SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JSRR_52133**
Title of the Manuscript:	**ANALYSIS OF FACTORS RESPONSIBLE FOR PROJECT COST VARIATION IN ENUGU, NIGERIA**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Abstract is not in correct format. This need not be bifurcated into sub titles.** **Methodology: Table and figures need to be inferred in the text.** **Results and Discussions: Each fig or table should have a preceding text detailing the question put forth to the respondents. When large data is covered need to use word respectively which is not mentioned in inference fig1 .** **Table titles should be before the attached table and fig should be provided under the figure. Each table enclosed should also have text detailing the survey question for which the table is attached. Need not include RI in the brackets in the inference.**	The corrections pointed out has been effected except the formatting of the abstract. This is because, the reviewer wanted the authors to use block format while the journal in question make use of structured format of presentation.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	