| | |
|---|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Scientific Research and Reports |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms_JSRR_60814** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **Dating Violence among Male and Female Students at the University of Zambia** |
| Type of the Article | **Original research paper** |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

| | **Reviewer's comment** | **Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
|---|---|---|
| **Compulsory** REVISION comments | The article is a qualitative study that addresses a topic of current interest for science and for healthy coexistence in couple life in young university students, so it is pertinent and has a psychosocial impact, in which international organizations such as the WHO (2020)* call the world attention. The results found meet the stated objective. Among its results, the existence of violence by men against women during courtship in the university context stands out, but also exposes the problem of silent psychological violence by women against men and opens the possibility and need to investigate it, to understand comprehensively this type of violence experienced by young university couples and intervene for its prevention and psychoeducation. Unfortunately, the small number of participants and the manual technique of analysis of the qualitative data used weaken the consistency and generalizability of the study results, which is recognized by the authors.<br>*https://www.who.int/es/about/ethics/sexual-exploitation-abuse | |
| **Minor** REVISION comments | It is important to recommend to the authors the importance of updating the state of the art of the article (see Introduction), since in this review we have found references to results of more than 10 years of publication (see annotations in the attached manuscript). I suggest that the authors should update these references and the editors verify them, before publishing the article. | Updated the article. |
| **Optional/General** comments | | |

**PART 2:**

| | **Reviewer's comment** | **Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
|---|---|---|
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* | |