

Original Research Article

Assessment of Forestry Extension Service Delivery among Rural Farmers in Plateau State, Nigeria

ABSTRACT

This study assessed forestry extension service delivery among forest farm communities in Plateau State, Nigeria. The specific objectives were to identify the forestry extension services available to rural farmers; examine the level of farmers' satisfaction with forestry extension service delivery and identify the perceived constraints to forestry extension service delivery in the study area. The population of this study consists of all the rural forest farm families in Plateau State. Due to the enormity of the population, a sample size of 214 respondents was selected. A multi stage sampling technique was used in the selection of sample size for this study. Primary data for this study were generated through the use of well-structured questionnaire and interview techniques. Data collected were analysed using descriptive statistics as well as five and four point likert type scale. Results from the study revealed the mean age of the farmers in the study area to be 39 years. Majority (61.0%) of the respondents were males with majority (85.0%) of them married. Majority (53%) of the respondents had primary education with an average farm size of 2.5 hectares. Majority of the respondents (60%) claimed to have retained at least 1-10 trees on their farms. Results from the study also showed a mean household size of 11 persons with majority of them (87.0%) deriving their income from agriculture. A greater percentage (93.0%) of the respondents acquired their farmlands through inheritance. The mean annual income of the farmers was ₦82742. Forestry extension services available to farmers in the study area include; information on forestry laws, awareness campaign against bush burning, distribution of horticultural seedlings, audio-visual shows, method/result demonstration, information on improved forest management practices, training on raising of seedlings etc. Farmers' had positive perception and satisfaction with the delivery of only four of the forestry extension services namely; Campaign against felling of trees, campaign against clearing of forest plantation for farming, campaign against setting fire in the forest plantation and prohibiting of hunting in the forest reserve. The perceived constraints to effective forestry extension service delivery in Plateau State includes; lack of funding of extension services, inadequate number of extension agents, lack of transport facilities and lack of in-service training of personnel. The study recommends increase in funding of the ADPs to alleviate the problems of inadequate staff and insufficient training. The study also advocates for adequate provision for good transport system for extension agents.

Key Words: Assessment, Forestry extension, Service delivery, Farmers.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

All over the world, forests are an inevitable part of every man's life. Forests have provided and continue to provide numerous benefits to humanity including a wide range of important resources required for sustainable development (1). Forests are essential for human survival and well-being. Within developing countries, 1 billion extremely poor people depend upon forests for part of their livelihoods, and as many as 350 million people living in and around forests are heavily dependent on forests for their livelihoods

and security (2). Forests play an important role in economic growth, food security, livelihoods, rural development and in climate amelioration to sustain our lives. Forests play an important role in the water cycle, carbon sequestering, as a genetic bank and source of food. Forests stimulate rainfall, protect soils from erosion and regulate the flow of water (3). In spite of the various beneficial functions of forests, it is being threatened with deforestation, forest degradation and fragmentation (4). While deforestation is simply the conversion of forest areas to non-forest areas, forest degradation is the reduction in the density or structure of forest and forest fragmentation is the conversion of a continuous forest area into patches of forest separated by non-forest lands. Over the years, the area under forest cover has decreased steadily, as forests are increasingly being cleared for agriculture, industry, housing, and other development activities like the construction of roads, railways, and hydroelectric plants. Forests are also being converted to permanent settlements. Thus, forests cannot regenerate, and in some cases, forest areas have become wasteland within a few years due to frequent cultivation. Communities living in and around forests remove fuelwood from forests. Animals usually graze in forests but if their number is large, they hamper regeneration when they trample on the young shoots and seedlings or eat them. In the past when the population was low, the forest could meet the demand and yet remain healthy but the increasing population has severely depleted the forest (5).

Nigeria had formulated and reviewed forest policies from time to time but the measure of success of the policy statements has not been achieved most especially in the area of compliance with forestry laws. Given the changing nature of the challenges facing sustainable forest management in the tropics including Nigeria, forestry education at all levels is recommended. According to (6), our forests cannot be protected and conserved unless extensionists can demonstrate to the local people that they can make a reasonable livelihood from the forests on a sustainable basis. The best way to protect the forest and its vast diversity is to create awareness among local inhabitants of their value and involve the people in protective measures through extension. Forestry extension programmes are designed to meet the needs of small-scale farmers, through agro-forestry technology conservation of small-size log and wood processing technology, scientific information about biodiversity and new concept in forest conservation and protection (7). This can only be achieved with aggressive forestry extension (8). Forestry extension can be defined as a systematic process of the exchange of ideas, knowledge and techniques leading to mutual changes in attitudes, practices, knowledge, values and behaviour aimed at improved forest and tree management. Forestry extension is an important vehicle for expansion of forest resources in the country, and a tool for forest resources conservation and development. Forestry extension aims at providing the

necessary education, skill and technical information to enable stakeholders put in place friendly practices that engender forestry development at whatever operational level they are involved in. Aggressive forestry extension is a must if sustainable forest management (SFM), which has always been the goal of foresters, is achievable. Forestry extension will enable the populace to know that forests will be better enjoyed by sharing their benefits if sustainably managed (9). Forestry extension has great implications for forest protection and conservation as the importance of the environment and forest ecosystem to human survival can never be underestimated. It is emphasized that existing and emerging scientific information about biodiversity need to be communicated and new concepts and technologies in conservation need to be conveyed if sustainable forest management and development is achievable and if the present heightened loss of genetic diversity must be curtailed.

