Original Research Article

Assessing the Water and Thermal Use Efficiencies of Groundnut Grown under Different Strength of Jute Agro Textile Mulch

ABSTRACT

Aims: To evaluate the effects of jute agrotextile mulches on yield and yield attributes, dry matter accumulation, water use efficiency as well as accumulated agrometeorological indices and thermal utilization of groundnut.

Place and Duration of Study: The study was conducted in the experimental farm of Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, West Bengal, India during *kharif* season of 2017 and 2018.

Methodology: The experiment was carried in randomized block design with five treatments each of which was replicated four times Groundnut (variety J L-24) as a test crop. The treatments, composing different strength of jute agro textile (JAT) used as mulching material were as follows: T₁: 1000 GSM JAT, T₂: 800 GSM, T₃: 600 GSM, T₄: 400 GSM JAT and T₅: farmer's practice (control). All the data regarding the yield, yield attributes, water use efficiency and thermal utilization of the crop were recorded accordingly.

Results: All the strength of jute agro textile had increased yield over control among which treatment T_2 -800 GSM found to be most efficient, showed the highest yield of 26.44 q/ha. But further increase in strength of jute agrotextile showed a decrement in all aspects. The water use efficiency, at an average, increased by 69.12% due to the various treatments over control. Heat

unit and thermal units use efficiencies were also highest in treatment T₂ which showed the most accurate utilization of thermal indices.

Conclusion: Therefore, among all the treatments T_2 exhibited the most promising effect on improving yield and other attributes along with maintaining sustainability as it might have provided the most favourable soil condition compared to other mulches for groundnut.

Key words: Groundnut, Jute agro textile, Mulching, Water use efficiency, Yield attributes, Thermal indices.

1. INTRODUCTION

Groundnut is a major oilseed crop of India and also an important agricultural export commodity. With annual all-season coverage of about 70 lakh hectares, globally India ranks first in groundnut acreage and with an output of approx. 80-85 lakh MT (in shell groundnuts), second in production. Although in various states of India groundnut is cultivated in one or more (kharif, rabi and summer) seasons, nearly 80% of acreage and production comes from kharif crop (June-October) [4]. It is an energy crop and needs sufficient amount of nutrient to meet their requirement for growth, development and yield. Sustainable groundnut development can be achieved by diversifying the groundnut cropping system and moisture management practices. At this aspect mulching i.e. placing a layer of material (organic or inorganic) on the soil surface around the desired crop can be used for better crop production as well as moisture conservation [17]. However, other benefits of mulching are temperature regulation at the root zone and above ground growing environment, reduced nutrient leaching, altered insect and disease pressures, reduced soil compaction and soil erosion, increased soil porosity, improved soil organic matter or nutrient content and soil structure leading to enhanced crop production [2],[3],[5]. Mulching with synthetic (polyethylene film) or organic (crop residue) materials has been widely practiced for production of commercial widely spaced crops and vegetables including tomato, lettuce and others [1]. But to maintain sustainability, jute agro textile, made from 100% natural bust fibre has the potential to improve crop yield as well as soil fertility and productivity status as it contains natural substances for plant growth and releases essential plant nutrients through lignin decomposition without deteriorating the quality of environment [15]. Application of geotextile mulches increased the yield of capsicum and pointed gourd [16] and also mosumbi and turmeric [12] compared to control where no mulching materials were used. Significant increase in average curd weight of broccoli has been reported by applying agrotextile mulches [18]. Again, growth and development of crops during its entire growth period is greatly affected by several agro-climatic factors. The biomass production and duration of the crop growing period depends on the accumulation of solar radiation and different thermal or agro-meteorological indices viz., Growing degree days (GDD) or Heat unit (HU), Heliothermal units (HTU) and Photothermal units (PTU) [10].

Though many research have been done so far to increase groundnut productivity but, use of jute agro textiles to improve yield of this crop as well as efficient thermal utilization and maintain sustainability of environment has been lacking. So, a field experiment was undertaken to explore, in details, the effects of jute agrotextile mulches on yield and yield attributes, growth rate, dry matter accumulation, water use efficiency as well as accumulated agrometeorological indices and thermal utilization of groundnut in new alluvial zone of West Bengal, India.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Study Area

The experiment was conducted for two consecutive years of 2017 and 2018 during *kharif* season from 2nd week of July to 3rd week of October at Regional Research Station, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, New Alluvial zone, Nadia, West Bengal, India. The farm is located at 22⁰58' N L, 88⁰26' E L, with an altitude at 10.9 m above MSL having an average rainfall of

1500-1600 mm/year with variation of temperatures between 10⁰- 38⁰C. The soil of the experimental site is an typical alluvial soil (Typic ustifluvent), sandy loam in texture with almost neutral pH of 6.4, low in organic carbon (0.51%), available N (43.5 kg/ha), available P (9.05 kg/ha) and available k content (157.25 kg/ha).

