

Noise pollution in Nigeria's institutions of higher learning: a review

Abstract

Education is the cradle of development and must be properly guarded. Higher institutions are citadels of learning engaging in high intellectual discourse that require quiet ambience. The high noise level in Nigeria's institutions of higher learning has become a growing concern. This paper carried out a review of the studies done concerning noise pollution vis-à-vis the noise sources, its effects and abatement measures put in place if any. Modular mini electric generators, road traffics and religious organization's activities found culpable to the majority of noises produced both inside the institutions and their neighbourhoods. More than 90% of the institutions' community are exposed to disturbing noise levels. Most of the institutions' measured noise levels range between 50 dB(A) and 110 dB(A). Majority of the exposed persons feel helpless while hoping to habituate with the conditions. There is a need, therefore, for the school management to collaborate with the government to ensure that sustainable noise mitigation measures are put in place in the institutions to avert its negatives consequences on students' performance and workers' output.

Keywords: institutions of higher learning, noise pollution, sources, effects, abatement

1. INTRODUCTION

Noise pollution study is gaining prominence by the day as the consequential effects get unravelled. Noise is variously described as an unwanted sound or a sound out of place (WHO, 1999; Agbo, 2020). Noise has been rated third, after gas and water, as pollution affecting human wellbeing (Zannin, et al, 2013). There has been a growing incidence of noise pollution within the tertiary institutions in Nigeria, despite the institutions' position as citadels of knowledge and intellectual discourse. Schools, hospitals and government reserved areas are designated as noise control zones whereby the noise levels must not exceed that stipulated in Nigeria's National Environmental (Noise Standards and Control) Regulations, 2009 (National Environmental Regulations, 2009), but that has not been the case. Nigeria's tertiary education, as at 2020 records about 175 Universities, 115 Polytechnics, 84 Colleges of education and a few Innovation enterprise institutions to complement, spread all over the country. The student intake capacity of these institutions runs in thousands depending on the level of available facilities, as adjudged by the regulatory body, Joint Admissions and Matriculations Board (JAMB). Specifically, in 2016 academic year 560,925 candidates were admitted into the various higher institutions, in 2017 academic year 568,641, in 2018 academic year 583,250 and in 2019 academic year 612,577. Hence, more than 2,325,393 students are in the tertiary institutions in Nigeria, considering the fact that the majority of candidates undertake 4 years' programme. Most of the students live in school hostels and hostels within the neighbourhoods. Many staff and their wards also live in schools' provided staff quarters within the school premises. Academic activities are carried out within the school at both class and individual level. Classes and laboratory work, including workshop practices, are usually taken between 8.00 am and 6.00 pm. Libraries open by 7.30 am and close around 10.00 pm, while individuals continue with their private studies at their own personal rate and timing. These activities are expected to be done within a calm and serene atmosphere for maximum achievement. Nonetheless, the noise pollution in these institutions continues to hamper the effectiveness of teaching and learning in the environment.

2. METHODOLOGY

The paper basically reviewed the studies concerning noise pollution in Nigeria's institutions of higher learning within the past three decades. Data obtained from the studies were analysed and compared with other world-class standards like the World Health Organization's guidelines on community noise to draw inferences on the noise sources, effects and abatement programmes. Various institutions including universities, polytechnics and colleges of education were captured in the review process to determine whether or otherwise the noise pollution cuts across the different educational formations. Reviewed papers covered works carried out in the different geopolitical zones of the country to ensure representative sampling and fair conclusion.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results and discussion contained in the reviewed articles have been organized in three segments covering the sources, effects and abatement strategies. The information contained in the papers reviewed were in some cases juxtaposed with other researches carried out elsewhere to elucidate facts about the claims.

Noise pollution sources identified

Many researchers have identified the sources of noise pollution in the Nigerian institutions of higher learning to include electric power generators, road traffic, religious activities and classroom internally generated noise by the students themselves. Wekpe (2020) evaluated the problem of noise pollution around the communities of the University of Port Harcourt using both cross-sectional and experimental design. The measured noise values across the study area were beyond threshold limits for acceptable noise levels. The noise pollution was attributed to rapid urbanization and industrialization with the associated high number of automobiles, generating plants, industries, and marketing strategies using mobile advertisement vans and mobile vendors, and conversion of residential areas to business and artisanal outfit. Nte and Gbarato did a noise survey of the University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital for both classroom and hostel's environments of the University. The noise levels within the University community ranged from 52 dBA around the wards, 72 dBA around the vehicle parking arena and 112 dBA at the electric power generator yard. Noise levels of between 52 – 75 dBA were recorded at the lecture halls, and 55 – 78 dBA at the hostels depending on the time of the day and activity taken place. Wokocha (2013) evaluated the impacts of industrial noise pollution on industrial workers and, pupils and teachers of schools located near the industries in Rivers State. It was found that the noise level in the industrial areas was high and can impact negatively on the health of the workers and school people. The extensions of urban development and industrialization have subsumed the schools earlier isolated locations causing the school's environment to be noisy. Omubo-Pepple et al. (2010) studied the problem of noise pollution within the Port Harcourt metropolis and revealed that the main sources of noise pollution come from generators, road traffic, and the use of loudspeakers mainly in religious and social activities. Generators contributed to a great extent the noise pollution within the Port Harcourt city because industries, small scale businesses, and even residential areas largely depend on generators for the supply of power.

