SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JPRI_62804**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Comparative study on antidiabetic effect of ethanolic extract of jute leaf on neonatal streptozotocin- induced Type-2 diabetic model rat**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. **INTRODUCTION: Killer:** It is a term that for the nature of the research and the importance of this journal it might be important to change. To fully assert that plants are used without any kind of scientific knowledge is a bitirresponsible, since there are numerous studies on this matter. 2. **It is important to clarify the objective of the research because here they do not describe mechanisms only results, so mentioning: to investigate the possible mechanisms of action ... implies describing complete biochemical routes, graphing the effect on the cycle of glycogenogenesis, glycogenolysis, metabolism liver, inhibition of the enzyme diepeptidases, among others, which are not demonstrated here.** 3. **METHODS: BECAUSE WITH FRESH WATER? WHERE IS THE BIBLIOGRAPHIC REFERENCE IT CAN BE USED DISTILLED WATER, DEMINERALIZED, WITHOUT IONS**	**1.**The term "Killer" has replaced by "cause of death". The statement "Without any kind of scientific knowledge" has been modified. **2.** The objective has been clarified. **3.** It has been rewritten and used fresh drinking water (source: deep tube well).
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	