected Kalyanipuram Village at Thiruvarangulam block to carry out our participatory rural appraisal. We have approached each and every farmer to have their involvement in this activity and make use of it.

Comment [WU7]: Change 'are' to 'have'

Comment [WU8]: 23rd September, 2019. (correct date format)

Comment [WU9]: Make use of what and how?

Materials and Methods

Comment [WU10]: Move heading to next page.

Tools used for conducting Participatory Rural Appraisal (Fig. 1)

Comment [WU11]: Don't just list the tools that can be used for this appraisal but rather list the tools you used and how you used them.

1. Village Resource Map and Social Map

The Village Resource Map is a tool that helps us to learn about a community and its resource base. The primary concern is not to develop an accurate map but to get useful information about local perceptions of resources. We have developed the content of the map according to what is important to them. The social map is a map that is drawn which shows the social structures and institutions found in an area. It also helps us to learn about social and economic differences between the households.

2. Venn Diagram and Seasonal Calendar

The Venn diagram on Institutions shows institutions, organizations, groups and important individuals found in the village, as well as the villager's view of their importance in the community. A seasonal calendar is a participatory tool to explore seasonal changes

3. Daily Activity Clocks and Time Series Analysis

Daily Activity Clocks illustrate all of the different kinds of activities carried out in one day. They are particularly useful for looking at relative work-loads between different groups in the community. Comparisons between clocks show who works the longest hours, who concentrates on a few activities and who does a number of tasks in a day, and who has the most leisure time and sleep. Time series analysis helps to know the past history of agriculture and cropping pattern and by that we assess their efficiency of doing agriculture at present time when compared to past.

4. Semi Structured Interview and Focus Group Discussion

Semi Structured Interview helps us to know the individual family condition and their socio economic status. Focus Group Discussion helps to have the meaningful discussion of the village condition and its problems. This helps to bring new ideas in the discussion.

5. Transect Walk and Informal Talk

Transect walk helps to directly feel and experience the village issues by having a walk across the village and observing the things. Informal talk among the villagers helps and to get cope with the villagers and make them very free share their issues and conditions of their living.

6. Priority Matrix and Making Regular Visits

Priority matrix was subjected to all the farmers who took part in the activity and their opinion was taken about their most problematic issues faced by them and ranked them based on their severity. This helps in allocating the major problem triggered in the village. Making regular visits to village helps us to forecast their system of doing work and nature of the mentality among them and also towards the innovation.

Review of Literature

Comment [WU12]: Move to next page.

Robert (1994) describes PRA as a growing family of approaches and methods to enable local people to share enhance and analyze their knowledge of life and conditions, to plan and to act. His applications of PRA include natural resources management, agriculture, poverty and social programs, and health and food security. He has defined PRA as an approach and methods for learning about rural life and conditions from, with and by rural people. He further stated that PRA extends into analysis, planning and action. PRA closely involve villagers and local officials in the process.

Comment [WU13]: Use more recent literature

Loader's *et al.*, (1999) suggests that there are methods which, if sensitively incorporated into the PRA framework, can add value to current PRA-based studies, without compromising the ownership of the research or the validity of the outputs. He revealed that PRA and its forebears have for some time incorporated quantification or classification techniques such as matrix ordering or ranking (with considerable success), but with only limited incorporation of more complex analytical tools.

Comment [WU14]: Use more recent literature.
We are already in the year 2020

Maalim (2006) said that innovative approach to data collection is participatory research. His philosophy is that the researcher is required to acknowledge and appreciate that the research participants have the necessary knowledge and skills to be partners in the whole research process. Illuminating data were collected in late 1999 using PRA techniques, which underscored the Somali community's perception of the healthcare services and how they could be improved to suit their nomadic lifestyle.

Burton (2005) revealed that the power and popularity of PRA are partly explained by the unexpected analytical abilities of local people when catalyzed by relaxed rapport, and expressed through sequences of participatory and especially visual methods. Evidence to date shows high validity and reliability of information shared by local people through PRA compared with data from more traditional methods. Explanations include reversals and shifts of emphasis: from closed to open, individual to group, verbal to visual, and measuring to comparing; and from extracting information to empowering local analysts.

Results and Discussion

After having detailed study and keen observation on the 50 villagers of participatory rural appraisal we have inferred the following aspects about the village. The details regarding socio economic status of 50 families is given in **Table 1**. In Kalyanipuram Village consists of too many marginal and small farmers. Out of 50 farmers 30 (60%) have a land holding of below 2.5

acres and 18 farmers (36%) have a land between 2.5 to 5 acres. A literacy level among the community is moderate. Of the total 50 families studied in Kalyanipuram Village 29 (58%), 15 (30%), 4 (8%) and 2 (4%) had qualified up to primary, secondary, higher secondary and higher education respectively. In Kalyanipuram village 36 (72%) of the farmers had the low income of less than Rs. 50,000, while 11 (22%) had the middle income of Rs. 50,000-2,00,000 and only 3 (6%) farmers had a high income of above Rs. 2,50,000 respectively.