Ntui (2009) evaluated the environmental noise levels that inconvenience library users at the University of Calabar library and found that the noise level in the University library exceeded the acceptable level of noise set by WHO, and ranging between 43.5 – 88.5 dB(A). The identified

noise sources in decreasing order were noise from people, automobiles, aircraft, cellular phones and equipment. Amakom et al. (2019) conducted noise level measurement at the Federal University of Technology, Owerri (FUTO) using a sound level meter. The average noise levels recorded at FUTO were 67.78 dB at 9.00 am when daily office workers arriving and academic activities are take-off, 71.07 dB at 12.00 pm when lectures and most generating plants were on, and 67.79 dB at 3.00 pm when most activities are winding down, respectively. The high noise was attributed to mini electric power generators used at many different locations in the university.

The following studies which indicated modular electric power generators as the major source of noise within the high institutions have underpinned the consequence of epileptic power supply from the public power supply in Nigeria. Noises within the classrooms by students themselves are getting increasingly high as the number of students per class increases. In a related study outside Nigeria, Servilha and Delatti (2014) identified the perceptions of university students about the noise in classrooms and its consequences on learning quality employing questionnaires with both open and closed questions about the presence, source, type, and valuation of noise, its impact on lessons and strategies to minimize it. The university and the classrooms were considered noisy by the students and indicated themselves as the largest source of the noise. There were, however, other unidentified noise sources not mentioned in the literature reviewed; sudden thunderous cheers and ovations from view centres of European football leagues are now becoming a worrisome noise source within the neighbourhood. This is increasing as more Nigerians play in the league and more fans are joining. Also, noises from churches during all-night vigils and fellowships and from mosques observing early morning call to prayers were underreported or not reported at all. This may be due to faith-based issues, fear of the unknown regarding people's perceptions, depending on which side of the doctrinal divide one finds himself.

Observed noise effects within the academic community

The literature is inundated with various effects of environmental noise generated both internally and externally in many identifiable communities around the world. However, within the Nigerian context, only a few studies have been undertaken and these are mostly subjective in approach relying on references to the World Health Organization's (WHO) compiled studies. The study by Amakom et al. (2019) inferred that noise exposure could greatly reduce the students' academic performance as it has been shown to slow memory rehearsal, influence processes of selectivity in memory, and choice of strategies for carrying out tasks. Noise reduces helping behaviour, increase aggression and reduce the processing of social cues in people. Noisy learning environment hinders sustained attention and visual concentration among school children exposed to it. It causes distractions Ntui (2009). Also, Omubo-Pepple et al. (2010) stated that noise pollution interferes with the ability to comprehend normal speech and may lead to a number of personal disabilities, handicaps, and behavioural changes. Problems with concentration, fatigue, uncertainty, lack of self-confidence, irritation, misunderstandings, decreased working capacity, disturbed interpersonal relationships, and stress reactions were associated with noise. Some of these may lead to increased accidents, disruption of communication in the classroom, and impaired academic performance. Memory recall of subject content, memory recall of incidental details, reading attention and problem solving, are all affected by noise pollution. In experimental research done at a gas plant located at Omoku in Rivers State, Nigeria, the noise levels were found to impact negatively on the blood pressure of the plant workers and teachers,

and school children's performance (Wokocha, 2013). The experiment, however, did not take into consideration the likely confounders among the categories of workers tested such as sedentary office work compared to physical activity workshop work. Inhalation of particulate materials and chemical compounds which depends on the worker location within the plant might also be a co-factor not related in the observed results.

Adeyemi (2014) agreed that good acoustics are fundamental to good academic performance. He recognized that higher student achievement is associated with schools that have less external noise, that outside noise causes increased students' dissatisfaction within their classrooms, and that excessive noise causes stress on students. Evidence of the cumulative effect of excessive classroom noise on student's academic achievement level is more acute for students with hearing impediments and may affect the detection of such impediments. Noise pollution influence verbal interaction, reading comprehension, blood pressure and cognitive task success and may induce feelings of helplessness, inability to concentrate and lack of extended application to learning tasks.

