SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_SAJSSE_64772**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Does Value Addition Pay? Evidence from Roasted Meat Processors in Mubi North Local Government Area of Adamawa State**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The paper is a brilliant attempt by the Author(s) except for few minor revisions/ corrections needed as provided on the review comment made on the soft copy of the manuscript attached to this document	All the observations raised by the authors have been corrected.
Optional/General comments	The paper to a large extent conforms to the publishing guideline of this Journal outlet except for the "Reference" subsection that appears not to be consistent and in conformity with the style prescribed by this outlet. The Author(s) is/are therefore enjoined to overhaul the references subsection to be consistent through out and to conform 100% with the style prescribed by the outlet	Th references had been revised for consistency and by following the manuscript submission guidelines, all corrections had been adjusted to that. Thus, the use of number(s) rather than surname(s) of the author(s).

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	All the reviewer's observations were genuine and had been attended to in the manuscript more appropriately.