1.1 Statement of the Problem

Nigeria has been trying to address the issue of unregulated exploitation of forest resources and desertification on a multi-dimensional approach. Factors such as deforestation, infrastructural development, fuel-wood harvesting and uncontrolled forest fires as well as overgrazing are generally identified as responsible for the decline in forest settlements. (10) reported that global deforestation is threatening environmental sustainability and that the very high rate of deforestation in Nigeria has detrimental effects. Deforestation puts at risk all aspects of the environment, economy and citizens of the country. Africa has the second highest rate of tropical deforestation in the World (11). Nigeria's timber reserves have diminished rapidly, supply can no longer cope with demand and there is a yawning gap between production and supply. (11) opined that the only answer to the problem of reduction in stand quality and quantity is to educate the forest users about the effects of forest degradation and encourage everyone to plant trees. The rate of deforestation in Nigeria is reported to range from 3.5 to 3.7% per annum, translating to a loss of 350,000- 400,000 hectares of forest land per annum (12). Between 1990 and 2005 the World lost 3.3% of its forest, while Nigeria alone lost 21% of the global estimate (13). The rapid rate of deforestation in Nigeria is a key driving force in the yearly increase of flood disasters, global warming, ozone layer depletion, land degradation and soil erosion (14, 15). Generally there exist a link between rural agricultural communities and forest use. Farmers often use a diverse variety of forest products; despite being farmers, they often may know a number of forest species and extract goods from a variety of categories, such as timber, fuelwood, fruits, medicines, etc. Poaching and illegal timber harvesting is still rampant within and around forest reserves. Traders still have their supplies of timber and wildlife resources from the communities. The community people believe that the much that they are able to harvest will translate to more money for them. The danger which this belief is posing is that the sustainability of the resource base is being threatened on a daily basis because the harvesting rate is greater than the rate of natural regeneration of the resource base. The situation in Plateau State, Nigeria is

not different from other parts of the country. Habitat destruction, hunting and felling of trees are occurring at such a rapid rate that is fundamentally altering the ecological balance of the area. Human activities have depleted the few existing forests through uncontrolled lumbering, bush burning, charcoal production just to mention a few.

Government has made several attempts at putting in place programmes that would ensure the efficient management of her forest resources (16). These include the reservation policy pioneered by the colonial administration in the nineteenth century, the establishment of industrial plantations from 1978, and land use and vegetation (LUV) survey between 1975 and 1978. Others include; rural forestry development in Nigeria formulated in 1981, production of perspective plan for the period 1990 to 2005 and formulation of a Nigerian forest action programme (NFAP) in 1997 which was called tropical forests action programme (TFEAP). Most importantly, after the Nigerian civil war, there arose the need to boost agricultural production to meet the rising demand for food. To meet this need, the World Bank assisted Agricultural Development Programme (ADP) was introduced into Nigeria agriculture in 1975. In a joint Federal and State government collaborative effort, the nationwide unified and all inclusive extension delivery systems under the Agricultural Development Programme (ADP) was instituted. The responsibility of transferring agricultural information and innovations to farmers is usually coordinated by government own agricultural extension outfits. This responsibility is presently discharged nationwide by the ADPs. Today, each of the 36 States including the Federal Capital Territory in Nigeria has an Agricultural Development Programme (ADP). In Plateau State, the institution that is central to bringing about the dissemination agricultural information and innovations to farmers is the Plateau Agriculture and Development Agency (PADP). The ADPs operates the Unified Agricultural Extension System (UAES) using the principle of the training and visit (T&V) extension system. The main concept of the system is to have competent, well-informed village extension workers who will visit farmers frequently and regularly with relevant technical messages and bring farmers' problems to research.

In spite of all these policies and programmes by the government, there is still high level of indiscriminate felling of trees mostly by farmers through poor agronomic practices leading to high level of deforestation, desertification, land degradation, emission of green- house gases, climate change and loss of biodiversity. Lack of effective forestry extension service delivery is one factor identified to be responsible for this declining state of our forest resources. According to (17), achieving sustainable forest management will only be possible when sensible rules and regulations are enforced and adhered to. (18) stated that, many people indulge in illegal forest acts due to ignorance, lack of alternative sources of livelihood, the seemingly lucrative nature of illegal acts as a result of the profits made on illegally obtained forest

produce without adverse consequences, low ethical standards in the society, and inadequate penalties on offenders. Most of the policies and programmes initiated by government have had limited impact in turning around the precarious state of the forest estates partly because they failed to incorporate effective extension service delivery as a policy objective. The implementation of these efforts rests partly on effective extension service delivery and the farmers who are responsible for adoption of these policies. Preliminary investigation shows that effective forestry extension service delivery which is key to educating and sensitizing the local people on sustainable forest management practices as well as government policies concerning forest use seems to be lacking. In order to halt this negative trend, forestry extension must be recognized and given priority. Effective forestry extension has the capacity to enlighten the forest communities on the inherent dangers their activities pose to the environment thereby stimulating compliance with existing forestry laws and regulations. It would therefore, be vital to strengthen research and education in forest and environmental protection to equip the public and the rural inhabitants adequately for survival. Forestry extension has great implications for forest protection and conservation as the importance of the environment and forest ecosystem to human survival can never be underestimated. It is emphasized that existing and emerging scientific information about biodiversity need to be communicated and new concepts and technologies in conservation need to be conveyed if sustainable forest management and development is achievable and if the present heightened loss of genetic diversity must be curtailed. However, there is little or no extensive research to assess the level of forestry extension service delivery amongst rural farmers in Nigeria especially in Plateau State. It has therefore become pertinent to bridge this research gap. The specific objectives of this study are to:

1. describe the socio-economic characteristics of the respondents in the study area;
2. identify the forestry extension services available to rural farmers in the study area;
3. examine the level of farmers satisfaction with forestry extension service delivery in the study area and
4. identify the perceived constraints to forestry extension service delivery in the study area.

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Concept of Forestry Extension

According to (7), extension education is a voluntary out-of-school educational programme for adults comprising relevant content derived from researches in the physical, biological and social sciences and synthesized into a body of concepts, principles and procedures. Forestry extension according to (19) is a systematic process of the exchange of ideas, knowledge and techniques leading to mutual changes in

attitudes, practices, knowledge, values and behaviour aimed at improved forest and tree management. Forestry extension programme involve training activities for communities through short-term courses, field visits and practical demonstration in specific areas and disciplines including tree tending techniques, maintenance of hand tools, sustainable harvesting practices, interrelationships of the forest components, etc. It is an important tool to expand forest resources in a resources poor country, to protect its dwindling forest resources, and to ensure optimum use of forest resources. Forestry extension stem primarily from the need to maintain both efficiency and equity in forestry development. Forestry extension (transferring technologies) is the "means" to achieve the "ends" i.e. the adoption of forestry technologies by the villagers for their socio-economic uplift/improvement. According to (8), forestry extension programmes are designed to meet the needs of small- scale farmers through agro-forestry technology, conservation of small-size log and wood processing technology, scientific information about biodiversity and new concepts in conservation. The implications of forestry research and extension include to; foster clear awareness of and concern about economic, social, political and ecological interdependence in urban and rural areas. It also provides every person with opportunities to acquire the knowledge, values, attitudes, commitment and skills needed to protect and improve the environment and create new patterns of behaviour in individuals, groups and society as a whole towards the environment. (6) further maintained that now that forestry as a profession has many more concerns including biodiversity conservation and community participation, the need for forestry extension at all levels cannot be over emphasized. (20) stressed that environmental forestry coupled with an aggressive extension education stands out as the best option for combating environmental degradation. This is because, loss of genetic diversity is a problem not just because once extinct, a species is lost forever, but because of the cumulative consequences these losses have for our ability to develop new sources of food and pharmaceuticals and to understand the world we live in.

