



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_54306
Title of the Manuscript:	Shelf life of millet based diabetic mix
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Temperature of oven dried operation is to be indicated -What were the percentage of the different ingredients in the mixture? - Measurement of anisidine index together with peroxide index are good to evaluate quality of dietary lipids - The discussion of the study is poor -When comparing your result with that of others authors, make sure contents of composite flour are similar. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -corrected in the text -As the mix will be commercialized, we can not disclose the per cent of ingredients used for preparation of mix -we have not assessed anisidine index -Studies with exact composition were not available
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	