Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JPRI_60870**
Title of the Manuscript:	**VAGINAL MICROBIOCENOSIS FEATURES IN HIV-POSITIVE WOMEN OF FERTILE AGE**
Type of the Article	**Review Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Specify the purpose of the work. It should reflect the results of research on the manuscript.**	Dear Sir, thanks a lot for asking and improving the quality of the manuscript. We have added the specific aim and objective of the study as well as highlighted the main observation of the study. The aim and objective of our study is to analyze the research data that has been studying the effect of HIV infection on vaginal microbiocenosis and further, to determine the differences in dysbiotic disorders in seronegative and seropositive women. We have also assessed the effect of vaginal microbiota on pre-exposure prophylaxis of HIV.
Minor REVISION comments	Arrange literary sources according to requirements. Supplement the list of references with relevant links.	Dear Sir, Thanks for the suggestion. The references have been added as per the requirement after each and every sentence.
Optional/General comments	It is desirable to present digital material in graphic or tabular form. This provides a better perception of the material.	Dear Sir, as this manuscript is based on Review paper, So we are unable to add any data in the tabular format. Thanks for the creative comments.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	None as this is Review paper.