2.2 Relationship between Agricultural Extension and Forestry Extension

Extension is generally viewed as a non-formal educational process aimed at creating desired changes in the knowledge, attitude, skills and behavior of relevant clientele. (21) defines extension as a professional communication intervention deployed by an institution to induce change in voluntary behaviors with a presumed public or collective utility. Forestry extension could be defined as a system of non-formal education designed to develop among forestry public favorable attitudes toward, and desired capabilities for, forest conservation (22). It is an important tool to expand forest resources in a resources poor country, to protect its dwindling forest resources, and to ensure optimum use of forest resources. Forestry extension should stem primarily from the need to maintain both efficiency and equity in forestry development. Forestry extension (transferring technologies) is the "means" to achieve the "ends" i.e. the

adoption of forestry technologies by the villagers for their socio-economic uplift/improvement. Agricultural and forestry extension are always considered parallel activities wherein different institutions are working. In agricultural sector, provision of services to the farming community in order to improve its agricultural productivity and to improve livelihood on a sustainable basis is the prime responsibility of agricultural extension (23). It promotes the transfer of agricultural technology and innovations in order to improve the livelihood of the end users i.e. the farming community (24). Similarly, forestry extension programmes are designed to meet the needs of small scale producers in forested areas through agroforestry techniques. There are many who question whether forestry extension could or even should be subsumed within agricultural extension. Forestry extension is usually a unit in the forestry department domiciled either in the Ministry of Agriculture, Forest and Animal Health. In addition to the technology transfer responsibilities, the forest extension agent also distribute inputs, handle enlightenment campaigns bordering on best forestry practices and government regulatory functions. Forestry extension has much in common with agricultural extension and is based on a number of similar principles (25). However, forestry presents different challenges and different emphases from agriculture:

- The time scales of the two activities are different; most forestry activities operate in a longer time frame - certainly not in annual growing seasons - and often must be evaluated in terms of human generations and even intergenerational benefits; forestry extension is a long-term proposition.
- Although the situation is changing somewhat, forestry differs from agriculture in terms of resource rights and tenure. Forestry deals more frequently with publicly owned and common property resources. Trees may also have a special legal status compared with other crops.
- For many forest users, forestry is not a primary or full-time occupation but an important or essential secondary activity.
- With some important exceptions, forestry generally deals with products and services of low value (at least in current valuation systems), and its impact on GNP is usually much less well accounted for than that of agriculture.
- Finally, forestry struggles with ecological considerations and integration - mostly in managing ecological processes and ecosystems as opposed to replacing or substituting for them.

In addition, forestry extension has had a different history from that of agricultural extension. Particularly in the developing world, agricultural extension was often seen as "an implementing arm of government. Extension programmes are designed to help farmers to meet some national goal. Extension policy and activities are designed to serve government objectives (26). In many cases, governments have a long history of heavy investment in agricultural extension. In forestry, however, the situation was different. In most cases, management of the forest estate was undertaken directly by government employees or by

concessionaires for whom no extension was foreseen. Forestry extension services were developed only recently, as concern heightened about forest degradation, to promote compliance with legislation and regulations. The concept of forestry extension as a means of assisting local people to improve their welfare through the sustainable management of forest and tree resources is even more recent. Throughout, the less direct connection between extension and increased national revenue has led to forestry extension services receiving significantly less funds than those related to agriculture.

Therefore, although much can and must be learned from agriculture, especially in areas where the two sectors overlap - particularly, but not exclusively, in the case of forestry, strong arguments can be made against subsuming forestry extension within agriculture. First, there are compelling arguments that, for issues ranging from tenure to gender, forestry extension is qualitatively different from agricultural extension and therefore requires a distinct approach: "Current agricultural extension methods are not tailored to include the considerations of the special legal status which trees may have compared to other crops, the time horizon for farmers before tree benefits may be available, the different seasonal rhythm of labour and other requirements of perennials compared with annuals, as well as the changing availability of many specific trees and tree products which have formerly been available as a free good. Second, lumping the two sectors together would inevitably result in forestry assuming even more the characteristic of the "poor relation" and, as such, receiving inadequate attention and consideration (25).

2.3 The Establishment and Aims of Plateau Agricultural Development Agency (PADP)

The Plateau Agricultural Development Programme (PADP) is an Integrated Rural Development outfit with its headquarters situated at Dogon Dutse, Jos. Initially in 1977, the Agricultural Development Project began as a project known as the Lafia Agricultural Development Project (LADP). The Plateau Agricultural Development Programme (PADP) is one of the multi-state Agricultural Development Projects which became established under a 1987 Edict by the Plateau State Government following the success of the Lafia Agricultural Development Project (LADP) (27). Funding for the PADP is such that the Federal government contributes 20%, the Plateau State government 4% while the World Bank contributes 66%. The programme covers an area of 54,000 km² with a size of 365,584 farm families. Clearly, the bulk of funding indicates that government at both the federal and state levels only contributes 24% and the World Bank contributes 66% respectively. The Plateau Agricultural Development Programme (PADP) has a mandate to achieve the following objectives;

- i. To improve the standard of living through infrastructural development and ensure national food security by increasing food crop production and income of small scale farmers in Plateau State.
- ii. To fashion out a flexible phased approach to development with initial emphasis on implementing well proven components geared towards the agricultural potentials and within the financial and

managerial capacity of the state, while embarking on an intensified programme of On-Farm Adaptive Research.

