



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_67061
Title of the Manuscript:	The Design of Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point (HACCP) Plan for chicken nugget Plant
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment Comentario del revisor	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Improve the writing and style, taking care of the repetitions of words 2. Comply with the magazine's standards 3. Develop strong arguments in results 	
Minor REVISION comments	Writing and style of the article improve it	
Optional/General comments	Improve the writing of the English language	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>I do not consider ethical problems but circumstantial improvements</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Frank Angel Lemoine Quintero
Department, University & Country	Eloy Alfaro de Manabí Laica University, Ecuador