# **Handline Capture Device Efficiency in Rural Kayubulan** Subdistrict Batudaa Gorontalo Province Indonesia

3 4

1

2

5

6

19 20 21

18

22 23 24

25 26

27

28

29

## **Abstract**

This research aims to know the technical efficiency handline fishing gear and allocative efficiency (price) handline fishing gear as well as economic efficiency handline fishing gear in the village Kayubulan Batudaa District of Gorontalo Province Gorontalo Regency Beach. This research was conducted in October-November 2018. This study uses Quantitative descriptive analysis of the data by using DEA (Data Envelopment Analysis) to measure the technical efficiency and CBA (Cost Benefit Analysis) to measure allocative efficiency (price) and the analysis of economic efficiency is the result of technical efficiency and allocative efficiency (price). Analyzing technical efficiency using software DEAP version 2.1. The results showed that the technical efficiency (ET) note that the value of the average value of technical efficiency obtained at0788or <1, meaning that the fishing effort handline fishing is still technically efficient. On average allocative efficiency / price (EH) obtained by 3,881 or > 1, so the fishing effort by handline fishing gear has been efficient in the allocative. The average economic efficiency obtained for 3091 or > 1, so the average fishing effort by handline fishing gear is already economically inefficient.

Keywords: efficiency, technical, allocative, economic, handline, DEA

## 1. Introduction

Potential of marine resources in Indonesia has been utilized in a variety of economic activities, where one of them is in the fishery business. Fisheries is itself an economic activity which is unique when compared to other activities. This relates to the condition of marine resources and the fish itself is often regarded as common property resources (common property resources) (Desniarti et al, 2006). Marine resources in Indonesia, especially in Sulawesi is relatively abundant, rich and varied, especially in coastal areas. With the increasing rate of population growth, the demand for seafood, especially fish is increasing. The increasing demand requires fishermen and entrepreneurs engaged in fishing to increase production (Suhartono, 2004).

The increase in the catch of fishermen dependent on the use of production inputs. Information on the effects of production inputs is necessary for optimal fishing effort with effective use of production inputs and efficiently so as to increase catches and fishing income (Alhuda et al, 2016). This means that to produce the highest efficiency value then the fisherman should be able to take into account a combination of factors of production with catches obtained. Differences between the inputs causes different efficiency values (Azizah, 2016).

According Hasibuan (1984) in Wicaksono et al (2014), efficiency is the best comparison between inputs and outcomes between the advantages to the sources used, as well as optimal results are achieved with the use of limited resources. Efforts to increase efficiency generally associated with a smaller fee to obtain a certain outcome or a certain cost that much result. This means that the waste be reduced to as small as possible and something that makes it possible to reduce the cost of this is done for the sake of efficiency.

Research on the efficiency of fishing gear handline yet, so far only on the efficiency of fishing gear fishery gillnet and cantrang (Study In Pemalang, Central Java) by Sutanto (2005), where the results show that the price efficiency and economic efficiency has been efficient or equal to 1, while technical efficiency not equal to 1 is not efficient so it is still possible to add or reduce the allocation of inputs. Kayubulan village is a village located in the district of Batudaa Beach district, Gorontalo, Gorontalo (Profile villages Kayubulan, 2017). The majority of the villagers Kayubulan livelihood as a fisherman. Some fishermen in this village do fishing effort using handline fishing gear or commonly known as pull fishing line. Generally, fishermen have not been able to use combinations of inputs corresponding to the catches obtained. The allocation of production inputs combination can increase the efficiency which in turn can increase the income of fishermen.

This study aims untukmengetahui efficiency handline fishing gear in the village Kayubulan Kecamtan Batudaa Beach Gorontalo Regency Gorontalo province. The results of this study are expectedAs inputs to the government and other parties in the quest for approaches to improve the technical efficiency, allocative and economic handline fishing gear and can become the basis for further research information concerning technical efficiency, allocative and economic handline fishing gear.

### 2. Research Methodology

Location of the study was conducted in the village of Kayubulan, District Batudaa Beach, Gorontalo regency. When the study was conducted in October-November, 2018. For more details, location research can be seen in Figure 2 below.



