



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_66850
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effects of Extraction Conditions on Extraction Yield and Syringin Content in Producing Standardised <i>Tinospora crispa</i> Aqueous Extract with High Antioxidant Activity
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Overall impression The article is poorly written, major improvement is required. Any acronym (DPPH, FRAP, TBA and HPLC) must be written in full for the first time. many grammatical errors in the paper. Reduce spacing between the title of the sub-section and its content (apply it in the whole document). Unnecessary spacing between paragraphs.</p> <p>Abstract This sentence must be reformulated "Nevertheless, so far the knowledge on its extraction procedures towards producing standardized".</p> <p>Introduction Reformulate "The promising in ...research" and "The kinds ...and etc". Make the introduction more concise. The objectives of the study should be included at the end the introduction.</p> <p>Methodology and results For the sub-section title <i>Tinospora crispa</i> should not be abbreviated Section 2.11.3.4 does not exist... The equation must be numbered and written properly. Check your units. Is Syringin appearing at 16.22 ± 0.057 at any temperature (25-100) in TCAE?</p> <p>Discussion Improve the writing.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Figures can be reduced and put in portrait.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kadidiatou O. Ndjoubi
Department, University & Country	Cape Peninsula University of Technology, South Africa