Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JPRI_71159**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Comparing the Effectiveness of the Treatment with Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy Followed By Interval Debulking and Primary Debulking Followed By Adjuvant Chemotherapy in Advanced Stage Malignant Ovarian Tumors –Rural Based Study**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Title should be more specific and make it concise. 2. The rational and hypothesis of study is not clear from background, author need to revise it accordingly. 3. Results of the entire study should discussed comprehensively, not just what was observed or what was shown. 4. Figure legends for each figure especially for all graphical representation should define in detail. 5. Discussion should round-up with future aspects and limitations of the study.	Corrected Revision amended Done revision Corrected
Minor REVISION comments	1. Several grammatical errors should corrected while re-submitting. 2. All references should be uniformly formatted. 3. The axis labels for all graphical re-presentation should clearly indicated.	Made revision as suggested Done
Optional/General comments	1. Resolution for all figures can enhanced for better visibility and clarity.	OK

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20