Figure 1. Map Village Kayubulan (Source: Essential GPS)

### 2.1. Data collection technique

Data collected consist of primary data and secondary data. Primary data were obtained from observations and interviews with respondents directly in the field. Data collected on the volume of catches (Kg / Trip), cost of supplies (USD / Trip), fuel (Liters / Trip), the number and length of trips, the size of the machine (PK), the size of the boat (GT) and the number of crew members (fishermen) , Secondary data is data used to support the information obtained from the village profile, previous studies, and literature related to this research.

The sampling technique was conducted by proposive sampling, ie sampling based on the consideration or researchers believe that taken have related to the purpose of information and research (Hiola, 2017). Samples will be taken is set at 65 185 the number of fishermen (Kayubulan Village Profile, 2017). This sampling is determined by using the formula slovin (Simanjuntak, 2016):

$$n = \frac{1 + Ne^{2}}{1 + Ne^{2}}$$

$$n = \frac{185}{1 + 185 \times 0.1^{2}}$$

$$n = \frac{185}{1 + 1.85}$$

$$n = \frac{185}{2.85}$$

$$n = 64, 91 (65 \text{ respondents})$$

Information:

n = Large Sample

N = population size or number of fishermen

e = Percent leeway inaccuracy due to sampling error that can be tolerated (tolerance degree of sampling error) is 10% (0.1).

### 2.2. Data Analysis

The analytical method used in this research is descriptive quantitative method. This research method used to collect data or information about a population from a sample. The characteristics of this research method is obtained from the samples and information collected through referred the questions (oral or written) (Aprilia, 2011).

### 1) Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) 2.1

Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) is an analysis for the measurement of efficiency is free value (value free) because it is based on the data available without having to consider the assessment (judgment) of the decision makers. DEA model approach, which is a mathematical programming

approach to estimate the technical efficiency (TE) and output capacity. DEA analysis aimed at measuring the performance of a relatively (relative performance) of the unit of analysis on the condition of the existence of multiple inputs and outputs (Wardono, 2016).

The technique is also known as CCR (first name three inventors: Charnes, Cooper and Rhodes, 1978), a performance measurement of the relative efficiency of decision-making unit DMU (decision making units) in an activity. In the application of fisheries DEA has an advantage in its ability to estimate capacity under the constraint of a particular policy implementation (Nababan and Sari, 2010). Another feature of the DEA model is its ability to accommodate multiple outputs and multiple inputs (Wardono, 2016). Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) in this study was undertaken with the help of DEAP version 2.1,

### 2) Cost Benefit Analysis (CBA)

B / C is the value or benefits derived from each unit costs. Where B / C is obtained by dividing the total revenue to total spending. Kadariah and Gray (1987) in Alhuda et al (2016), states that to determine the level of efficiency of a business can be a parameter that is by measuring the amount of income divided by the amount of expenditure, in which:

 $B / C = \frac{Penerimaan\ Total}{Biaya\ Total}$ 

With the following criteria:

B / C> 1: Efficient B / C = 1: Breakeven B / C < 1: Inefficient

## 3) economic efficiency

Economic efficiency is a product of technical efficiency and price efficiency. (Susantun, 2000, in Sutanto, 2005). So the economic efficiency can be achieved if both the efficiency is achieved so that it can be written as follows:

EE = ET.EH

Where:

EE = Efficiency Economies ET = Efficiency Technical

EH = Efficiency Price (allocativ

### 3. Findings and Discussion

## **Technical Efficiency**

Technical efficiency is a measure of the best production capabilities and optimal output that may be achieved from a variety of inputs and technologies used (Viswananthan et.al., 2003, in Sutanto, 2005). In this research, technical efficiency is measured by the program Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) Version 2.1

The average results of the analysis of technical efficiency of fishing effort by handline fishing gear in detail can be seen in Table 1 below.

Table 1. Results of DEA analysis of the average technical efficiency handline fishing gear in the village Kayubulan.

