



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_67061
Title of the Manuscript:	The Design of Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point (HACCP) Plan for chicken nugget Plant
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) In general, the search writing language requires further language revisions throughout the research. 2) Written notes and comments must be made on the attached research file 3) The method of writing references in the entire text of the research is not compatible with the way of writing references in the journal. Therefore, amendments should be made according to what is required in the journal. 4) There are some errors in writing the scientific names for some types of bacteria. Please check and correct. 5) The number of references used in the search is very, very few. Therefore, it is necessary to use a greater number of references, especially recent references, as the references used in the research are old. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	In general, the research is considered good applied studies on an important food product, which is exposed to many risks that may affect human health and safety.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Mohamed Gadallah Elsayed Gadallah</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Qassim University, Saudi Arabia</i>