2.2. Sampling Method and Data Analysis

The experiment was carried in randomized block design with five treatments each of which was replicated four times using Groundnut (variety J L-24) as a test crop. The treatments, composing different strength of jute agro textile (JAT) were as follows: T₁: 1000 GSM JAT, T₂: 800 GSM, T₃: 600 GSM, T₄: 400 GSM JAT and T₅: farmer's practice (control). The unit plot size was maintained by 20 square metre with spacing of 50cm between row to row and 10cm between plant to plant. Before sowing, seed treatment was done by Rhizobium culture (1 pack/bigha) and with Diathem M-45 (3 gm/kg) and also the soil surface was covered by the jute agro textiles maintaining proper gaps for sowing along with the basal dose of N-P-K @ 20-40-20 kg/ha. Sowing was done on each plot on second week of July for each year @ 100 kg/ha. The recommended package of practices were adopted for growing the crop. The crop was harvested on 3rd week of October.

All the data regarding the yield, yield attributes and water use efficiency of the crop were recorded. 100g pods of groundnut cultivar were taken from each replication randomly and shelled manually. Then kernels weights were taken to compute the shelling percentage [(kernel weight/ pod weight) x 100]. The moisture content of surface soil samples collected at 7 days interval of the entire growth period were determined by gravimetric method.

Agro-meteorological indices i.e. GDD, HTU and PTU were computed during whole growing periods for two years following the methods [8]. Computation of agrometeorological indices:

Growing degree day (GDD) = $(T_m - T_b)$

Heliothermal unit (HTU) = $[(T_m - T_b) \times BSH]$

Photothermal unit $(PTU) = [(T_m - T_b) \times DL]$

Where,

DL = Day length (Possible sunshine hours: from dawn to twilight)

BSH = Bright sunshine hours (Hour)

 T_m = Daily mean temperature in ${}^{\circ}C$.

 T_b = Base temperature of groundnut 10° C (GDD or heat units were computed considering 10° C being the base temperature for development of ground nut) [9],[13].

Thermal use efficiencies were evaluated in terms of both kernel yield and dry matter accumulation by using the formula [19].

Thermal use efficiencies = (Kernel yield or Dry matter accumulation / Accumulated thermal indices).

Necessary statistical analysis was worked out to interpret the effects of treatments as suggested [6]. The level of significance used in F and t test was p = 0.05. Critical difference values were calculated wherever the F test was significant.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Yield and Yield Attributes of Groundnut:

Results of the experiment revealed highly significant variation in growth and yield of groundnut under different treatments imposed (Table 1). Highest no. of kernel/pod (2.18), Shelling% and 100 kernel weight (78.16 & 95.76g respectively) were recorded in T_2 treatment i.e. in plot treated with 800 GSM jute agro textile. However, results with the lowest values were recorded in T_5 treatment (without jute agro textile). Response of pod yield over control due to each treatment were 24.26 g/ha (95.23%), 26.44 g/ha (103.85%), 20.98 g/ha (82.40%) and 18.25(71.68%)

respectively in treatments T₁, T₂, T₃ and T₄ respectively. Though all the strength of jute agro textile had increased yield over control, but 800 GSM found to be most efficient among all. The variation in no. of kernel/pod, Shelling % and pod yield between years was non-significant.Result further reveals that yield and yield attributes were found to be positively and significantly correlated among themselves as indicated by the higher values of correlation coefficients, significant at 1% level of significance. Moreover it was observed that water use efficiency and crop growth rate had positive influence on yield and yield components (Table 5). The use of geotextiles to increase productivity of crops have been studied in many cases [14].

