Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JPRI_52271**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Implementation of Stress Ulcer Prophylaxis (SUP) in an Intensive Care Unit (ICU)**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Manuscript with scientific relevance; I suggest these adjustments for material improvement:** **1) Material And Method: From line 57 there is no description of the method used to achieve the study objectives. This point is critical to understanding the work done. Include methodological design, research period, criteria for inclusion of study participants.** **1.1) Line 65 to 74 should be redirected to study protocol.** **2) *Study protocol*: Define what would be high risk criteria present in line 86.** **Lines 90 to 94 concern results analysis and statistics and not the study protocol. I suggest creating the subtitle "Analysis of results and statistics" and describing this information.** **3) Results: In the first paragraph of the results item there is the quotation of "study requirements" (line 98), but there is no description of what these requirements are. Perform the inclusion.** **4) Conclusion: include this item with the conclusions obtained by the researchers through the results presented.**	The manuscript has been thoroughly modified
Minor REVISION comments	**1) Abstract: Structure the abstract into objective, method, results, and conclusion because the way the summary is presented makes reading tiresome and presentation confusing to the reader.**	
Optional/General comments	_____	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	