SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JPRI_68413**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Post Stroke Depression: Determination of Risk And Frequency Of The Depression In Stroke Patients Associated With Quality Of Life.**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The abstract not well written, the phrases contain with many grammatical errors which makes the readers difficult to understand the sentences. Some of the content in the abstract were repeated exactly in the methodology.** **All the content with many grammatical errors and certain phrases contains big letter in the middle of the sentences. The abbreviation for PSD needs to be introduced properly.** **The title of the articles needs to be revised since it was mentioned together with quality of life but in the results section only the descriptive statistics about the depression were reported.** **Suggest the title of the article to be changed.** **The discussion needs to be fixed with proper sentences and with proper grammars.**	Correction made Done Revised
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* Ethical clearance not being mentioned in the article.	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20