



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_53716
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors Influencing smallholder farmers participation in collective marketing and the extent of participation in Improved Indigenous Chicken markets in Baringo, Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The author need to rework a few areas of the manuscript for example:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The theoretical inputs do not match the theoretical format, what is given as theoretical should be imported from what is the background / introduction, the author should write the introduction covering the rationale for the study, the significance and the problem statement which is lacking to be combined with the objectives of the study/ paper which is already given. 2. There are need to rework the conclusion and there are no recommendations at all. 3. The policy implications do not address the findings of the study, instead it address different aspects not being part of the study. 4. The references need attention with regard to correctness of the sources, and to be italicised where journals have been used. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>Consider spelling e.g</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) In the reference it is indicated as, Edward Martey not Martey Edward unlike in the text in page 13. b) check the name of the journal it seems to be repeated as Econom. J. Econom. Soc.?? In the reference page. c) Remove bracket in the Tobin 1958, page 5 d) Remove brackets and write in full (G.O. K) first line of the list of reference e) correct sequence of 3.2 as duplicated instead of 3.3 in page 13 f) all journals should be italic <p>g) See additional detailed comments in the text/ manuscript.(e.g. incomplete references in page 16-17)</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Elliot Mahlengule Zwane</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Limpopo, South Africa</i>