



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_52271
Title of the Manuscript:	Implementation of Stress Ulcer Prophylaxis (SUP) in an Intensive Care Unit (ICU)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Abstract not subdivided into introduction, aims, methods, result and conclusion</li> <li>2. It is not even clear what the authors intend to study, whether they are comparing enteral versus intravenous route or comparing PPI's with others like H2 blockers or whether omeprazole is being compared with others</li> <li>3. No proper groups have been defined</li> <li>4. Grammatical and language errors throughout</li> <li>5. Text is very confusing and disjointed, not at all explanatory</li> <li>6. The results are not supported appropriately in discussion</li> <li>7. Tables are just about the demographic data and study protocol. Results obtained, if any have not been shown in tables</li> <li>8. Paper has no written conclusion</li> <li>9. The paper doesn't convey any consolidated message. It looks like just random copying and pasting</li> </ol>	The manuscript has been modified as per the suggestion.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	