Onuu (2000) observed that in most schools in South-Eastern Nigeria less than 45% sentence intelligibility is possible. The analysis showed that 33.7% of the people interviewed were most annoyed at home by road traffic noise, 44.3% in schools and places of study work. More than 79% of the residents would prefer to live in a quieter area even as there is subjective evidence of adaptation to road traffic noise within the boisterous cities in the region. Egunsola (2014) used ex-post facto and correlation survey to investigate the influence of the home environment on academic performance of senior secondary students in Adamawa state. It was observed that pupils from homes located in an environment where there is noisy traffic, market and noisy sound of the machine from plywood industry were affected negatively in their performance in school because the noisy environment disturbs them from concentrating while reading and studying at home and even while listening to educative radio programmes.

Eom et al. (2006) investigated the determinants of students perceived learning outcomes and satisfaction in university online education using e-learning systems. Aural learners learn by listening. They like to be provided with aural instructions. They enjoy aural discussions and dialogues and prefer to work out problems by talking. They are easily distracted by noise. Assessment of noise and associated health impacts at selected secondary schools in Ibadan, Nigeria carried out by Ana et al. (2009) found out that noise levels indoors (classrooms) and outdoors (playgrounds) across schools were higher than WHO permissible levels for community learning environments. The study by Ajala (2012) analysed the influence of workplace environment on workers' welfare and productivity in government parastatals of Ondo state, Nigeria. He stated that the characteristics of a room or a place of meeting for a group have consequences regarding productivity and satisfaction level. In the open office plan, noise existence is stressful and demotivating, poses a high level of distortion and disturbance coupled with low privacy level. Noise has a negative influence on communication, frustration levels increase while productivity decreases in relation to persistence and loudness of the noise. A reason adduced for this is that spoken communication becomes progressively more difficult as noise levels increase. Less noise in the office means less distraction and full concentration on the assigned job.

Ali et al. (2017) studied the comfort in higher education facilities involving lecture theatres and laboratories in Bayero University, Kano, Nigeria. Although some of the measured and calculated

physical parameters have not met the threshold by ASHRAE 55 and EN15251, the respondents expressed their acceptance of the laboratories' situations subjectively. The acceptance of the condition as normal maybe because the ASHRAE standards were often based on experiments implemented in developed countries, where the severity of the climatic conditions and the culture are dissimilar to Sub Saharan Africa and subjectivity of comfort. It was noted that acoustic discomfort causes fatigue, headaches, annoyance, changes in behaviour and attitude leading to a decrease in intellectual working ability and sleep disorders.

According to WHO (1999), the critical effects of noise in schools are on speech interference, disturbance of information extraction (eg comprehension and reading acquisition), message communication and annoyance. School classrooms and pre-schools, indoors environment for speech intelligibility, disturbance of information extraction and message communication.

According to WHO (1999), the capacity of noise to induce annoyance depends upon many of its physical characteristics, including its sound pressure level and spectral characteristics, as well as the variation of these properties over time. If the noise includes a large proportion of low-frequency components, the adverse effects may increase considerably. For full-sentence intelligibility in listeners with normal hearing, the signal-to-noise ratio should be at least 15 dB(A). Because the sound pressure level of normal speech is about 50 dB(A), noise with sound levels of 35 dB(A) or more interferes with the intelligibility of speech in smaller apartments. The acoustical energy of speech is within the frequency range of 100 – 600Hz, with the most important cue-bearing energy being between 300 – 3000Hz. Since sound reduction is also greater at higher frequencies most problems occur at lower frequencies, where most environmental noise sources produce relatively high sound pressure levels. Noise-induced hearing impairment occurs predominantly in the high-frequency range of 3000 – 6000Hz, the effect being largest at 4000Hz and above 75 dB(A). Also, students' perception of annoyance is much more correlated with the frequency of occurrence of noise rather than with their intensity Classroom acoustics are an important, often neglected, aspect of the learning environment. Inappropriate levels of background noise, reverberation, and signal to noise ratios can inhibit reading and spelling ability, behaviour, attention, concentration, and academic performance. Loud or reverberant classrooms may cause teachers to raise their voices, leading to increased teacher stress and fatigue, and risk voice impairment (Acoustics in Schools, 2009).

Similar researches carried out outside Nigeria also indicated that environmental noise exposure, especially at high levels, is related to mental health symptoms and possibly raised anxiety and consumption of sedative medication (Loreti et al., 2016). Ralte (2014) assessed noise pollution and its effects on human health in Aizawl, Mizoram, India. Evidence has suggested that noise in learning environments has considerable effects on the learning abilities and the general productivity of children in terms of their academic performance as compared to children in serene learning environments. Noise pollution impairs task performance at school and at work, increase errors and decrease motivation. Reading attention, problem-solving, and memory are most strongly affected by noise. Two types of memory deficits have been identified under experimental conditions: recall of subject content and recall of incidental details. Both are adversely influenced by noise. Noise affects the quality of information delivered by the teacher as well as the message received by the students (Larsen et al. 2017). It is well known that proper acoustic environments help students comprehend and retain classroom instruction. Marijke Kens Van de Poll, Robert Ljung, Johan Odelius and Patrik Sorqvist. (2014). Disruption of writing by background speech: the role of speech transmission index. *Applied Acoustics* 81(2014) 15 – 18.