- iii. To assist the state in rationalizing current agricultural activities through a move to recognize commercial inputs distribution and develop a small but effective extension services.
- iv. To prepare for a transfer of a greater share of the responsibility of project appraisal and supervision of state institution.⁷

As has been shown above, the Plateau Agricultural Development Programme (PADP) was aimed at enhancing infrastructural development and food security, commercializing inputs distribution and providing a robust base extension service amongst others. The programme has a technical services department with a view to promoting the use of new farm technology and seed multiplication, an extension services department to provide technology dissemination and diffusion to farmers, Animal Traction, Fadama Development, Gender Issues/HIV AIDS campaign, fisheries, and livestock production, while the commercial services Department sales agro-chemical, sprayers, herbicides, and seeds at subsidized rate. Engineering services Department handles Rural Water Supply and Feeder Roads. The personnel department treats personnel matters and also coordinates the Project Management Unit (PMU). The finance/accounts Department handles financial records of the programme, while the planning, monitoring and evaluation unit prepares routine and adhoc reports in respect of project implementation that affects the programme (27).

3.0 METHODOLOGY

3.1 The Study Area

The study was carried out in Plateau State of Nigeria. Plateau State was created in February 1976 when it was carved out of Benue-Plateau State. It is located in the North Central region of the country referred to as the Middle Belt. The State has an estimated population of over 3.5 million people (28)(www.plateaustate.gov.org). It is located between latitude 80°24'N and longitude 80°32' and 100°38' east. It shares common boundaries with Kaduna and Bauchi States to the North, Benue State to the South, Taraba State to the East and Nasarawa State to the West. The State has 17 Local Government Areas and three senatorial Zones. The senatorial zones are: Plateau North, Plateau Central and Plateau South Senatorial Zones. Plateau North senatorial zone has six Local Governments namely: Jos North, Jos East, Jos South, Barikin Ladi, Bassa and Riyom Local Government Areas. Plateau Central zone has five local governments which include; Bokkos, Mangu, Pankshin, Kanam and Kanke Local Government Areas. Plateau South senatorial Zone has six Local Government Areas which include Langtang North, Langtang South, Mikang, Qua'an Pan, Shendam and Wase. The state is named after the picturesque Jos Plateau, a mountainous area in the north of the state with captivating rock formations. Bare rocks are scattered across the grasslands, which cover the plateau. The altitude ranges from around 1,200 meters

(about 4000 feet) to a peak of 1,829 metres above sea level in the Shere Hills range near Jos. Years of tin mining have also left the area strewn with deep gorges and lakes. Though situated in the tropical zone, a higher altitude means that Plateau State has a near temperate climate with an average temperature of between 18 and 22°C. Harmattan winds cause the coldest weather between December and February. The warmest temperature usually occur in the dry season months of March and April. The highest rainfall is recorded during the wet season months of July and August. With 70% of the population being rural dwellers, it is not surprising that 68% of the workforce is involved in agriculture. Apart from cereal crops such as maize, shorgum, accha, millet etc, the temperate climate of the Plateau allows for the production of vegetables crops such as potatoes, carrot, cowpea, pea and tomatoes. Animals such as pigs, goats, sheep, chicken and cattle are raised for meat. The state has more than 50 ethnic groups. Each ethnic group has its own distinct language, but as with the rest of the country, English is the official language in Plateau State; Hausa is also a common medium of communication and commerce as is the case in most parts of the North and Middle Belt of Nigeria.

3.2 Population and Sample Size Selection

The population of this study consists of all the rural forest farm families in Plateau State. Due to the enormity of the population, a sample size of 216 respondents was selected. A multi stage sampling technique was used in the selection of sample size for this study. In the first stage, the State was stratified into three (3) agricultural zones namely: Plateau North agricultural zone, Plateau Central agricultural zone and Plateau South agricultural zone. The second stage involved a purposive selection of two (2) Local Governments Areas from each of the agricultural zones for the study. Thus, from Plateau North agricultural zone, Jos East and Bassa L.G.As were selected, Bokkos and Pankshin L.G.As were selected from Plateau Central agricultural zone while Shendam and Quanpan L.G.As were selected from Plateau South agricultural zone. These Local Government Areas were selected due to their high concentration of natural forests and plantations. The third stage involved a random selection of two districts from each of the local government giving a total of twelve (12) districts for the study. Thus from Bassa LGA, Miango and Amoh districts were selected. From Jos East LGA, Fursum and Pedere districts were selected. From Bokkos LGA, Mushere and Daffo districts were selected. In Pankshin LGA, Pankshin and Wokkos districts were selected. In Qua'pan LGA, Kwande and Namu districts were selected while in Shendam LGA, Derteng and Dorok districts were selected. Finally, a sampling frame was developed for each of the selected districts and using proportional allocation, 10 % of the sample frame from each of the districts was drawn to obtain 216 respondents for the study. However, only 214 questionnaires were retrieved.

3.3 Methods of Data collection and Analysis

Data for this study were generated from primary sources. Primary data were generated from the farm families in Jos East, Bassa, Bokkos, Mikang, Shendam and Quanpan Local Governments Areas of Plateau

State using well-structured questionnaire and interview techniques. Data collected were analysed using descriptive statistics and five point likert type rating scale. Descriptive statistics such as frequencies, percentages and mean were used to analyze the socio-economic characteristics of the respondents, examine rural farmers access to forestry extension services and identify the forestry extension services delivered to rural farmers in the study area while five point likert scale was used to examine the perception of farmers on the effectiveness of forestry extension service delivery in the study area and the perceived constraints to forestry extension service delivery in the study area.

3.3.1 Likert Scale

The level of satisfaction with forestry extension service delivery in the study area and the perceived constraints to forestry extension service delivery in the study area were analysed using the 5- point likert scale and 5- point likert scale respectively. That is very satisfied, satisfied, neutral, dissatisfied and very dissatisfied. Very satisfied and satisfied were treated as positive perception towards the delivery of forestry extension services by the forestry extension agents, and very dissatisfied or dissatisfied were treated as negative perception towards the delivery of forestry extension services while neutral items showed that farmers knew nothing. A mean of 3.0 was used as cut-off point to determine satisfaction or dissatisfaction of the farmers with respect to each of the satisfaction indicators. Thus, a 5-point graphic rating scale of 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 add up to 15, which gives 3 as mean, when divided by 5 was used i.e. $5+4+3+2+1=15/5=3.0$. Based on the mid score decision rule, any mean score equal or greater than 3.0 is graded as satisfied. Any mean score less than 3.0 is graded as Dissatisfied. Similarly, farmers perception of the constraint to extension service delivery was measured using a 4-point rating scale of: Strongly Agree (SD) = 4; Agree = 3; Disagree = 2; and Strongly Disagree = 1. Based on the 4-point scale, a mid-point of 2.50 was established thus: $4+3+2+1 \div 4$. Decision rule was therefore made that any mean score greater than or equal to 2.50 suggests a constraint for forestry extension service delivery, while any mean score less than 2.50 suggests otherwise.

