



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_47574
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of mental health of the elderly living in the household (with children) with the elderly living in nursing homes in the cities of Tabriz and Urmia (Iran) in 2018
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sd-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The purpose of the manuscript has potential. Although, there are some crucial aspects to reformulate. In the results of abstract, it confuses the comparison of men. On the theory background, there are a lot of different perspectives about mental health. If the manuscript was the purpose to do a theoretical discussion about these perspectives, presents different conceptions had seemed appropriate. However, an empirical investigation is essential to choose a central conception to analyze data. Finally, there is more appointment on the theoretical background as the data of this study. It is necessary to improve the data analysis to enhance the discussions and conclusions.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes. There is no mention of approval from the ethical committee.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marcelo Simões Mendes
Department, University & Country	University of Sorocaba, Brazil