3.2. Physiological Parameters of Groundnut:

The results of the physiological parameters of groundnut due to application of various strength of agrotextiles are presented in (Table 2). Although variation of plant height, number of branch and leaves, occurred due to application of each of the strength in both the years, but better performance are observed with the application of 800 GSM except 50% flowering and 50% pegging stage. The physiological growth of the groundnut crops due to application of various strength follows in the order of: 800 GSM > 1000 GSM > 600 GSM > 400 GSM > control. Reverse result were found in 50% flowering (45.37 DAS) and 50% pegging (45.88 DAS) where the values become more in control plot than the plot received the treatments of agrotextiles. The 50% flowering and 50% pegging of groundnut significantly decreased (P<0.05) with the application of different types of jute agrotextiles over control.

3.3. Growth and Growth Rate of Groundnut:

The results of the dry matter production and crop growth rate influencing yield and growth of ground nut crop due to various strength of jute agrotextiles are presented in (Table 3). Response of dry matter yield due to application of each agrotextiles increase over control 224.44 gm/m² (32.90%), 283.79 gm/m² (41.60%), 168.84 gm/m² (24.75%) and 116.34 gm/m² (17.05%) in

treatments T₁, T₂, T₃ and T₄ respectively. Crop growth rate was also increased over control by 26.05%, 42.30%, 24.93% and 17.09% in treatments T₁, T₂, T₃ and T₄ respectively. Result further reveals that crop growth rate is positively and significantly correlated with number of pod/plant, number of kernel/pod, shelling percentage, 100 kernel weights, water use efficiency, kernel yield and pod yield at 1% level of significance (Table 5).

3.4. Soil moisture status and water use efficiency of groundnut:

Soil moisture content is an important factor which influences the activity of microorganisms in soil as well as growth of the crop. So, the soil moisture content at 7 days interval for the entire growing period of groundnut under various treatments have been depicted in Fig.1. Highest soil moisture percentage was found in that soil treated with 1000 GSM jute agrotextile and lowest in control. Treated plot showed higher soil moisture content compared to control because of their increased water retention capacity due to the increment of porosity. High surface soil moisture due to increase in organic matter content in soil has been reported in many studies [11].

The total moisture use and water use efficiency which is defined at the ratio between total yield and total water use during the growing period of crop are provided in Table 4 which shows that the moisture use efficiency ,at an average , increased by 69.12% due to the various treatments over control. Increase in water use efficiency due to addition of organic matter or mulching has been reported in many cases [7], [12]. Result further reveals that water use efficiency is positively and significantly correlated with yield and its component at 1% level of significance (Table 5).

3.5. Accumulated Agrometeorological Indices and Heat Units and Thermal Units Use Efficiencies:

Results revealed variations in the accumulation of thermal indices during two cropping season and their values are presented in table 6. The higher accumulated Growing degree day (AGDD) and accumulated Photothermal units (APTU) over the entire crop growing season was required

by the crop in first cropping season (1897.50 day °C and 23917.70 °C hour respectively) but accumulated Heliothermal units (AHTU) are higher in second cropping season (11484.10 °C hour) compared to first cropping season (8266.01 °C hour).

Heat unit and thermal units use efficiencies in terms of kernel yield and dry matter production have been presented in table 7 . Heat unit use efficiencies (HUE), heliothermal use efficiencies (HTUE) and photothermal use efficiencies (PTUE) were highest in treatment T2 i.e. 800 GSM JAT among all treatments in terms of kernel yield in both cropping seasons but in second season the HUE and PTUE are higher (HUE: 2.216 kg ha-1 day°C⁻¹, PTUE: 0.176 kg ha-1 °Chour⁻¹) and HTUE is lower (0.351 kg ha-1 °Chour⁻¹) compared to the first season. In terms of dry matter production, again the HUE, HTUE, PTUE are highest in treatment T₂ in both growing seasons but in second season the HUE and PTUE are higher (HUE: 5.368 kg ha-1 day°C⁻¹, PTUE: 0.426 kg ha-1 °Chour⁻¹) and HTUE is lower (0.850 kg ha-1 °Chour⁻¹) compared to the first season. T₅ showed lowest heat units and thermal units use efficiencies both in terms of kernel yield and dry matter production. This result was supported by the report that variations in thermal use efficiencies under varied sowing times in ground nut [9].

