Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JPRI_70576**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Study the Efficacy of Rodhradiganavasti in the Management of Sthaulya (Overweight)**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. In Introduction recent statistical data on Obesity needs to be incorporated 2. Important Ayurvedic technical terminologies should have the equivalent English meanings in the bracket ex Nindita purusha 3. Define niruha basti initially before using it repeatedly through out the paper 4. Club all the Objectives in a single point 5. Patient Selection from indoor and outdoor is not appropriate sentence- Name of the institution should be mentioned 6. Current treatment choices as per conventional medicine is not described in the review of literature and hence cannot be taken for discussion 7. In the reference section name of the journal need not be in capitals 8. Mode of action of drugs is highlighted but the mode of action of the Basti as a therapeutic procedure is not much highlighted- It has to be added. 9. In conclusion, recommendations for further also to be added as the number of patients is just 15, the significance obtained is not much conclusive and reliable.	Amended the revision Correction made Done revision Corrected
Minor REVISION comments	1. Introduction should be simple, complicated sentences like ''The general inescapability of strength fundamentally expanded some place in the scope of 1975 and 2016'' could be altered 2. Grammatical mistakes needs correction 3. Word spacing throughout the manuscript needs correction	OK Grammar corrected Spacing problem solved
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.
Kindly see the following link:
http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20