| | |
|---|---|
| Journal Name: | Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms_OR_55868** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **Effect of topical proparacaine 0.5% on tropicamide- induced mydriasis** |
| Type of the Article | **Original Research Article** |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

| | **Reviewer's comment** | **Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
|---|---|---|
| **Compulsory** REVISION comments | I agree with the author(s). There is a paucity of data about the usage of topical anesthetic proparacaine for additive mydriatic effect. The topic is well and intriguing. However; There are lots of statements which are required severe redaction in the text. Medical editor and native English speaker supports are needed.<br>For example; the word "small" in the statement "small statistically significant difference" should be removed. The following sentence is confusing. "The rate of pupillary dilatation at 15 min and 30 min was reached and differ between the control and study group and was statistically significant." It can be changed as "The rate of pupillary dilatation at 15 min and 30 min was statistically significantly different between the control and study group."<br>The following sentence can be removed "There was not much difference in the pupillary diameter of both the study and the control group at 0 mins and it was not statistically significant." Instead of this sentence, the p-value can be added to the end of the previous sentence, or the following statement is enough; "difference was not statistically significant" | I agree with the reviewer and had done all the corrections and highlighted that part in the manuscript. |
| **Minor** REVISION comments | The authors have tested the second hypothesis by pressing the lacrimal duct area, or prior saline instillation. The authors should mention it in the discussion section. | I agree with the reviewer and had made all the corrections in the manuscript. |
| **Optional/General** comments | The topic of manuscript is well, however a medical editor and native English speaker supports are absolutely needed. | |

**PART 2:**

| | **Reviewer's comment** | **Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
|---|---|---|
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* | There is no ethical issue in this manuscript. |