Writing fluency dropped drastically and the number of pauses longer than 5 s increased at speech transmission index (STI) values above 0.23. Realistic work-related performance drops even at low STI values. The study shows that relatively low speech intelligibility can have negative/disturbing effects on word-processed writing which is dominant activities in classrooms and open-office settings. Liu et al. (2017) investigated the effects of noise type, noise intensity, and illumination intensity on reading performance. Results indicate that all three independent variables had significant effects on reading performance. Reading performance was best with classical music, low noise intensity (<45 dBA) and normal illumination intensity (600 lx) conditions. A study conducted to examine the impact of chronic exposure to external and internal noise on the test results of children aged 7 and 11 in London (UK) primary schools indicated significant negative impact upon performance, the effect is greater for the older children (Shield and Dockrell, 2008). Servilha and Delatti (2014) reported that many university students reacted to noise with an effort to listen (difficulties in hearing the teacher), difficulty in concentration, irritation, aggravation and given up on paying attention, all inadequate conditions which interfere with information processing in learning, grades and health. Hammer et al. (2014) posited that people in noisy environments experience subjective habituation to noise, but their cardiovascular system does not habituate and still experience activations of the sympathetic nervous system and changes from deep sleep to a lighter stage of sleep in response to noise. Children in noisy environments have poor school performance, which leads to stress and misbehaviour. They also have decreased learning; lower reading comprehension, and concentration deficits. Children with noise-induced hearing loss (NIHL) suffer from decreased educational achievement and impaired social-emotional development, score significantly lower on basic skills, and exhibit behavioural problems and lower self-esteem. Exposures from recreational activities and music are not “noise” in the sense of being unwanted sound. But adverse health effects are possible even from desirable sounds. According to Servilha and Delatti (2014) employment of active learning methods, in which the students are involved and feel like co-builders of knowledge, may possibly result in more effective learning and in the conservation of teacher’s voice.

Noise abatement programmes

There were noise abatement measures adopted in the past which are no longer very effective in most of the higher institutions in Nigeria. Even though some developed countries have adopted modern approaches to achieve considerable improvements in noise levels, the high financial costs involvement might make them unsuitable for the poorer less developed countries like Nigeria (WHO, 2009). Wokocha (2013) recalled that previous arrangements provided that schools were usually located in the outskirts of the towns and villages quite away from the boisterous areas to encourage effective teaching and learning. However, recent developments in industrialisation and commercialization and expansions in a residential area have subsumed these institutions and therefore distorted the earlier arrangement. WHO (1999) suggested that reduction may be achieved by encouraging quieter equipment or by the zoning of land into industrial and residential areas. A long building can be an effective screen but gaps between buildings will reduce the sound attenuation. Ayuba (2018) recommended the need to anticipate future development trends around the libraries, provision of special reading rooms- escapist reading areas and group study rooms, installing noise barriers to dampen noise from external sources and given sufficient distance (at least 40m) from a road traffic source. Only soundproof generating plants and solar inverters/ batteries are to be encouraged around the libraries for quieter operation. This was collaborated by Shield and Dockrell (2008) suggesting that the siting

and the internal layout of a school should be such that classrooms are not exposed to high levels of noise from external sources such as road traffic.

There are also regulations at the national level and guidelines from the WHO to noise exposures for different settings (see Tables 1 and 2). The purpose of the regulations is to ensure the maintenance of a healthy environment for all people in Nigeria, the tranquillity of their surroundings and their psychological well-being by regulating noise levels and generally, to elevate the standard of living of the people. The regulation, however, does not apply to noise caused at or by an educational class or recreation in or around a school, college, university or other educational institutions; noise caused by the horn of a vehicle for the purpose of giving sufficient warning of the approach or position of the vehicle; noise caused or continuance of noise caused by a person as a result of a temporary or accidental cause which could not have been prevented by the exercise of due diligence and care on the part of that person; noise caused at a cultural activity or cultural show, funeral service or rite, marriage ceremony held between the hours of 10:00 am and 8:00 pm of the same day in any area.; noise caused during a period, or by such a cause or for a purpose as the Agency may by notification specify.

