



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_53794
Title of the Manuscript:	Attitude of the Farmers towards IARI-Post Office Linkage Extension Model: Scale Construction
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript needs to be re-checked to correct English expressions, ideally with the help of a native English speaker.</p> <p>The Keywords and Abbreviation sections are repeated twice. Remove one set</p> <p>In-text citations should be numbered consecutively in square brackets</p> <p>The five-point scale only mentions 4 choices "ie. Strongly Agree, Agree, Disagree, Strongly Disagree".</p> <p>The title should reflect the focus of the manuscript by giving importance to the last two words e.g. "Scale Construction to measure the attitude of the farmers towards the IARI-Post Office Linkage Extension Model"</p> <p>The manuscript starts with an Introduction but lacks any clear Methods, Results or Discussion sections. Everything is mixed together in a diary format.</p> <p>The outcome of this study is not clear. It details very well the process which went into designing the questionnaire but not on whether it was acceptable to farmers, well understood, useful or anything in relation to its application even on a small scale.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Byron Baron</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universty of Malta, Malta</b>