4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Socio-economic characteristics of the respondents

Age (years)

Age group is very important in the formulation of rural development projects where certain tasks are assigned to certain age group with the consideration of their physical fitness and potentiality. Result in Table 1 revealed that, the mean age of the farmers in the study area is 39 years. This shows that the

respondents were young and energetic. Reliance on youth and young people will guarantee the sustainability of any adopted interventions. (29) reported that young people are more likely to be better agents for technology adoption and transfer as they may have higher aspiration to accept new technologies compared to older farmers who are skeptical and critical of innovations.

Gender

Gender of the respondents reveals that majority (61.0%) of the respondents were males while only 39.0% were females. Thus, male headed households engage in farming more than female headed households. This could be due to the socio-cultural milieu of the area which gives males the access to production resources like land more than females.

Marital Status

Majority (85.0%) of farmers were married while 15.0% were single. This shows that married people dominates agricultural production in the area. This may be as a result of high labor requirement in agricultural production in which they use members of their family as labor force and partly due to the expected benefits derived in feeding members of their family from what they produced. This result agrees with (30) and (31) who revealed in their separate studies that majority of agroforestry farmers in Nigeria were married.

Educational Status

Educational level is considered as a monitor to detect the easiness of creating changes in attitudes and creating of awareness regarding restoration of the ecological balance in the study area. Result from Table 1 reveals that majority (53%) of the respondents had primary education, 24% had non-formal education, 17% had tertiary education while 6% had tertiary education. It could be deduced from this result that most of the respondents had at least one form of education. Education is an important factor influencing farmers' innovation uptake. From this finding, it is apparent that there is a possibility of adopting innovations when an effective extension unit is available to disseminate information and raise the awareness of the people which lead alleviation of poverty. According to (32) the level of education of a person not only increases his farm productivity but also enhances his ability to understand and evaluate new production technologies.

Size of farm land (hectares)

The average farm size of the respondents was 2.5 hectares. This implies that farmers in the study area are mainly smallholder farmers operating on little plots of farmland. This could be as a result of the fact that farm lands in most traditional societies are not communally owned and this leads to fragmentation, leaving farmers with small farm land.

Stocking density of trees

The majority of the respondents (60%) stated that they retain at least 1-10 trees on their farms, 31% of the respondents retained 11-20 trees on their farmlands. The average stocking density of trees in the study area is 11 trees. This stocking density is low considering the important roles trees play. Farmers attempt to retain trees for sake of provision of round timber for the maintenance of their traditional houses which is almost built completely from forest products. Farm trees, if managed properly, have the potential to offer many benefits to farmers. Therefore, expansion of tree cultivation should be recognized as a promising pathway to achieve increased income and food production by policy makers and extensionists alike.

Household Size

Results from the study showed that 47.0% of the respondents had 6-10 members per family, 26% had 11-15 members. The mean household size of the respondents was found to be 11 persons. This implies that significant component of the labour force comes from the family. Family labour is an important component of labour for small scale farmers. This is mainly because the subsistence farm households are resources poor and they may have to depend on family labour for agricultural activities which in most cases are labour intensive. This agrees with the findings of (31) who inferred that large household is advantageous in farming as labour may be derived from the members.

Sources of household income

Higher proportion (87.0%) of the respondents got their income from agriculture, 6.0% got their income from salary and 5.0% got their income from business/trading while 2.0 % of the respondents got their income from other non-farm businesses. This implies that agricultural production was a major means of livelihood in the study area. Source of income is an indicator of the main occupation of the respondents and expresses the contribution of the activities to the livelihood and welfare of the household. In general, rural areas of Sudan, farming is the main source of income. Forestry extension can enhance the resilience of local communities through focusing on agro forestry to guarantee the sustainability of the gum gardens and increasing crop productivity of agricultural crops.

Land tenure

A greater percentage (93.0%) of the respondents said they acquire their farmlands through inheritance while the remaining 7.0% of the respondents acquired their farmlands through rent. Land tenure is one of the most sensitive issues concerning forestry activities. The dominant form of land tenure in the study area is through inheritance. It seems that land acquisition is easy in the study area where only 7% possess land through rents. From these findings it is apparent that there is no problem of land (no landless farmers) and this is considered as the main key for success of communal forests if an effective extension unit is available.

Annual income (Naira)

The result from Table 1 also indicated that, the mean annual income of the farmers was ₦82742. This result indicates that farmers in the study area have high annual incomes showing that they have a good financial base for any agricultural venture.

Table 1: Distribution of Respondents Based on their Socio-economic Characteristics (N=214)

Variable	Frequency	Percentage	Mean
Age (years)			
21- 30	25	12.0	
31- 40	107	50.0	
41 – 50	58	27.0	
50 above	24	11.0	39
Sex			
Male	130	61.0	
Female	84	39.0	
Marital status			
Single	31	15.0	
Married	183	85.0	
Educational level			
Primary	113	53.0	
Secondary	37	17.0	
Tertiary	13	6.0	
Non formal education	51	24.0	
Household size (number)			
1-5	39	18.0	
6-10	101	47.0	
11-15	55	26.0	
16-20	19	9.0	10
Size of farm land (hectares)			
1.0-2.0	123	57.0	
3.0-4.0	79	37.0	
Above 4.0	12	6.0	2.5
Number of trees on farmland			
1-10	129	60.0	
11-20	66	31.0	
21-30	15	7.0	

>30	4	2.0	11
Sources of Household income			
Salary	12	6.0	
Agriculture	186	87.0	
Business/trading	11	5.0	
Others (specify)	5	2.0	
Land tenure			
Rent	16	7.0	
Inheritance	198	93.0	
Annual income (Naira)			
1000-50000	76	36.0	
51000-100000	69	32.0	
101000-150000	42	20.0	
151000-200000	16	7.0	
>200000	11	5.0	82742

Source: Field survey, 2020

4.3 Contacts with Forestry Extension Agent

Extension services have a significant role to play in agriculture as it serves as pivotal linkage between farmers and researchers in acquiring agricultural technologies (33). Respondents were asked if they had access to forestry extension services during the last one year. The result in Table 2 shows that greater proportion (55%) of the farmers affirmed that they do not have contact with forestry extension agents. The remaining 45% affirmed that they had contact with forestry extension agents during the last one year. Subjective evidence from most of the respondents shows that many rural farmers in the study area do not know about forestry extension. Many of them affirmed to have known of agricultural extension which according to them addresses crop farming related issues. Forestry extension essentially has the same objectives and uses many of the same methods as agriculture. However, forestry extension poses two special problems not commonly found in agricultural extension. The first is the long period that must elapse before improved forestry practices produce benefits. Whereas agricultural crop calendars can be measured in a few months, it takes years or decades for trees to reach a productive age.