Table 1 WHO (1999 & 2001) Community noise guideline values

Environment	Critical effect	L_{eq} (dBA)	Timebase (h)	L_{max} (dBA)
Bedroom	Sleep disturbance	30	8	45
Dwelling room	Annoyance, Speech interference	50	16	-
Outdoor (day)	Serious annoyance	55	16	-
School classroom	Speech interference	35	6	-
School courtyard	Serious annoyance	55	Playtime	-
Hospital	Sleep disturbance	30	8	45
Patient/ward rooms	Communication interference	30	16	40
Concert hall	Hearing impairment	100	4	110
Discos Headphones		85	1	110
Public addresses		85	1	110

Impulse sounds	Hearing deficits	-	-	140
----------------	------------------	---	---	-----

Table 2 Maximum permissible noise levels for the general environment in Nigeria (NASREA, 2009)

Column 1		Column 2	
Facility		Max permissible noise limit dB(A) (L_{eq})	
		Day	Night
A	Any building used as a hospital, convalescence home, home for the aged, sanatorium and institutes of higher learning, conference rooms, public library, environmental or recreational sites	45	35
B	Residential buildings	50	35
C	Mixed residential (with some commercial and entertainment)	55	45
D	Residential + industry or small scale production + commerce	60	50
E	Industrial (Outside perimeter fence	70	60

Above noise levels is a weighted average in the facility over the hours defined for night and day. Time frame: use duration, Day 6:00am – 10:00pm; Night 10:00pm – 6:00am. The time frame takes into consideration human activity.

Hammer et al. (2014) posited that source control through direct regulation and altering the informational environment was the least costly, most logistically feasible, and most effective federal level noise reduction interventions. Product disclosure including labels that disclose the noise emitted from products promotes informed consumer choice. In Argentina, Brazil, China, and the European Union, mandatory labelling of noise emission is required for certain products. Sustainable building design programmes regarding background noise, site considerations and sound-absorptive finishes to limit reverberation are required in schools. Evans and Himmel (2009) presented that signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) needs to be +/-15 dB for enough words to be heard that listeners do not have to rely on their limited vocabularies “fill in blanks”. Acoustically absorptive ceilings and wall surfaces reduce the build-up of reverberant noise and undesirable reflections while improving speech communications. They suggested ‘de-tuning’ school building design natural frequencies to resist sympathetic vibration when external events transmit disturbance vibration into the foundation. WHO suggested that in warmer climates, in particular, insulation is not a serious option and excessive noise exposure (above 55 dB) must be avoided either by removing the people exposed or removing the source if source related measures fail. Zannin et al. (2013) performed a computer-assisted noise mapping of a school campus in Southern Brazil using the SoundPLAN software. Despite the noise pollution, the acoustic maps

revealed several islands of acoustic tranquillity on campus. These islands were observed adjacent to buildings where sound levels range from 45 to 48 dB(A) and from 48 to 51 dB(A).

Azkorra (2015) supported the use of greenery on buildings as a way to improve the quality of life in urban environments. Some of the benefits associated with greenery systems for buildings include energy savings, biodiversity support, storm-water control and noise attenuation. A comparison of the sound absorption coefficient value of common building materials showed that fibreglass board (25mm (1”) thick) has the highest coefficient at frequencies above 375 Hz followed by the vertical green wall, coarse concrete block, plywood panel, glass window, painted concrete-block, unglazed brick, marble/tile, smooth plaster on tile/brick, unglazed brick and painted in that order. Destefani et al. (2016) evaluated how noise mapping can be used as a tool for decision making about facade design and building location. Improvement was obtained on buildings facades with simple shape and location modifications, without excessive changes in size or architectural concept. There was significant improvement not only for the higher floors but also at the ground level, on which the main entrance and lobby are located. By offsetting the tower towards the rear of the plot it is possible to plan green areas in front of the building, with enough vegetation to form a visual barrier to the avenue with high traffic flow. This also allows the use of thinner glass, reducing costs. Brown (2014) posited that in noise control, the sound is a waste product managed to reduce the immission of sounds that cause human discomfort. The soundscape approach, by contrast, considers the acoustic environment as a resource, focusing on sounds people want or prefer. He argued that quiet is not a core condition for acoustic preference in the outdoor acoustic environment, but a congruence of soundscape and landscape.

Ralte (2014) Recommendations for control and abatement of noise pollution: the public must be aware and educate about noise nuisance through adequate news media, lectures, radio talks and other programmes. Good and proper planning before building a school is very important. The best way to protect the institutions from noise disturbance is to locate the institutions in isolated areas. Building a high fence using concrete wall or wood around the institutions proves to be useful for protecting the institutions from noise disturbance. Control the speed limit of vehicles near school surroundings. Planting of evergreen trees around or nearby areas of noise can prove to be an effective measure for control of noise pollution as green trees reduce the intensity of noise. Use of loudspeaker outside close premises induce public nuisance, be it religious or sports or political campaigns or banquet hall should be controlled. To reduce the noise created by vehicles, creation of “No Vehicle Zone” around silence zone is recommended. Old vehicles and bike without silencer should be banned. Avoid unnecessary use of horn and whistle. Playing loud music by vehicle during night time should be banned.

From the foregoing, it is clear that little is been done to combat the noise pollution in Nigeria. Some of the abatement activities were more of incidental actions rather than planned. The erection of perimeter fences around schools was done primarily to protect the school property from encroachment. Establishment of religious centres and religious villages will confine the noise from the activities which hitherto struggle for space within the lecture halls and offices.