Table 1. Socio Economic Status

Type of farmers	Marginal Farmers (<2.5 Acres)	Small Farmers (2.5-5 Acres)	Medium Farmers (5-10 Acres)	Big Farmers (>10 Acres)
	30 farmers	18 farmers	2 farmers	No farmers
	60%	36%	4%	0%
Literacy levels	Primary Education (1-5 th)	Secondary Education (6-10 th)	Higher Secondary (11-12 th)	Higher Education (Degree)
	29	15	4	2
	58%	30%	8%	4%
Income of the family head	Low income (<50,000)	Medium income (50,000-2,00,000)	High income (>2,50,000)	
	36	11	3	
	72%	22%	6%	

Overall Discussion

Living conditions are not very sound and it is very simple. Farming activity was reduced among the community over the years due to decline in the interest **due** to ill effects of the climate. Very small land holdings are observed. Mono-cropping is a drawback among these village farmers which gives returns after a long time. Many of farmers are turning into laborers and making money in many government schemes. **There is** No awareness among farmers about agricultural schemes and its outcome. Diversity of crop is very narrow which results prolong insect and pest damage. Very conventional type of farming is practiced and innovativeness among the farmers is **very far behind**. Identified Problems are **No** post office, **No** Hospital, **No** bus facilitates, improper maintenance of irrigation channels, **No** storage facilities for agriculture produce, **No** Input stores for agriculture, Labour shortage and Insect and pest damage in fields

Comment [WU15]: Change to 'as a result of' too many 'due to' doesn't sound appealing.

Comment [WU16]: Can't just start with 'no awareness'

Comment [WU17]: Change to 'lacking' or 'is yet to be seen'

Comment [WU18]: Small letters and recast these sentence

Action Plan Proposal

By analysing the problems in Kalyanipuram village, we carried out village development plan. It involves, The organised FPGs should develop as FPO so that collective farming can be done either in marketing of their produce, value addition, running input stores, seed production etc.. Adopting Integrated Technology in Agriculture for Pest and Disease Management. Implement Swatch Bharath Mission on large scale for better sanitation. Common threshing floor to thresh and roll bundler of hay and transporter for sale. Opening of fertilizer and pesticide shop so that inputs are available on time and in case of serious pest attack. Construction of ATM so that they get cash when needed. Construction of storage tanks so that water is available in times of scarcity during February to May. Encourage women to form Self Help Groups and engage them in activities like, basket weaving and mushroom production. Construction of minor irrigation channels and renovation of existing channels. Construction of public toilets to improve sanitation in village. Utilizing Azolla production scheme to farmers having livestock given by MGNREGS Scheme. Proper maintenance of common vermicompost production unit constructed by MGNREGS. Many of farmers have a common problem in jasmine. The problem is insect damage in flower buds. We have suggested a few practices which will reduce crop damage and helps in increase in yield.

Conclusion

These are issues and solutions that we felt to have a better and healthy way of living in kalyanipuram village. This participatory rural appraisal has helped us to have a booth level diagnosis about every problems and its root level solution. This activity created a peculiar feeling and different experience with farmers. In spite of growing technology farmers are having many questions unanswerable. This is our small work to a harmony with the peasants and let our eyes see live visuals of their services to our mother earth. Each and every agriculturist should strive for well being of farmer agriculture across the world. We hope our work might help this village to get out of constrains and be a model blue print for a successful agricultural village.

References

Robert, C. 1994. Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA): Analysis of Experience, Elsevier Ltd., 22 (9). pg. 1253-1268.

Comment [WU19]: And means of transportation.

Comment [WU20]: That , please do direct reporting not we or i

Comment [WU21]: Remove of highlighted in yellow above and the pink high light here

Comment [WU22]: without answer

Comment [WU23]: please remove

- Maalim, A.D. 2006. Participatory Rural Appraisal Techniques in Disenfranchised Communities. Wiley online library. 53 (3). pg. 178-188
- Burton, S. 2005. Improving Agricultural Extension. Food and agricultural organization of the United Nations. Daya Publishing Home. First Edition. Pg. 47-57.
- Loader, R and L. Amartya. 1999. Participatory Rural Appraisal: Extending the research methods base. Agricultural Systems. 62 (2). pg. 73-85.
- Neela, M. 1993. Participatory Rural Appraisal and Questionnaire Survey. Concept Publication Company. Pg. 50-73.
- Nicole, M., Tony, B, Etienne, N and R Kate. 2010. Empowerment for Development: Taking Participatory Appraisal Further In Rural South Africa. Development in Practice. 9 (3). pg. 261-273
- Luigi, C. 2003. Participatory Rural Appraisal Concepts Methodologies and Techniques. John Publishing Company. 4(3) pg. 1-26.

Fig. 1. Tools used for conducting Participatory Rural Appraisal





Time Series Analysis

2019-2010	2009-20100	1999-1990	1989-1980
பருக்கி	பருக்கி	பருக்கி	பருக்கி
பருக்கி	பருக்கி	பருக்கி	பருக்கி
பருக்கி	பருக்கி	பருக்கி	பருக்கி
பருக்கி	பருக்கி	பருக்கி	பருக்கி
பருக்கி	பருக்கி	பருக்கி	பருக்கி
பருக்கி	பருக்கி	பருக்கி	பருக்கி
பருக்கி	பருக்கி	பருக்கி	பருக்கி
பருக்கி	பருக்கி	பருக்கி	பருக்கி
பருக்கி	பருக்கி	பருக்கி	பருக்கி
பருக்கி	பருக்கி	பருக்கி	பருக்கி
பருக்கி	பருக்கி	பருக்கி	பருக்கி
பருக்கி	பருக்கி	பருக்கி	பருக்கி
பருக்கி	பருக்கி	பருக்கி	பருக்கி
பருக்கி	பருக்கி	பருக்கி	பருக்கி
பருக்கி	பருக்கி	பருக்கி	பருக்கி
பருக்கி	பருக்கி	பருக்கி	பருக்கி

கல்யாணிபுரம்



UNDE