4. CONCLUSION

Based on the findings of the experiment, it is observed that the use of jute agrotextile as mulching component is beneficial for getting higher productivity of groundnut over the control. Though all the treatments showed better results over control, the best result was found in treatment T₂ i.e. 800 GSM JAT. Further increment in the strength of JAT did not show a better result but decrement in all aspects. So, the treatment T₂ i.e. 800 GSM thickness might have provided the most favourable soil condition compared to other mulches to groundnut and resulted the highest growth and yield of the crop. Again among the various strength of JAT, treatment T₂ showed the highest heat unit and thermal units use efficiencies. Therefore, it is

apparent form the present study that jute agrotextiles can serve as better mulch for groundnut crop and thus improve the yield and yield attributes of groundnut by maintaining adequate soil moisture, optimum thermal condition leading to favourable nutrient supply to crops and by proper utilization of thermal indices.

6. REFERENCES

- 1. Albert T, Karp K, Starast M, Paal T. The effect of mulching and pruning on the vegetative growth and yield of the half-high blueberry. Agronomy Research. 2010; 8: 759–769.
- 2. Anikwe MAN, Mbah, CN, Ezeaku PI, Onyia VN. Tillage and plastic mulch effects on soil properties and growth and yield of cocoyam (Colocasia esculenta) on an ultisol in South eastern Nigeria. Soil and Tillage Research. 2007; 93(2): 264–273.
- 3. Coolong T. Mulches for weed management in vegetable production. In A. J. Price (Ed.), Weed Control (pp. 57–74). Croatia, European Union: In Tech Open;2012.
- 4. Executive Summary of KHARIF-2018 SURVEY OF GROUNDNUT CROP by APEDA, India.2018.
- 5. Glab T, Kuling B. (2008). Effect of mulch and tillage system on soil porosity under wheat (Triticum aestivum). Soil and Tillage Research. 2008; 99(2): 169–178.
- 6. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedures for agricultural research (2nd ed.). New York: John Wiley and Sons;1983.

- 7. Gupta R, Acharya CL. Effect of mulch induced hydrothermal regimes on root growth, water use efficiency, yield and quality of strawberries. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science. 1993; 41(1), 17–25.
- 8. Khan SA, Maity GC, Das L. Prediction of grain yield of kharif rice based on thermal, heliothermal and photothermal units prevailing during different phases of growth. Environ. and Ecol. 2005; 23: 545-52.
- 9. Kingra PK, Kaur P. Effect of dates of sowing on thermal utilization and heat use efficiency of groundnut cultivars in central Punjab. J. Agric. Physics. 2012; 12:54-62.
- 10. Kumar N, Kumar S, Nain AS, Roy S. Thermal indices in relation to crop phenology of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) and urd [Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper] at tarai region of Uttarakhand. Mausam. 2014; 65: 215-18.
- 11. Minasny B, McBratney AB. Limited effect of organic matter on soil available water capacity. European Journal of Soil Science. 2018; 69:39-47.
- 12. Nag D, Choudhury TK, Debnath S, Ganguly PK, Ghosh SK. Efficient management of soil moisture with jute non-woven asmulch for cultivation of sweetline and turmeric in red lateritic zone. Journal of Agricultural Engineering. 2008; 45(3): 59–62.
- 13. Nigam SN, Nageswara Rao RC, Wynne JC. Effects of Temperature and Photoperiod on Vegetative and Reproductive Growth of Groundnut (Arachishypogaea L,). Journal of Agronomy & Crop Science. 1998; 181: 117—124
- 14. Pain D, De SK, Tarafdar PK, Pal A, Mahata AP, Adhikari R, Bauri SK. Efficient use of geotextiles as soil conditioner to increase potato productivity on inceptisols of West Bengal. J. Soil and Water Conserv. 2013; 12:103-07.
- 15. Ranganathan SR. Development potential of jute geotextiles. Journal of Geotextiles and Geomembrance.1994; 13(6-7):421-433.

- 16. Saha B, Prasad LK, Harris Abul A, Sikka AK, Batta RA. Effect of geo-textile mulch on soil moisture, temperature and yield of vegetable crops grown in planes of Bihar. International Journal of Tropical Agriculture. 2006; 24(1–2): 153–157.
- 17. Sarkar S , Singh SR. Interactive effect of tillage depth and mulch on soil temperature, productivity and water use pattern of rainfed barley (Hordium vulgare L.) Soil and Tillage Research. 2007; 92(1-2): 79–86
- 18. Subba R. Study onmicrobial population in rhizosphere under different agrotextilemulches in vegetable production system. M.Sc. Thesis. Integrated Rural Development and Management Faculty Centre, Ramakrishna Mission Vivekananda University, Narendrapur, West Bengal, India. pp. 46; 2015.
- 19. Tzudir L, Bera PS, Basu S, Nath R, Chakraborty PK. Impact of GDD and HTU on dry matter accumulation in mung bean sown under different dates in the sub-humid tropical environment of eastern. J. Crop and Weed. 2014; 10: 57-62.

7. Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author AS collected the data, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Author AG designed the study. Authors AG, PKT and SKD assisted in the analyses of the study and refined the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.



Table 1. Effect of different strength of JAT on yield and yield component of groundnut.

Treatment	No.	of kernel	/pod		Shelling%	, D	100 K	ernel Wei	ight (g)	Kernel yield (q/ha)			Pod yield (q/ha)		
	Year1	Year2	Pooled	Year1	Year2	Pooled	Year1	Year2	Pooled	Year1	Year2	Pooled	Year1	Year2	Pooled
T ₁ - 1000 GSM	2.16	2.16	2.16	75.41	72.90	74.15	92.35	95.18	93.76	36.94	36.79	36.87	48.96	50.50	49.72
T ₂ - 800 GSM	2.18	2.18	2.18	80.48	75.84	78.16	94.78	96.75	95.76	40.80	40.31	40.56	50.65	53.16	51.90
T ₃ - 600 GSM	2.15	2.15	2.15	71.08	68.88	69.98	90.02	93.40	91.71	32.45	32.54	32.49	45.61	47.26	46.44
T ₄ - 400 GSM	2.12	2.13	2.13	68.54	66.54	67.54	85.08	93.25	89.16	29.55	29.47	29.51	43.11	44.30	43.71
T ₅ - Control	1.34	1.63	1.48	64.99	63.44	64.22	84.62	90.12	87.38	16.37	25.98	21.17	25.20	25.72	25.46
Grand Mean	1.99	2.05	2.02	72.10	69.52	70.81	89.37	93.74	91.56	31.22	33.02	32.12	42.70	44.19	43.45
SE (m)	0.081	0.124	0.074	2.002	0.955	1.109	1.093	0.551	0.612	1.310	0.600	0.721	0.728	0.645	0.486
LSD (0.05)	0.248	0.384	0.216	6.169	2.941	3.237	3.369	1.697	1.786	4.036	1.850	2.103	2.224	1.987	1.419
Year*Treatment	SE	(m)	0.105			1.656			0.866			1.019			0.688
1 car 11 cathlett	LSD	(0.05)	NS	•		NS			2.526			2.974			NS

Table 2. Effect of different strength of JAT on physiological parameter of groundnut.

Treatment	Plant height	50%	50%	No. of	No. of	No. of
	(cm)	Flowering	Pegging	Branch	leaves	pod/plant
		(DAS)	(DAS)			
T ₁ - 1000 GSM	26.35	41.12	43.75	19.14	145.83	38.96
T ₂ - 800 GSM	27.41	40.12	42.50	21.56	148.50	40.98
T ₃ - 600 GSM	24.12	43.75	45.25	17.79	143.11	37.62
T ₄ - 400 GSM	23.61	43.62	44.62	18.36	143.58	35.94
T ₅ - Control	22.06	45.37	45.88	16.42	140.29	33.76
Grand Mean	24.71	42.80	44.40	18.65	144.26	37.45
SE (m)	0.391	0.224	0.204	0.277	0.656	0.366
LSD (0.05)	1.140	0.653	0.595	0.809	1.913	1.067
Year*Treatment SE(m)	0.552	0.316	0.289	0.927	0.392	0.517
(0.05)	NS	NS	0.842	NS	NS	NS

Table 3. Effect of different strength of JAT on growth and growth rate of groundnut.

