



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_54531
Title of the Manuscript:	Relevance and epidemiology of <i>Achromobacter xylosoxidans</i> from non-respiratory tract clinical samples: a 10-year retrospective study in a tertiary-care hospital in Hungary
Type of the Article	Original Research article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript conforms to scientific guidelines and it is technically sound.</p> <p>Authors should take note of the following suggestions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Reframe manuscript title to: Isolation and Antibiotic Resistance of <i>Achromobacter xylosoxidans</i> from non-respiratory.....</li> <li>2. Abstract is well written but few grammatical and typographical errors.</li> <li>3. Introduction is OK.</li> <li>4. Methodology is OK. Please review and check for errors.</li> <li>5. Please convert all results interpretations to tables and figures. Only the way you present it is not acceptable.</li> <li>6. Results should be discussed sequentially as presented.</li> <li>7. References and in-text citations should be arranged according to the journal guidelines.</li> </ol>	<p>We thank the reviewer for taking the time to assessing the suitability of our paper for publication and for your constructive comments. The manuscript has been thoroughly revised to avoid any typographical errors, as the reviewer has requested.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Thank you for your suggestion, the title has been amended.</li> <li>2. Thank you for your comment.</li> <li>3. Thank you for your comment.</li> <li>4. Thank you for your comment.</li> <li>5. The authors agree that tables and figures in publications like this may highlight the results better for the readership. However, in our case (due to the length of the paper) and the relatively low amount of isolates over the study period, the authors decided against the introduction of new figures or tables in the paper.</li> <li>6. Thank you for your comment. This has been amended. In addition, a separate limitations section and a list of abbreviations were also added.</li> <li>7. The authors use the correct citation format required in the paper.</li> </ol>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	