

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_57743
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Addition of Doum Fruits Powder on Chemical, Rheological and Nutritional Properties of Toast Bread
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	-	
Minor REVISION comments	 I recommend minor revision for the following issues: Please mention in Materials and Methods which hedonic scale was used for sensory evaluation of toast bread? Table no.2, in Results and discussions does not display the measure unit for Crude protein, Ether extract, Ash, TC, Crude fiber and AC in the studied flour samples. Table no. 5, in Results in Discussions, does not display the unit of measure for the analysed parameters in samples: Crude protein, Ether extract, Ash, TC, Crude fiber, AC and Caloric value. There are no Conclusions of this study that highlight its importance and applicability in bakery units that produce dietary products. Regarding de References, in the first part of the paper was used the Vancouver system (Number reference system) and in the second part was used APA format. I recommend choosing a single format for the references inserted in the text. 	
Optional/General comments	In general, I think this is an important and interesting topic, especially for people who need a special diet. The materials and methods used are adequate, providing sufficient details of the methods / procedures so that another researcher can reproduce the experiments described. Author/s provides relevant and current references during discussion. The author / authors devoted a lot of time and effort in conducting this study. I hope that my comments can help improve this study.	

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if ag highlight that part in the rr write his/her feedback hei
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Negrea Monica Viorica
Department, University & Country	Banat University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Romania

agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should here)