4. CONCLUSION

Higher institutions in Nigeria have been described as noisy. The sources of the noise have been identified to include electric mini generators, road traffic both motor vehicles and motorbikes and religious activities. It was observed that noise affects academic activities in various ways. Noise poses health challenges, disrupts effective communication flow and inhibits overall

academic performance. There are no standard measures to combat noise pollution in Nigeria's higher institutions. The existence of perimeter fencing is advantageous as noise abatement measure even though they were not planned for that purpose. Efforts should be made by the school managements to ensure centralized electricity power supply since most of the noise was as a result of the individual electric power generators. Existence of potholes which delay vehicular movement causes steady noise (long term noise).

The regulatory body charged with noise control in Nigeria, National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency (NESREA) is seen not to perform up to expectations. Adeoluwa (2018) interrogate the purposes of the extant national environmental regulations to determine the reasons behind their seemingly non-eficacious as opposed to the spirit of the NESREA Act from where they derive their lifeblood. It was argued that the awareness creation about these regulations and their enforcement are generally poor. NESREA has not demonstrated any serious enforcement of the Regulations in a manner that positively impacts on the Nigerian masses for right environmental behaviours. It appears these Regulations are massively made to fulfil mere political goals. Nonetheless, Ibijola (2015) submitted that the quality of Nigerian university education is not poor as perceived by most stakeholders in education and that there existed a significant relationship between the performances of the regulatory agency and the quality of university education. Education in general and university education, in particular, is fundamental to the construction of a knowledge economy and society in all nations.

It is an inclusive recommendation of this paper, therefore, that the school management should work hand-in-hand with governments at all levels to undertake a holistic measure towards the provision of acceptable conducive teaching and learning environment devoid of noise pollution. This would go a long way, not only to improve the academic performance of students but also enhance staff output in our various institutions of higher learning. Further research in the area of cross-sectional and longitudinal experimental design to evaluate the immediate and cumulative effects of noise pollution within our peculiar environment will consolidate or dismiss otherwise literature assertions.

REFERENCES

- A.L. Brown. Soundscape planning as a complement to environmental noise management. Inter.noise 2014 Melbourne Australia 16 – 19 November 2014.
- Acoustics in Schools. Ceilings & Interior Systems Construction Association. 2009, InformeDesign, Minnesota, USA.
- Adeyemi, Abisola Moradeyo and Adeyemi, Semiu Babatunde. Institutional factors as predictors of students' academic achievement in colleges of education in South western Nigeria. International Journal of Educational Administration and Policy Studies, Vol. 6(8), 141 – 153, 2014. DOI: 10.5897/IJEAPS2014.0342
- Ali, Sani Muhammad, Martinson, David Brett and Almayyah, Sura, Evaluating indoor environmental performance of laboratories in a Northern Nigerian university. Design Thrive, PLEA 2017 Edinburgh.

Amakom M. Chijiokel, Ukewuihe U. Mathias, Nwokolo V. Ifeanyi, Igbo C. George. Noise in a Nigerian University. *Journal of Environment Pollution and Human Health*, 2019, Vol. 7, No. 2, 53-61, Available online at <http://pubs.sciepub.com/jephh/7/2/1>, DOI:10.12691/jephh-7-2-1

Andrea Destefani, Maria Akutsu, Marcelo de Mello Aquilino, Cristina Y. Kawakita Ikeda. Acoustics and architecture in office buildings: How the site plan and the shape of the building affect the levels of incident noise on facades. *Architectural Acoustics for Non- Performance Spaces: Paper ICA 2016 – 162*. Proceedings of the 22nd International Congress on Acoustics, Buenos Aires – 5 to 9 September 2016.

Bridget M. Shield, and Julie E. Dockrell. The effects of environmental and classroom noise on the academic attainments of primary school children. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 123, 133 (2008); DOI: 10.1121/1.2812596, <https://doi.org/10.1121/1.2812596>

Collins C. Ngwakwe. Environmental Responsibility and Firm Performance: Evidence from Nigeria. *International Journal of Social, Behavioral, Educational, Economic, Business and Industrial Engineering* Vol:2, No:10, 2008

Cornelius O. A. Agbo. Acoustic Characterization of Retrofit Vertical Duct Soundproof Enclosure for Portable Mini-Generators. *Mindanao Journal of Science and Technology* Vol. 18 (1) (2020) 145-163

Egunsola, A. O. E. Influence of Home Environment on Academic Performance of Secondary School Students in Agricultural Science in Adamawa State Nigeria. *IOSR Journal of Research & Method in Education (IOSR-JRME)*, Volume 4, Issue 4 Ver. II, 2014, PP 46-53, www.iosrjournals.org