Second, communal forest custody and management succeed only if there is a consensus among and a concerted effort by entire communities. In agriculture, an extension programme can be successful by convincing and then assisting only a handful of farmers to try new farming practices. In community

forestry, such small trials are unreliable. It is not enough for a handful of dedicated villagers to plant tree seedlings in a communal forest if these are going to be trampled the next day by cattle being grazed by other villagers.

Table 2. Distribution of Respondents According to Contacts with Forestry Extension Agents

Contact with FAE	Frequency	Percentage
Yes	97	45.0
No	117	55.0
Total	214	100

Source: Field survey, 2019

4.3.1 Frequency of Extension Visits

The results in Table 3 shows that during last years' growing season respondents accessed forestry extension services at the following intervals; 91% of them had contact with extension agent between 1 to 3 times and the remaining 9% had contact with extension agents 4 to 6 times in the last one year. The mean number of extension visits was 2 times in a year. This is considered low. The implication is that farmers may not be properly informed about new and improved farming practices well as sustainable forest practices. (31) noted that regular contact with extension agents motivates and exposes the farmers to innovations and gives them information on how to use the technologies. The frequency of visits by an extension officer to farmers may be connected to the availability of resources, staff and the nature of agricultural activity.

Table 3: Distribution of Respondents according to Number of Extension Visit (N=97)

Extension visit	Frequency	Percentage
1-3 times	88	91.0
4-6 times	9	9.0
7-9 times	0	0.0
Total	97	100
Mean	2.0	

4.4 Forestry Extension Services Available to Farmers

Data in Table 4 show the distribution of respondents according to types of forestry extension services available to them. The result in Table 4 below shows that 42% of respondents received information on forestry laws. 28% of the respondents received awareness campaign on dangers of bush burning, 17.5% received horticultural seedlings from extension agents, 27% had audio-visual shows, 18.3% were given practical teachings on method/result demonstration, 14.2% were given information on improved forest management practices while 12.2% received training on raising of seedlings.

Table 4: Distribution of Respondents According to Forestry Extension Services Available to them (N=97)

Variable	*Frequency	Percentage
----------	------------	------------

Information on forestry laws	41	42.0
Information on improved forest management practices	7	7.2
Information/training on agroforestry	11	11.3
Training on raising of seedlings	6	6.1
Campaign on dangers of bush burning	27	28.0
Training on budding/grafting	0	0.0
Distribution of horticultural seedlings	17	17.5
Organization of method/result demonstration	9	9.2
Organization of audio-visual shows	13	13.4

***Multiple responses**

4.5 Farmers Level of Satisfaction with Forestry Extension Service Delivery

Respondents were requested to indicate whether they were very satisfied, satisfied, were neutral, dissatisfied or very dissatisfied with each statement. Very satisfied and satisfied were treated as positive perception towards the delivery of forestry extension services by the forestry extension agents, and very dissatisfied or dissatisfied were treated as negative perception towards the delivery of forestry extension services while neutral items showed that farmers knew nothing. A mean of 3.00 was used as cut-off point to determine satisfaction or dissatisfaction of the farmers with respect to each of the satisfaction indicators. Thus, a 5-point graphic rating scale of 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 add up to 15, which gives 3 as mean, when divided by 5 was used. Result in Table 5 below indicates that farmers had positive perception and satisfaction with the delivery of only four of the forestry extension services namely; Campaign against felling of trees (3.20), campaign against clearing of forest plantation for farming (3.18), campaign against setting fire in the forest plantation (3.15) and prohibiting of hunting in the forest reserve (3.08). These were the only forestry extension services that were adjudged as satisfactory by the respondent with all of them having a mean rating above 3.0. Although extension performance in these four areas was not bad, there is need for improvement. Farmers however did not receive satisfaction in the delivery of services like distribution of horticultural seedlings (2.24), training on agroforestry (1.78), training on raising of seedlings (1.75), training on forest management practices (1.60), organizing of audio visual shows (1.60), training on budding and grafting (1.54) and method/result demonstration (1.46). This could be attributed to poor funding with the withdrawal of World Bank funding as well as inadequate research personnel. Subjective evidence from most of the respondents shows that extension officers visit farms less frequently than they should. As a standard practice, an extension officer should visit farmers at least once every week. Extension service providers should be saddled with the responsibility of ensuring that farmers are continually satisfied with services being delivered.

Table 5 Mean Rating of Farmers Satisfaction with Forestry Extension Service Delivery (N=79)

Forestry extension service	VS (5)	S (4)	Undecided (3)	VD (2)	D (1)	Sum	Mean
Campaign against felling of trees	19	21	9	17	13	253	3.20*
Forest management practices	0	3	4	31	41	127	1.60
Training on agroforestry	4	7	0	23	47	141	1.78
Campaign against forest fire	21	24	0	14	20	249	3.15*
Training on raising of seedlings	0	0	12	36	31	139	1.75
Training on budding and grafting	0	0	6	31	42	122	1.54
Distribution of horticultural seedlings	7	13	2	27	30	177	2.24
Method/result demonstration	0	0	3	31	45	116	1.46
Audio visual shows	0	0	7	34	38	127	1.60
Prohibiting hunting in the forest							
Reserve	19	25	1	12	22	244	3.08*
Prohibiting clearing of forest							
Plantation for farming	21	23	0	20	15	252	3.18*

VS= Very satisfied, S= Satisfied, U=Undecided, VD= Very Dissatisfied & D= Dissatisfied

Note: (*= Satisfied)

4.6 Constraints Limiting Extension Services Provided to Respondents:

Farmers perception of the constraint to extension service delivery was measured using a 4-point rating scale of: Strongly Agree (SD) = 4; Agree = 3; Disagree = 2; and Strongly Disagree = 1. Based on the 4-point scale, a mid-point of 2.50 was established thus: $4+3+2+1 \div 4$. Decision rule was therefore made that any mean score greater than or equal to 2.50 suggests a constraint for forestry extension service delivery, while any mean score less than 2.50 suggests otherwise. Based on result in Table 6, the most important constraints perceived to limit effective forestry extension service delivery in Plateau State includes; lack of funding of extension services (3.59), inadequate number of extension agents (3.15), lack of transport facilities (2.59) and lack of in-service training of personnel (2.51). The above items all had mean scores greater than the criterion mean score of 2.50 and are therefore considered as strong constraints faced by extension personnel in effectively delivering extension services to the rural people.

