Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JSRR_60814**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Dating Violence among Male and Female Students at the University of Zambia**
Type of the Article	**Original research paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	A) ABSTRACT: I) I suggest that in the results part specify in a more direct and objective way so as not to be tiring for the reader; B) INTRODUCTION: I) The introduction is well described, which was problematized on the topic. However, I felt the need to justify the importance of the study; C) MATERIAL AND METHODS: I) Did you use qualified references for content analysis? Was it Bardin's? II) Was an instrument used to conduct the interview? Was there a guiding question?	I have updated the abstract and introductory and methods and material sections.
Minor REVISION comments	TITLE: The article has 6214 words. According to the magazine's rules it should be between 3000-6000 words (including everything) I) I suggest that the title be replaced by "violence experienced by students at the University of Zambia"; B) INTRODUCTION: I) Suggestion: replace for "its prevalence is high"; C) DISCUSSION: I One question: the magazine accepts to bring in the middle of the discussion citations by authors? II) In several paragraphs the phrase "The results of this study" is repeated a lot. I suggest replacing it with: "Besides, besides, besides this. D) CONCLUSION: I) suggest not bringing a quote at the conclusion. E) REFERENCES: Review the references, as there are some very old ones	I have modified the title and updated the relevant sections.
Optional/General comments	A) RESULTS: I) Suggestion: as it is a qualitative study, it can bring in a quantity the percentage of this type of violence experienced by university students. II) When describing an excerpt from the interviewees' statements, use "if necessary" as a suggestion. B) CONCLUSION: I) I suggest removing "The results reported, highlight"	I have modified the relevant sections.

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	