



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_54350
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Cardisoma guanhumi (land crab) extract on hematology and lungs histology in swiss mice infected with Bordetella pertussis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In introduction section: the definition of leucocytosis was written twice, delete the second one. In result section : Statistical ANOVA comparison should be deleted. The author said that the liver was removed for histopathology and blood for liver function test, where is this and for what?? all the results was haematology and histopathology for the lung. The discussion should be more organized. Conclusion should be focused on the effect of the land crab extract not what the bacteria normally do as it is known.	The manuscript has been thoroughly modified and corrected considering all the comments from the reviewer.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	