



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_53413
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comparative Analysis of Local Content Policies in the North Sea and the Gulf of Guinea Regions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Put the difference between previous study and current study in the introduction. Highlight more contribution of the paper in the introduction. Since there is different challenge of LC Policy in each country, It is better to give some suggestion or policy recommendation for the weakness of the LC policy in based on each country condition. 	Agreed with the comments
Minor REVISION comments	1. There is no title for Table 1.	Agreed with the comments
Optional/General comments	The paper is carefully prepared and well organized. Therefore, the suggestion is too common, it should be difference in each country as local condition is different.	Agreed with the comments

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	