Eludoyin OM (2016) Perceptions on Noise Pollution among the Residents of a Medium-Size Settlement in Southwestern Nigeria – A Preliminary Study. *J Pollut Eff Cont* 4: 160. doi:10.4172/2375-4397.1000160

Emilse Aparecida Merlin Servilha, Marina de Almeida Delatti. College students' perception of classroom noise and its consequences on learning quality. *Audiol Commun Res*. 2014;19(2):138-44. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S2317-64312014000200007>

Emmanuel Majekodunmi Ajala. The Influence of Workplace Environment on Workers' Welfare, Performance and Productivity. *The African Symposium*, Volume 12, No. 1, June 2012

Federal Republic of Nigeria, Official Gazette, National Environmental Standards And Regulations Enforcement Agency (Establishment) Act, 2007, National Environmental (Noise Standards And Control) Regulations 2009, N0.67, Vol.96 Abuja, 2009, Federal Government Printer, Lagos, Nigeria. FGP112/102009/1,000(OL60).

Friederike Hammersen, Hildegard Niemann and Jens Hoebel. Environmental Noise Annoyance and Mental Health in Adults: Findings from the Cross-Sectional German Health Update (GEDA) Study 2012. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2016, 13, 954; doi:10.3390/ijerph13100954, www.mdpi.com/journal/ijerph

G. A. Wokocha. Industrial Noise Level and School Location: Implication for Teaching and Learning. *Journal of Environment and Earth Science*, Vol. 3, No.3, 2013. www.iiste.org

Godson R. E. E. Ana, Derek G. Shendell, G. E. Brown, and M. K. C. Sridhar. Assessment of Noise and Associated Health Impacts at Selected Secondary Schools in Ibadan, Nigeria. *Journal of Environmental and Public Health* Volume 2009, Article ID 739502, 6 pages. doi:10.1155/2009/739502

Hammer MS, Swinburn TK, Neitzel RL. 2014. Environmental noise pollution in the United States: developing an effective public health response. *Environ Health Perspect*, 122:115–119; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1307272>

Hasan Yilmaz and Serkan Ozer. Evaluation and analysis of environmental noise pollution in the city of Erzurum, Turkey. *Int. J. Environment and Pollution*, Vol. 23, No. 4, 2005

Ibijola, Elizabeth Yinka. Regulatory Roles of The National Universities Commission And The Quality Of Nigerian University Education. *International Journal of Education and Practice*. 2015. Vol. 3, No. 2, pp. 104-114, DOI: 10.18488/journal.61/2015.3.2/61.2.104.113

Kateryna Fuks, Susanne Moebus, Sabine Hertel, Anja Viehmann, Michael Nonnemacher, Nico Dragano, Stefan Möhlenkamp, Hermann Jakobs, Christoph Kessler, Raimund Erbel, and Barbara Hoffmann, on behalf of the Heinz Nixdorf Recall Study Investigative Group. Long-Term Urban Particulate Air Pollution, Traffic Noise, and Arterial Blood Pressure. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, volume 119, number 12, December 2011

Lalremruati Ralte. Assessment of Noise Pollution and Its Effects on Human Health in Aizawl City, Mizoram. Ph.D Thesis, Mizoram University, Aizawl, Mizoram, 2014

Lisa Loreti, Luca Barbaresi, Simona De Cesaris and Massimo Garai. Overall indoor quality of a non-renewed secondary school building. 2016, 23(1) 47 – 58.

M. U. Onuu. Road traffic noise in Nigeria: Measurements, analysis and evaluation of nuisance. *Journal of Sound and Vibration* (2000) 233(3), 391 – 405. doi:10.1006/jsvi.1999.2832, <http://www.idealibrary.com>

Mohammad Hosseini Fouladi, Mohamed H. Nassir, Masomeh Ghassem, Marwan Shamel, Sim Yeng Peng, Sin Yi Wen, Pang Zong Xin and Mohd Jailani Mohd Nor. Utilizing Malaysian Natural Fibers as Sound Absorber Chapter 7, INTECH, August 2013. DOI: 10.5772/53197. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/53197>

N. Garg, A.K. Sinha, V. Gandhi, R.M. Bhardwaj, A.B. Akolkar. A pilot study on the establishment of national ambient noise monitoring network across the major cities of India. *Applied Acoustics* 103 (2016) 20–29. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apacoust.2015.09.010>

National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH). *Compendium of Materials for Noise Control*, U.S, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Cincinnati, Ohio, June 1975

Nte F.U, Gbarato O.L. Noise Survey of the University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital. *World Journal of Innovative Research (WJIR)*, Volume-6, Issue-4, April 2019 Pages 21-24

Ntui, Aniebiet Inyang. Noise Sources and Levels at the University of Calabar Library, Calabar, Nigeria. *African Journal of Library, Archives & Information Science*. 2009, Vol. 19 Issue 1, p53-63.

