Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JPRI_70576**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Study the Efficacy of Rodhradiganavasti in the Management of Sthaulya (Overweight)**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The paper has to be revised properly.** **The paper has proper flow and it's a nice work, but some revision is necessary to make the paper more appealing.** **1.** Obesity is considered a **worldwide plague:** This is not proper language to present scientific paper. The reader may find many sentences like this. **2.** The Ethical committee permission is absent. If clinical data is presented permission of institutional committee is necessary **3.** The objective can be made in one sentence. No need to repeat same thing **4.** There are many grammatical mistakes. **5. For presentation of results the graphs will be more suitable for proper representation.** **6. Statistical data presentation must be done as per international norms (Kindly read some good papers for clinical data presentation)** **7. The presentation of references is very poor. No proper format is used. Revise referencing as per guideline of journal.**	Amended the revision Correction made Done revision Revised
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20