Based on Table 1 above, of the 65 respondents were analyzed using a handline fishermen averaging DEA technical efficiency (ET) to INPUT\_1 amounted to 2,557, INPUT\_2 amounted 0427, INPUT\_3 amounted 1115, INPUT\_4 of 0126, INPUT\_5 amounted 0051 and input\_6 amounted 2622 and amounted to 0.064 input\_7, This shows that the variable cost of supplies (INPUT\_1), a variable number of trips (INPUT\_3) and variable size already technically efficient machine that efficiency score above 1 or> 1. This may imply that as more supplies are brought on when at sea it will encourage more optimal fishing performances it will get bigger catches. According Aprilla et al (2013), the acquisition of catches can be further enhanced with the addition of the cost of supplies. In other words, there is always a chance to rearrange the combination and use of factors of production of the cost of supplies such as to obtain larger catches. With the proper supplies can drive more optimal crew performance, based on interviews of fishermen stated that the guarantee of all the necessary requirements then their performance will be optimized.

Number of fishing operations and the size of the engine is also one of the factors that affect the catch. The more the number of fishing operations or the number of trips will increase larger catches and by regulating the engine size combination in accordance with the size of the vessels used so that there is a balance and there will be no technical inefficiency. Based on research Iskandar and

Thunder (2014), the number of fishing operations and reach a broader fishing area will provide opportunities for fishermen to obtain more catches. The greater size of the machine will be able to reach the desired area with a fishing ground further and faster so that the fishermen will get bigger catches

Variable Fuel (INPUT\_2), long trip (INPUT\_4), the size of the boat (INPUT\_5) and the number of crew members or fishermen (input\_7) has not reached the score of technical efficiency (TE) or inefficiency. This shows that there has been use of factors of production that is less than optimal by handline fishermen in the village Kayubulan the arrest operation. In general, handline fishermen in the village Kayubulan limited use of fuel according to the fishing areas backfire because almost the average fisherman uses a range of catchment area far enough from the mainland this is what causes the variable fuel is not mecapai score for efficiency. According to Sutanto (2005), the fuel is a factor of production is very important because without fuel boat can not run and determine the extent to which the boat can reach the fishing ground.

Variable long trip or have not yet reached a negative effect of efficiency. This may imply that the number of catches is not determined by the length of time at sea. In general, handline fishermen in the village Kayubulan if already get enough results, or the average time at sea is already 2-6 hours / trip then they will go back to the mainlandbecause it carries sufficient fuel for the fishing operation time with bringing their catch. Variable size of boat (INPUT\_5) used by handline fishermen have not yet reached this matter because efficiency score handline fishermen in the village Kayubulan generally operate on a small scale and size of the boat is also small. Size handline fishing boats in the village Kayubulan range of 2-3 GT.According to Effendi (2018), there is no guarantee that the larger the ship, it will increase the ability to get more catches. It is theoretically shown that the large size of the ship will have implications for the range of fishing areas farther. In addition, factors which increasingly limited fish resources causes increasing vessel size does not necessarily have a high efficiency.

Variable number of crew members (fishermen) is one of the variables that have not yet reached a score of efficiency it is in because handline fishermen in the village Kayubulan almost an average of only using manpower or the number of fishermen who sail a little. This may imply that the number of fishermen that more will not affect catches obtained at the time of the arrest operation. According Aprilla et al (2013), the addition of the use of production factors can result in total production decreased, to achieve efficiency of the use of factors of production hence the need for a reduction in the use of the input power boat engines, the number of crew on board, and the amount of light that can be efficient in obtain catches. Reducing the use of engine power boats used can be adjusted to the size of the ship. In addition to the income of fishermen depend on operating costs in the arrest of a fishing trip and catch obtained.

Based on his research Sulistyowati (2017), the time at sea and fishing experience ratio of 0, which means fishermen are not limited by time when it is getting the catch kept returning to the fishing ports in order to fuel more effectively. Experience is not required to fish for fishermen too long because the longer mean fishermen are old so that the energy is reduced. Therefore, it is necessary to use the appropriate inputs in order to obtain optimal catches.

Based on this research, technical efficiency handline fishing gear in the village Kayubulan can be seen in Figure 2.




Figure 2. Graph of technical efficiency handline fishing gear in the village Kayubulan Based on Figure 7 shows that the individual, the level of technical efficiency and technical inefficiency of the 65 ships that were analyzed were varied, namely between 0:41 to 0:50 and 0.91-

0.99, Overall, it can be said that some handline fishermen still in inefficient use of inputs, for the production of fish.