Oguntoke, O; Itijani, YA; Adetunji, OR; Obayagbona, ONJ. Spatial Analysis of Environmental Noise and Auditory Health of Abeokuta Residents, Ogun State, Nigeria. *Appl. Sci. Environ. Manage.* Vol. 23 (9) 1699-1707 September 2019. <https://www.ajol.info/index.php/jasem>

Okeke, P.N and George, D. M. C. Evaluation of Ambient Noise Levels in Port Harcourt Metropolis, South-South, Nigeria. *IOSR Journal of Environmental Science, Toxicology and Food Technology (IOSR-JESTFT)*, Volume 9, Issue 7 Ver. I (July. 2015), PP 54-60. DOI: 10.9790/2402-09715460. www.iosrjournals.org

Oyedepo Sunday Olayinka. Effective Noise Control Measures and Sustainable Development in Nigeria. *World Journal of Environmental Engineering*, 2013, Vol. 1, No. 1, 5-15. DOI:10.12691/wjee-1-1-2. <http://pubs.sciepub.com/wjee/1/1/2>

P. Ayuba, B. S. Albert, M. E. Abdulrahman. Appraisal of Libraries in Niger State to Determine Their Location and Method of Construction for Noise Control Mechanism. *Architecture Research* 2018, 8(3): 103-109, DOI: 10.5923/j.arch.20180803.03

Paulo Henrique Trombetta Zannin, Vinicius Luiz Gama, Mauricio Laaconi da Cunha, Eduardo Ferraz Damiani, Marcello Benetti, Henrique Bianchi, Andre Luiz Senko da Hora, Guilherme Bortolaz Guedes, Tiago Luiz Portella, Victor Andre Jastale Pinto and David Queiros de Sant Ana. Noise mapping of an educational environment. *Canadian Acoustics*, 40(1) 2013 27 – 35.

R. Zulkifli, M.J Mohd Nor, M. F. Mat Tahir, A. R. Ismail and M. Z. Nuawi. Acoustic properties of Multi-layer coir fibres sound absorption panel. *Journal of Applied Sciences*, 8(20): 3709 – 3714, 2008

Raphael Oladele Adeoluwa. Appraisal of the operationalisation of National Environmental Regulations in Nigeria under the National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency. *NAUJILJ* 9(2) 2018.

Rockwool Marine & Offshore Acoustic Manual. Extended range of sound measurements, Rockwool Marine & Offshore · Business Centre · Hovedgaden 501 · 2640 Hedehusene · Denmark

S.A. Ali, A. Tamura. Road traffic noise levels, restrictions and annoyance in Greater Cairo, Egypt. *Applied Acoustics* 64 (2003) 815–823

Sean B. Eom and H. Joseph Wen. The Determinants of Students' Perceived Learning Outcomes and Satisfaction in University Online Education: An Empirical Investigation. *Decision Sciences Journal of Innovative Education*, Volume 4 Number 2 July 2006

Shaibu A. Shonola, Mike S. Joy, Solomon S. Oyelere and Jarkko Suhonen The Impact of Mobile Devices for Learning in Higher Education Institutions: Nigerian Universities Case Study. *I.J. Modern Education and Computer Science*, 2016, 8, 43-50. DOI: 10.5815/ijmecs.2016.08.06

Tao Liu, Chin-Chiuan Lin, Kuo-Chen Huang, Yi-Chang Chen. (2017). Effects of noise type, noise intensity, and illumination intensity on reading performance. *Applied Acoustics*, 120, 70 – 74.

Valentine B. Omubo-Pepple, Margret A. Briggs-Kamara, and Iyeneomie Tamunobereton-ari. Noise Pollution in Port Harcourt Metropolis: Sources, Effects, and Control. *The Pacific Journal*

of Science and Technology, Volume 11. Number 2. November 2010 (Fall).
<http://www.akamaiuniversity.us/PJST.htm>

Wekpe VO, Fiberesima D. Noise mapping around the host communities of the university of Port Harcourt, Nigeria. Art Human Open Acc J. 2020;4(2):43–48. DOI: 10.15406/ahoaj.2020.04.00151

World Health Organization – Guidelines for Community Noise MNB-1QDOC2 Geneva.

World Health Organization, Guidelines on Community Noise, Dietrich Schwela World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland presentation at the TRB session 391 “Setting an Agenda for Transportation Noise Management Policies in the United States” 10 January 2001, Washington DC, USA.

World Health Organization, Regional Office for Europe. Denmark – WHO Night noise guidelines for Europe, 2009. pp. 1 – 184.

Z. Azkorra, G. Perez, J. Coma, L.F. Cabeza, S. Bures, J.E. Avaro, A. Erkoreka, M. Urrestarazu. Evaluation of green walls as a passive acoustic insulation system for buildings. Applied Acoustics, 89 (2015) 46 – 56.

UNDER PEER REVIEW