

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Food Science Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_69771
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF THE FUNCTIONAL, PROXIMATE AND SENSORY PROPERTIES OF COWPEA/SOYBEAN FORTIFIED DABUWA (A NIGERIAN DRIED STIFF PORRIDGE) PRODUCED FROM DIFFERENT CEREALS.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>A very interesting research that deals with an important and scientifically based applicable topic. I have almost no objections to the work itself except that there are too many keywords. Limit keywords to five.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Remark: The introduction mentions millet production and cites literature (Nkama, 1998). I think that for such statistical data, some recent literature should be cited, not a 23-year-old. Maybe the situation hasn't changed, but we don't know that from the old literature.  There are several typographical errors in the text, which must be corrected. E.g. double two-letter, lowercase letter, wrong word order, etc.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	In general, I suggest the paper for publication, after correction according to the above remarks.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Goran Kis</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Zagreb Faculty of Agriculture, Croatia</b>