Number of handline fishing boats which were analyzed in the village Kayubulan reached 65 boats. Of these 12 boats (18%) were efficient with a score of efficiency equal to 1, and 53 boats (82%) of them have not been efficient with less efficiency score of 1 is 5 boat (8%) had a score of efficiency of between 0.91 to 0, 99, 12 boats (18%) had a high score from 0.81 to 0.90, 16 boats (25%) had a score of efficiency between 0.71 to 0.80, 12 boats (18%) had a score of efficiency between 0, 61 to 0.70, 7 boats (11%) had a score of efficiency of between 0.51 to 0.60, and the rest that one boat (2%) had a score of efficiency between 0.41 to 0.50.

According Olii et al (2007), ships that have a percentage value below 70% efficiency requires a lot of improvement to achieve efficient, while the value of the ship efficiency below 10% should not be used again to carry out fishing activities. Technical efficiency handline fishing gear, mostly only reached 12 boats from 65 the number of boats. Thus the actual use handline fishing gear is not yet approaching the efficient use of inputs. While that inefficiencies at 53 boats with an average score of efficiency that is0788, Therefore, to increase the income of fishermen from fishing effort should fisherman uses inputs more efficiently.

In this regard the technical viability of fishing operations, fuel is an important factor for the mobilization of fishermen in exploring the fishing area. Adequate amount of fuel that allows fishermen to achieve better capture site (Aprianto 2008 in Guntur, 2013).

Based on research Azizah (2016), technical efficiency is calculated based fishing season, due to differences in the number of production factors. Based on the results the total value of the technical efficiency of the peak season, the season of transition, and the famine that has the highest efficiency value is a category 4 setnet the submarine nets that carry 7 pieces of fishing equipment.

Based on his research Olii et al (2007), the most efficient gear for the territorial waters of the southern coast of Gorontalo is the purse seine and fishing, while the gill net is a type of fishing gear that is not efficient so to consider its use in the future. This shows that in addition to purse seine and fishing, trawl fishing gear bag, gill nets and lift nets caused "excess capacity".

Based on research Gigentika et al (2016), tuna fishing activities in Kupang using troll lines, handline and pole and line, indicates that there are a number of vessels that are not efficient kerna excessive use of production inputs. However, the ship that matches the size of  $\leq$ 20 GT has been efficient in the use of fishing capacity and production inputs. Therefore, catching tuna in Kupang need to increase production and reduced use of inputs.

#### **Efficiency Price (allocative)**

Can be interpreted as an attempt efficient input use the smallest to get as much production besarnnya. If the value of B / C is smaller than the one it is not efficient. This situation indicates inefficient use of inputs. So as to be efficient, it needs to be reduced input use (Soekartawi 2003 in Sutanto, 2005).

Based on the results of the study, the average total monthly income and expenses in the Village Kayubulan handline fishermen can be seen in Table 2.

Table 2. Income and expenses monthly total handline fishing gear

Table 2 The above shows that the income of the average monthly total of 65 analyzed handline fishing is Rp. 6,306,154 whereas the average total cost per month is Rp handline fishermen 1,776,446 the result of the average fixed cost per month handline fishing is Rp 389.053 and the average variable cost handline fishermen per month is Rp 1,387,393, Comparison between total revenue and total cost obtained the B / C ratio of 3,881. This proves that the fishing effort using handline fishing gear carried in the peak season in the village of allocative Kayubulan already efficient manner.

Based on this research, allocative efficiency (price) handline fishing gear in the village Kayubulan can be seen in Figure 3.




Figure 3. The allocative efficiency handline fishing gear in the village Kayubulan

Based on Figure 8 shows that individual, allocative efficiency rate (price) of the 65 respondents analyzed were varied, namely between 0.91-0.99, Overall, it can be said that part handline fishermen have been efficient in using inputs for the production of fish. Allocative efficiency (price) handline fishing gear, mostly at 97% of 65 respondents. Thus of the total 65 respondents on average use handline fishing gear is already approaching the efficient use of inputs. While respondents inefficiency reaches 3% with an average score effensinya of 3,881, Therefore, to increase the income of fishermen from fishing effort should fisherman uses inputs more efficiently. For more details can be found in appendix 9.

According Digal et al (2017), factors that can affect the efficiency of fishing gear handline fishers use a model Tobit, it was found that the arrest operation, length of trip, number of crew, the cost of supplies, the cost of the radio, the fuel and the number of trips to significantly affect the efficiency of fishing gear handline.

