SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_57930
Title of the Manuscript:	Multivariate analyses of yield and its components in some peanut genotypes
Type of the Article	MS word paper template

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		TIIS/TIEL TEEQDACK TIELE)
- The service of the		
Minor REVISION comments		
	The description of site and climatic conditions is necessary;	
	Software used for statistical analysis no cited;	
	Firstly give a table for descriptive data analysis (mean. Min-Max, CV), before moving	
	on to analysis and components of variance;	
	The text must be reviewed to improve English language.	
Optional/General comments		
	Two years are not sufficient for selection and breeding programs (more than 3 years)	
	and under difference climate and site conditions.	
	The paper is acceptable for publication in Asian Journal of Advanced Research and	
	Reports with minor changes;	
	In general, the introduction is structured and states the scientific problem;	
	the title of the paper clearly reflect its contents;	
	The results properly presented and the manuscript is adequately discussed.	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Naima Ait Aabd
Department, University & Country	Morocco

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)