



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Hematology Research Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AHRJ_68040
Title of the Manuscript:	Profile Of Autoimmune Haemolytic Anaemia: analysis of 10 years data from a hematology center in Eastern India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The original article number 68040 reports the clinical experience in autoimmune haemolytic anaemia in eastern India. In particular clinical data, treatment and adverse events therapy related are discussed. Although the novelty lacks (it is well know the role of steroids in first line and of rituximab or azathioprine as second line in the treatment), the manuscript is scientifically robust, in particular clinical and statistical data and the tables.</p> <p>Moreover the authors highlighted the paucity of data in AIHA from developing countries and none of the series have been reported from eastern India.</p> <p>An other strength is the comparison with the data published from western world. Therefore, if possible, in discussion the above concepts should be stressed; in particular a short review of the clinical onset (median age, symptoms, etcetera), of therapeutic data and of relapse free survival available from retrospective studies from developing countries and from principal reports of western world should be summarized in a table and compared with the clinical data of the manuscript.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. RBC in "abbreviations" in not reported.</li> <li>2. Table1: "season of presentation" should be removed.</li> <li>3. The correlation found between degree of anaemia with jaundice and with reticulocyte could be removed.</li> <li>4. Figure 2 "Cumulative Relapse Free Survival instead of percent survival.</li> <li>5. The English language should be revised.</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Endri Mauro</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Haematology Unit, Treviso Hospital, Italy</i>