SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Scientific Research and Reports**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JSRR_63907**
Title of the Manuscript:	**An overview of pre-ignition of hydrogen engine**
Type of the Article	**Review**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**-Add the references number (source for figure 1).**	Thank you for reviewing my manuscript and giving comments. I have marked the references in Figure 1. Thank you again for your review and comments
Minor REVISION comments	Add literature sources for some sections: a. Low ignition energy, b. Short quenching distance, c. Wide ignition limite	Thank you for reviewing my manuscript and giving comments. I have followed your suggestions and added references to specific parts of the manuscript. Thank you again for your review and comments
Optional/General comments	This manuscript entitled "An overview of pre-ignition of hydrogen engine" is very interesting. However, I think the references are not adequate. Kindly add more literatures to support the argument at least 30 or 30 references.	**Thank you for reviewing my manuscript and giving suggestions. I have added and modified the references based on your comments** Thank you again for your review and comments

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	No