According Sukiyono and Romdhon (2016), increased efficiency should be more emphasis on increasing the number of catches and maintain the price stability of the fish. In other words, efforts to improve the allocative efficiency does not always have to do with the increase in the number of inputs used, but can also be done through efficiency-forming component itself. Specialized in fishery business, improving the allocative efficiency is through an increase in the number of catches to maintain the sustainability of marine fisheries.

According Wardono (2013), changes in efficiency and scale efficiency change, is a tool to drive efficiency improvement programs fishermen to steer decision-makers in determining priorities regarding fishing technology and fishing skills. Total factor productivity changes reflect changing technology level compared to the change in the level of efficiency.

Efficiency in production process is of significant importance in the effort to increase revenue. If the efficiency of production carried out correctly it will encourage the use of production factors optimally, which in turn will provide the maximum benefit for the businesses. (Sutarni, 2013 in Mariani et al, 2013).

#### **Economic efficiency**

Economic efficiency is also a product of technical efficiency and price efficiency. Based on research, the average economic efficiency handline fishermen in the village Kayubulan can be seen in Table 3.

Table 3. Average economic efficiency handline fishing gear in the village Kayubulan

Based on technical efficiency (ET) and the efficiency of the price / allocative (EH) then obtained an average economic efficiency (EE) fishing effort by using a handline fishing gear for 3091, Therefore, economic efficiency is greater than 1, it can be concluded handline fishing gears in the village Kayubulan already efficient, so that the average handline fishermen can already be said to be economically efficient to use a particular input. It is expected the efficient use of inputs that will produce the optimal fish catch.

Based on the results, scores of individual economic efficiency of handline fishing gear in the village Kayubulan can be seen in Figure 9.




Figure 4. Economic efficiency handline fishermen in the village Kayubulan

Based on Figure 9 shows that the individual, the level of economic efficiency of 65 respondents analyzed were varied, namely between 0.91-0.99 are not efficiency or inefficiency, The use of production factors handline fishing gear fishing unit in the village of Kayubulan are in already economically efficient conditions, where the most value is greater than one with an average score of efficiency of 3091, Overall, it can be said that part already efficient handline fishermen. For more details can be seen in appendix 10.

According Aprilla ddk (2013), this condition requires fishermen more careful in the use of production factors that affect the fishing effort of the catch that was obtained so that the achievement of economic efficiency. Another thing that affects the level of economic efficiency here in addition to the availability of fish resources is minimal in west season, also because fishermen can not reach the fishing areas further away, the fishermen just do fishing operations close to the beach and the cost of production factors arrest the price increases so that the pressing operating expenses arrest.

Overall efficiency gain can occur if the quality could be improved fishing-related mastery of technology that can provide clear guidelines for the presence of groups of fish waters. Operating cost management ability is also very influential arrest so as to allocate the existing fish resources effectively and efficiently which ultimately result maximum output (Aprilla et al, 2013).

#### 4. Conclusion

Based on the results of research on the efficiency of handline fishing gear in the village Kayubulan Batudaa District of Gorontalo Province Gorontalo Regency Beach can be concluded that:

- 1. Technically handline fishing gear used by fishermen in the village Kayubulan inefficient.
- 2. In the allocative / price handline fishing gear used by fishermen in the village Kayubulan already efficient
- 3. Economically handline fishing gear used by fishermen in the village Kayubulan already efficie

## 5. References

333 Alhuda S. Anna Z and Rustikawati I. 2016. Analysis of Productivity and Business Performance Fishermen 334 Purse Seine Fishery Harbor Lempasing Beach, Bandar Lampung. Journals. Padjadjaran 335 University.http://jurnal.unpad.ac.id/jpk/article/view/13933. 13 September 2018, (7:54) 

Aprilia, S. 2011. Trophic Level Catch Capture Device Used By Fishermen In Bojonegara of Serang district,
 Banten. Essay. Department of Fisheries Resource Utilization. Faculty of Fisheries and Marine
 Science. Bogor Agricultural Institute.https://repository.ipb.ac.id/handle/123456789/47108.13 August
 2018, (07:56)