Inadequate funding ranked first as a perceived constraint to extension service delivery in the study area. The most difficult and challenging policy issue facing the agricultural extension service today is how to secure a stable source of funding (34)(Hamisu *et al.*, 2017). (35)Agbamu (2005) observed that Nigeria extension service is bedeviled by several problems which include inadequacy and instability of funding and poor logistic support for field staff.

Inadequate number of extension agents ranked second among the perceived constraints to extension service delivery. This implies that the respondents were not satisfied with the number of extension agents in the area. Extension agents are key to the success of any extension service delivery organization because they have direct contact with end-users of any farming technology. Based on their importance as strong actors in transforming agriculture, it's recommended by FAO that one extension agent should serve a maximum of one thousand (1000) farm families in developing countries. Inadequacy of extension personnel is a serious issue that inhibits effective dissemination of new and useful information of agricultural technologies. Authorities of extension organisation should urgently find means of recruiting adequate and qualified EAs.

Agricultural Extension personnel play an important role in the diffusion and dissemination of new agricultural technologies and thus, should be given priority for training. According to (36)Ovwigho and Ifie (2009), the training of agricultural extension workers is an integral part of the overall agricultural production process. It is the duty of agricultural extension agents to reach farmers scattered around the country with useful and practical information for increased agricultural production.

Table 6 Mean Rating of Perceived Constraints to Forestry Extension Service Delivery (N=79)

Perceive constraints	SA (4)	A (3)	SD (2)	D (1)	Sum	Mean
Inadequate number of extension agents	31	35	7	6	249	3.15*
Lack of in-service training of personnel	19	21	21	18	199	2.51*
Lack of funding of some extension activities	27	31	11	10	284	3.59*
Lack of subject matter specialist	11	15	25	28	167	2.11
Lack of commitment of field agents	9	11	23	36	175	2.21
Inadequate supervision of field agents	14	15	27	23	178	2.25
Lack of transport facilities	21	23	17	18	205	2.59*
Lack of incentives/motivation	17	13	28	21	184	2.32

SA=Strongly Agree, A=Agree, SD=Strongly Disagree, D=Disagree

Note: *= Agree

5 Conclusion

This study assessed forestry extension service delivery among forest farm communities in Plateau State with the aim to identify the forestry extension services available to rural farmers; examine the level of farmers' satisfaction with forestry extension service delivery and identify the perceived constraints to forestry extension service delivery in the study area. Out of the 214 sampled farmers, only 79 had received forestry extension services from extension agents as most of the farmers interviewed only knew of agricultural extension service which addresses mostly crop production matters. Extension services were mostly focused on crop production as the main occupation of the famers is agriculture. Forestry extension services available to farmers in the study area include; information on forestry laws, awareness

campaign against bush burning, distribution of horticultural seedlings, audio-visual shows, method/result demonstration, information on improved forest management practices, training on raising of seedlings etc. Farmers' had positive perception and satisfaction with the delivery of only four of the forestry extension services namely; Campaign against felling of trees, campaign against clearing of forest plantation for farming, campaign against setting fire in the forest plantation and prohibiting of hunting in the forest reserve. Farmers however did not receive satisfaction in the delivery of services like distribution of horticultural seedlings, training on agroforestry, training on raising of seedlings, training on forest management practices, organizing of audio visual shows, training on budding and grafting and method/result demonstration. The constraints perceived to limit effective forestry extension service delivery in Plateau State includes; lack of funding of extension services, inadequate number of extension agents, lack of transport facilities and lack of in-service training of personnel.

6 Recommendations

1. There is the need to recruit more extension agents to achieve optimal extension agent: Farm Family ratio for a more effective coverage
2. ADPs need to acquire the internal capacity to assess own trainings and development on a regular basis by adopting the training/ development analysis and planning as part of organizational design. This may facilitate the employees' learning through training so that their modified behaviour contributes to the attainment of the organization's goals and objectives.
3. Government should increase its funding of the ADPs to alleviate the problems of inadequate staff and insufficient training. Also, ADPs need to explore alternative sources and methods of sourcing funds for their operational services through partial commercialization of some of their services and encouragement of the private sectors to invest in agricultural information dissemination. There should adequate provision for good transport system for extension agents.

REFERENCES

1. Sackey, N.A (2007). Assessment of Forest Management Practices in Ghana—A case study of some forest districts in Ghana. *Int Manag Resour Environ J*, 1(2).
2. World Bank (2006). Strengthening Forest Law Enforcement and Governance. Report No. 36638 –GLB August 2006, USA.
3. Agbogidi O.M. and Eshgbeyi O.F (2008). Forestry Development for a Safe Environment In: Onykwelu J.C, Adekunle VAJ, Oke D.O (eds). Proc. Of the 1st National Conf. of the For. and For. Prod. Soc. of Nigeria (FFPN) held at the Federal Univ. of Technol., Akure, Ondo State between 16th -18th April, 2008. pp. 95-98.

