SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JPRI_52308**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Parent's attitude, knowledge and Belief of Child's Fever managements in Al-Qassim - Saudi Arabia**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	I suggest you follow Author Guidelines: 1. Methods = Materials and Methods 2. In the text references must be indicated in square brackets. 3. Figure 1 and table 3 may be deleted and the information written in the text 4. There are two table 4. Is this correct? 5. Avoid repetition of "%" in each row of tables, referring only to the top row. 6. References should be presented in a standardized manner according to Journal	We deeply thank and Gratefully respect the pointed comments. We are sure that the added comments make our manuscript clearer. We have followed all your nice comments and changed the manuscript accordingly. All changes were highlighted in yellow. 1. Methods changed to Material and Methods 2. All text references were indicated in square brackets 3. Figure 1 and table 3 were deleted and the information were written in the text 4. Here we specially thank your critical point that might confuse the reader. So, we have merged table (5) with table (4) as they both deal with the practice regarding fever management and after deletion of table 3 the table number has been changed in to table (3). 5. The comment has been followed and % was referred only to the top row in tables. 6. Reference were revised and checked again.
Optional/General comments	This is an interesting article on the topic "phobia fever". I believe that the authors should make the suggested modifications and that the publication will contribute to a better understanding of this important subject in child health.	We happily thank your critical review and valuable comments which positively modify our manuscript.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*

SDI Review Form 1.6

Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	- This research was approved by the Qassim University – Subcommittee of Health Research Ethics, under the Ethical approval number 01/09/2018. - A signed consent covering all the important points regarding the research was obtained before the survey. - The Ethical approval document will be attached with the revised manuscript

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20