SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_SAJSSE_50365**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Subjective View of Political Officers on Social Action: Phenomenology Study of Aksi Bela Islam (ABI) 212 (Action of defending Islam) among Members of House of Representative of The Republic of Indonesia (DPR RI)**
Type of the Article	**Research result**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Comments:** **1. Weak methodology, prioritizing the subjectivity of the researcher** **2. Sampling is not random, has a tendency to the pro.** **3. The flow of thinking is not coherent, it is difficult to capture the red thread of research and its results.** **4. Novelty is lack, especially from the contribution of scientific development.**	' Corrected as suggested Done
Minor REVISION comments	**References used are less than 50 percent published in the last ten years and most are books, not journals.**	Recent references added
Optional/General comments	**Inadequate grammar so that some statements cannot be captured**	Grammatical correction made

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	
If plagiarism is suspected, please provide related proofs or web links.	**Turn it in checking result 15% (the file attach)**	Ok