Aprilla RM, Mustaruddin, Wiyono ES, Zulbainarni N., 2013. Analysis of Efficiency Unit Arrests Ring Trawl
 Fishery Harbor Beach Lampulo In Banda Aceh. Journals. System Studies and Modeling
 Fisheries.https://www.researchgate.net/publication/316028971, 16 November 2017 (07:15)

346 Azizah UA 2016. Technical and Economical Efficiency Unit Arrests Net Setet and Strategy Development In 347 Muncar, Banyuwangi. Essay. Department of Fisheries Resource Utilization. Faculty of Fisheries and 348 Marine Science. Bogor Agricultural Institute.https://www.google.com/url. 13 September 2018, (7:54) 

- 350 Desniarti Fauzi A., Monintja DR, and Boer M., 2006. Analysis of the Pelagic Fisheries Capacity In Coastal 351 Waters of West Sumatra province. Journals.Department of Fisheries Resource Management. 352 Department of Water Resource Management. Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science. Bogor Agricultural Institute. Bogor.http://journal.ipb.ac.id/index.php/jippi/article/view/12003. March 21,
- 353 354 2018, (09:00) 355
- 356 Digal LN, astronomo IJT, Placencia SGP, and Balgos CQ 2017 Technical Efficiency of handline Fishers in Region 12, Philippines: Application of Data Envelopment Analysis. Journals. School of 357 Management. University of the Philippines Mindanao. Mintal, Tugbok District. 8022. Davao City 358 359 Philippines 360
- 361 Department of Community Development District Government of Gorontalo. 2017 Profile Village. Kayubulan village Batudaa District of Gorontalo Regency Beach. gorontalo 363
- 364 Efendi DS 2007. Excess Capacity Analysis Pekalongan Ring Trawl Fisheries Policy in respect to fisheries in 365 Thesis. Graduate the Java Sea Area. School. Bogor Agricultural Institute.https://repository.ipb.ac.id/handle/123456789/49773. 25 November 2018, (06:03) 366

387

392

- 368 Gigentika S., Conscience TW, H. Sugeng, Wisudo, Policy, J. 2016. Fishing capacity and technical efficiency 369 of the tuna fisheries in Kupang, Indonesia. Journals.Program of Marine Fisheries Technology. 370 Postgraduate Program of Bogor Agricultural University. Bogor. Indonesia.
- 371 Guntur, A. 2013. Technical and Economical Efficiency Capture Device Scratch And Development Opportunities In Rural Rawameneng Blanakan Subang, West Java. Essay. Department of Resource 372 Utilization. Fisheries Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences. Bogor Agricultural Institute. 373 374 Bogor.https://ejournal.unsri.ac.id/index.php/maspari/article/view/1816.16 November 2017, (07:07)
- 375 Hiola, F. 2017. Revenue Analysis and Marketing Efficiency calamari Fishermen Village Beach Batudaa Lamu District of Gorontalo district. Essay. Department of Water Resource Management. Faculty of 376 Fisheries and Marine Sciences, State University of Goronta 377
- 378 Iskandar D and Guntur A., 2014. Technical and Economic Efficiency Capture Device Scratch and Development Opportunities in Rural Rawameneng, Subang regency, Journals. Department of 379 380 Fisheries Resource Utilization.
- 381 FPIK.IPB.https://ejournal.unsri.ac.id/index.php/maspari/article/view/1816. 16 November 2018, 382
- 383 N. Mariani, Aimon H., Sentosa SU2013. Production and Efficiency Analysis of Sea Fishermen Fish Chart 384 Machine Koto Xi Tarusan In South Coastal District. Journals, Koto Xi Tarusan. South Coastal 385 District.https://dokumen.tips/documents/analisis-produksi-dan-efisiensi-ikan-laut-nelayan-bagan-386 mesin-dikotoxi-tarusan.html. 16 November 2018, (07:30)
- 388 Nababan and Sari 2010. Loans Venture Capital Efficiency Analysis For Fishermen Fishing, Journals. Economics 389 Department of Resource and the Environment.Bogor Agricultural 390 Institute.http://ejournal\_balitbang.kkp.go.id/index.php/sosek/article/view/5792/5015. March 21, 391 2018, (9:28)
- 393 N Suhartono H. 2004. Technical And Financial Analysis Business Unit Fishing Kites In Banggae Majene 394 waters. Essay. Fisheries Resource Utilization Studies Program. Department of Fisheries. Faculty of 395 Marine Sciences and Fisheries, Hasanuddin University.
- 396 Makassar.http://www.academia.edu/19979467/Analisis\_Teknis\_dan\_Finansial\_Unit\_Usaha\_Pancing \_Layangan\_di\_Perairan\_Banggae\_Kabupaten\_Majene. March 5, 2018, (07:24) 397 398
- 399 Olii AH, Monintja DR, Purbayanto A And Nikijuluw V.Ph. 2007. Capacity Tomini Fishing In Southern 400 waters territory Gorontalo. Journals. Department of Fisheries. Faculty of Agriculture. UNG. 401 Resource Utilization. Faculty of Fisheries of Fisheries 402 IPB.https://www.google.com/search. October 17, 2018, (9:19)
- 404 Simanjuntak NS 2016 Analysis of Potential of Fishery Products to Improve Welfare of Fishermen In Kota 405 Sibolga, Essay, Department of Economic Development, Economics and Business Faculty, University 406 of Northern Sumatra. Field.http://repositori.usu.ac.id/handle/123456789/2056. July 25, 2018, (11:42) 407
- 408 Sukiyono K., 2016. MM Romdhon allocative efficiency Factor In Business fisheries In Bengkulu City: A 409 Case Capture Device gillnet. Journals. Social Department of Agricultural Economics. Faculty of