4. Olagunju, T. E. (2015) Impacts of Human-induced Deforestation, Forest Degradation and Fragmentation on Food Security. *N Y Sci J* 2015;8(1):4-16]. (ISSN: 1554-0200). <http://www.sciencepub.net/newyork>.
5. Pujari, S. (2015). Indian Forests: Depletion and Conservation of Indian Forests
6. Agbogidi O.M, Ofuoku A.U (2005). State of Forestry Research and Education in Nigeria. In: Popoola L, Mfon P, Oni P.I Proc. of the 30th Annu. Conf. of FAN held in Kaduna, Kaduna State between 7th and 11th of November, 2005. pp. 484-490.
7. Onumadu F.N, Popoola L, Akinsorotan A.O (2001). Environmental Forestry Extension: the missing links. In: Popoola L, Abu JE, Oni PI (eds). Proc. of the 27th Annu. Conf. of FAN held in Abuja, FCT between 17th and 21st of Sept., 2001. pp. 290-298.
8. Agbogidi O.M, Ofuoku A.U (2009). Forestry Extension: Implications for forest protection. *International Journal of Biodiversity and Conservation Vol. 1(5) pp. 098-104 September, 2009*
9. Ogunwale AB, Ayoade AR, Ayansina SO (2006). Impact of Extension Service on Farmers Production Activities in Ogbomoso agricultural zone of Oyo State, Nigeria. *J. Agric. Ext.*, 9: 143-149.
10. Odediran, F.A.; Arabomen, O; Akanbi, F.S.Obafunsho, O.E; and Wahab,W.T.(2013): Deforestation: causes and consequences on the environment and resource sustainability in Nigeria. Proceedings of the FAN conference, 2013 Pp. 484 – 492
11. Alao, J.S. (2005): Capacity Building Modules in the Nigerian Forest Services. A Ph.D Thesis submitted to the department of Forest Resources Management, University of Ibadan, Ibadan 301p.
12. UN- REDD (2013): The State of Nigeria's Forest available at www.unredd.org/aboutUNREDD/programme/NationalProgramme/Nigeria/tabid/199/default.aspx
13. Ladipo, D.(2010): The state of Nigeria's Forest; International Institute of Tropical Agriculture(IITA), Ibadan, Nigeria. Available at (www.r4dreview.org>issue4/)
14. Chomini, E.A; Omoshebi,T; Adenug, K.M. and Emefiene, M (2013): Rural poverty: a challenge to green infrastructural development and sustainability in Nigeria. FAN Conference, 2013 Pp 337-344.
15. Farinola, L.A; Famuyide,O.O.; Adebayo,O; Awe, F and Adedokun, F.T.(2013): Overview of the Link between Green Economy and Sustainable Rural Livelihood in Nigeria. FAN Conference 2013. Pp. 659–668
16. FAO. (2003). The State of the World's Forests. Food and Agriculture Organization, Rome.
17. ITTO (2005), Tropical Forest Update. Vol.15 Number 3. Pp32. *Journal of Research Development. 2 (2): 26 -29*
18. Udo, E.S., S.I. Udofia and O. Olajide, (2009). Timber Dealers' Perception of their Knowledge of the Forest Law in Uyo senatorial district of Akwalbom State. *Nigeria African Research Review Journal, 3(1): 125-135.*

19. Eke A.C (2001). Communication: a tool for forest management and development in environmental conservation. *In: Popoola L, Abu JE , Oni PI (eds). Proc. of the 27th Annu. Conf. of FAN held in Abuja, FCT between 17th and 21st of Sept., 2001. pp. 214-216.*
20. Adeodun, O.A., Ladoja, O. and Adisa M. (2005). Forestry Extension Services in Nigeria: prospects and challenges. *In: Popoola L, Mfon P, Oni P.I. Proceedings. of the 30th Annual. Conference. of FAN held in Kaduna, Kaduna State between 7th and 11th of November, 2005., pp. 120-136.*
21. Röling, N., 1988. *Extension Science: Information Systems in Agricultural Development.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
22. Rebugio, L. L., 1978. Forestry Extension in the Philippines: A brief review. Forestry Occupancy Management and Extension Seminar, Maddela. Philippines.
23. Kibette J, Omunyan M, Muchiri J (2005). Elements of Agricultural Extension Policy in Kenya: Challenges and Opportunities. *ACSS Conference Proceedings 7:1491-1494.*
24. Khan, A. and M. Akram(2012). Farmers' Perception of Extension Methods used by Extension Personnel for Dissemination of New Agricultural Technologies in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. *Sarhad J. Agric.28(3):511-520*
25. Anderson, J and J. Farrington (1996). Forestry Extension: facing the challenges of today and tomorrow. *International journal of Forestry and Forest industries. Vol.47. 1996/1*
26. Samy, M.M. 1995. Using Past and Present U.S. Experience for Averting an Uncertain Future in Agricultural Extension in Developing Countries. *INTERPAKS Digest, Vol. 3(1).*
27. A Profile of Plateau Agricultural Development Programme, January 2014. P.1
28. www.plateaustate.org
29. Ajayi, O. C, Akinnifesi, F. K., Sileshi, G. and Chakeredza, S. (2007). Adoption of Renewable Soil Fertility Replenishment Technologies in the Southern African Region: Lessons learnt and the way forward. *Natural Resources Forum 31: 306 – 317.*
30. Obasi, P. C, Okparadim, G. I. and Henri-Ukoha, A. (2012). Economics of Agroforestry in Imo State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Agricultural and Food Science, 2(1), 7-13.*
31. Orisakwe, L and Agomuo, F. O. (2011). Adoption of Improved Agroforestry Technologies among Contact Farmers in Imo State, Nigeria. *Asian Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development, 2 (1), 1-9.*
32. Henri-Ukoha A., Orebiyi, J. S., Obasi, P. C., Oguoma, N. N., Ohajianya, D. O., Ibekwe, U. C. and Ukoha, I. I. (2011). Determinants of Loan Acquisition from the Financial Institutions by Small-scale Farmers in Ohafia Agricultural zone of Abia State, South-east Nigeria. *Journal of Development and Agricultural Economics, 3(2), 69-74.*

33. Kiptot, E. and Franzel, S. (2011). Gender and Agroforestry in Africa: Are women participating?. World Agroforestry Centre Nairobi. [<http://www.worldagroforestry.org>] site visited on 28/5/2012.
34. Hamisu, S. Ardo, A. M. Makinta, M. M. Garba L. and Musa, G (2017). A Review on Current Status of Agricultural Extension Service in Nigeria. *Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research 1(3): 1-8, 2017; Article no.AJAAR.34875*
35. Agbamu, J. U. (2005). Problems and Prospects of Agricultural Extension Service in Developing Countries: in S.F. Adedoyin (Eds). *Agricultural Extension in Nigeria*. ARMTI, Ilorin. AESON.
36. Ovwigho, B.O. and Ifie, P.A. (2009). Principles of Youth Development in Africa.. Benin-City: Ethiope Publishing Corporation.

UNDER PEER REVIEW