



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_62252
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of the development performances of Pecan saplings in Aydin ecological conditions
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Scientific name '<i>Carya illinoensis</i>' is not italicized in the manuscript. It must be. 2. All the grphs are mentioned as table, but they are figure, it's confusing. 3. All the graph's X and Y axis are not mentioned properly. 4. Statistical significance is not shown in the graphs (like SD or SE or DMRT test etc.). Graphs should be self-explanatory. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Material and methods writing could be better. 	
Optional/General comments	Good work, but need proper manuscript presentation. Without proper graphs manuscript can't be accepted.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Joydip Karmakar
Department, University & Country	Manual Arts High School, India