Treatment	Dry mat	ter producti	on (g/m2)	Crop gro	day/m2)	
	Year1	Year2	Pooled	Year1	Year2	Pooled
T ₁ - 1000 GSM	923.88	889.44	906.66	9.72	9.26	9.50
T ₂ - 800 GSM	955.70	976.32	966.01	10.06	10.17	10.12
T ₃ - 600 GSM	895.38	806.75	851.06	9.42	8.42	8.92
T ₄ - 400 GSM	805.12	792.00	798.56	8.48	8.25	8.36
T ₅ - Control	680.44	684.00	682.22	7.16	7.12	7.14
Grand Mean	852.10	829.70	840.90	8.97	8.65	8.81
SE (m)	5.313	15.244	8.072	0.057	0.154	0.082
LSD (0.05)	16.370	46.971	23.559	0.176	0.475	0.240
Year*Treatment	SE (m)		11.415		•	0.116
	LSD (0.05)		33.318			0.339

Table 4. Effect of different strength of JAT on total water use and water use efficiency of groundnut.

Treatment	То	tal water use (1	mm)	Water use efficiency (kg/ha-mm)				
	Year1	Year2	Pooled	Year1	Year2	Pooled		
T ₁ - 1000 GSM	523.60	525.72	524.66	9.34	9.60	9.47		
T ₂ - 800 GSM	534.60	535.08	534.84	9.47	9.93	9.70		
T ₃ - 600 GSM	517.48	522.40	519.94	8.81	9.04	8.93		
T ₄ - 400 GSM	519.62	516.88	518.25	8.29	8.57	8.43		
T ₅ - Control	460.45	482.58	471.51	5.47	5.32	5.40		
Grand Mean	511.15	516.53	513.84	8.28	8.49	8.38		
SE (m)	0.224	0.828	0.429	0.141	0.129	0.096		
LSD (0.05)	0.689	2.553	1.252	0.433	0.399	0.279		
Year*Treatment			0.607		•	0.135		
i car i reaument			1.771			NS		

Table 5. Correlation metrics involving yield and yield attributes characters of groundnut.

						Water use	Crop	
					Kernel	efficiency	growth	
	No. of	No. of		100 Kernel	yield	(kg/ha-	rate	Pod yield
Correlations	pod/plant	kernel/pod	Shelling%	(w/g)	(Q/ha)	mm)	(g/day/m2)	(q/ha)
No. of pod/plant	1							
No. of kernel/pod	0.456**	1						
Shelling%	0.568**	0.362*	1					
100 Kernel(w/g)	0.663**	0.498**	0.315*	1				
Kernel yield(q/ha)	0.773**	0.632**	0.808**	0.627**	1			
Water use			X			1		
efficiency(kg/ha-mm)	0.746**	0.751**	0.669**	0.622**	0.882**			
Crop growth rate)					
(g/day/m2)	0.727**	0.552**	0.738**	0.482**	0.820**	0.795**	1	
Pod yield(q/ha)	0.787**	0.730**	0.661**	0.606**	0.891**	0.983**	0.821**	1

^{**} Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Table 6:Accumulation of agrometeorological indices by the crop in two cropping seasons

Year-1	Year-2
1897.50	1818.70
8266.01	11484.10
23917.70	22939.00
	1897.50 8266.01

Table 7: Effects of different strength of JAT on heat units and thermal units use efficiencies of ground nut

	Heat	unit use	Heliothe	rmal use	Photothermal use		
Treatments	efficiencies (kg ha-1		efficie	encies	efficiencies		
(Strength of	day	$r^{\circ}C^{-1}$)	(kg ha-1	°Chour ⁻¹)	(kg ha-1	°Chour ⁻¹)	
JAT)			0/				
	Year-1	Year-2	Year-1	Year-2	Year-1	Year-2	
In terms of kernel	yield (kg/ ha)				I	
T1 - 1000 GSM	1.947	2.023	0.447	0.320	0.154	0.160	
T2 - 800 GSM	2.150	2.216	0.494	0.351	0.171	0.176	
T3- 600 GSM	1.710	1.789	0.393	0.283	0.136	0.142	
T4 - 400 GSM	1.557	1.620	0.357	0.257	0.124	0.128	
T5 - Control	0.863	1.428	0.198	0.226	0.068	0.113	
In terms of dry ma	tter (kg/ ha)						
T1 - 1000 GSM	4.869	4.891	1.118	0.774	0.386	0.388	
T2 - 800 GSM	5.037	5.368	1.156	0.850	0.400	0.426	
T3- 600 GSM	4.719	4.436	1.083	0.702	0.374	0.352	
T4 - 400 GSM	4.243	4.355	0.974	0.690	0.337	0.345	
T5 - Control	3.586	3.761	0.823	0.596	0.284	0.298	

Figure 1: Soil moisture status during entire crop growth period under different treatments