410	Agriculture.	University			of
411	Bengkulu.https://ejournal.undip.ac.id/index.php	/saintek/article/view/11142.	16	November	2018,
412	(07:20)				
440					

414 Sulistyowati. 2017. Analysis of Efficiency Catching Nets Arad In Batang. Journals. Agribusiness Studies 415 Program. STIP Farming.https://journal.kopertis6.or.id/index.php/sosek/article/view/128/105. 16 416 November 2018, (07:26)

417

418 Sutanto HA 2005. Efficiency Analysis Capture Tools and cantrang gillnet fishery. Thesis. Study Program
419 Economics and Development Studies. Diponegoro University.
420 Semarang.http://eprints.undip.ac.id/15629/, March 25th 2018 (14:15)

421

Wardono, B. 2013. Efficiency, Productivity And Instability Index Tuna Longline Fishery and Fishing Tonda.
 Journals.Socio-Economic Research Center for Marine and Fisheries. Balitbang. Ministry of Maritime
 Affairs and
 Fishery.http://journal.ipb.ac.id/index.php/jpsp/article/view/13530. March 21, 2018, (09:00)

425 426

427 Wicaksono GK, Asrriyanto And Boesono. 2014. Technical Efficiency Analysis of Genuine Payang And
428 Modification Payang With Windows Side Of Catch Waterway Kendal. Essay. Department of
429 Fisheries Resource Utilization. Faculty of Fisheries and Marine
430 Science. Diponegoro University. https://ejournal3.undip.ac.id/index.php/jfrumt/article/view/4965.
431 March 21, 2018, (09:34)

432 433 434

1). Table 1. Results of DEA analysis of the average technical efficiency handline fishing gear in the village Kayubulan.

No.	variables	ET
1	INPUT_1 (Cost Supplies)	2,557
2	INPUT_2 (BBM)	0427
3	INPUT_3 (Total Trip)	1115
4	INPUT_4 (Long Trip)	0126
5	INPUT_5 (boat size)	0051
6	Input_6 (Size Machine)	2,622
7	Input_7 (Total ABK)	0064
	(Source: Primary Data Processed, 2018)	

435 436

2). Table 2. Income and expenses monthly total handline fishing gear

(Source: Primary Data Processed, 2018)

No.	commentary	minimal	Maximum	Average
1	Income			
		1,500,000	11,500,000	6,306,154
2	Total	1,030,833		
2	costs	1,030,033	4.506.251	1 776 446
			4,506,251	1,776,446
3	Fixed	130.833	2,239,583	
	cost			389.053
4	variable			
	costs	832.500	2,358,000	1,387,393
5	B/C			3,881

3). Table 3. Average economic efficiency handline fishing gear in the village Kayubulan

No.	commentary	Value
1	minimal	0966
2	Maximum	7663
3	Average	3091

(Source: Primary Data Processed, 2018)