SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Scientific Research and Reports**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JSRR_67879**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Case study : Analysis of Noise Level, Vehicle Condition and Unsafe Action on Accident Incidents of Bus Drivers at the Bus Station in Semarang City - Indonesia**
Type of the Article	**Case study**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1. Title** **Why does the title set up the "Case study?"** **I think it can change to "Analysis of Noise Level, ~" from "Case study:~".** **2. Introduction** **I understood the flow you wrote and what you want to speak, but the introduction is too short and it does not fully explain the difference with other studies and importance. For instance, why do The Type of Terminal A and B show up in the introduction? The author can need to rearrange the flow.** **3. Result and Discussion** **Before you write the whole Result and discussion, you need to explain why does the author select the variable such as noise level, Psychological conditions, and so on.** **In addition, you must depict the detailed explanation for the variable over the paper. (What does the low psychological and high mean?)** **I think it would be better to explain the variable first, present the results for the next analysis, and write the author's thoughts on it.**	1. The authors has been fixed 2. The authors has been fixed 3. The authors has been fixed
Minor REVISION comments	**There are some overlapping and incorrect sentence in the document.**	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	