SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JOCAMR_59028**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Effect of a Slow-Stroke Back Massage Combination and Frangipani Essential Oils Against the Comfort of Menopause Sexual Relationship**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1- Introduction needs revision.** **2- Methodology should contain all mandatory parts which are explained in detail on reviewed copy of MS** **3- Results and discussion need substantial revision, explained on reviewed copy** I have read the manuscript and reviewed the manuscript. It has some very serious issues like poor discussion and results. considering the journal acceptability ratio, accept it after extensive revision. in current form it is not acceptable for any journal. I am sharing you the reviewed copy of the manuscript.	1. The installation has been improved, and is more concise 2. The methodology has been improved according to the advice of the reviewer 3. Results and Discussion have been revised and expanded according to suggestions I have already understood and improved the reviewers' suggestions, I admit there were wrong results, I have not corrected the actual ones yet
Minor REVISION comments	Grammatical and format should be revised few marked in manuscript reviewed copy	I have carefully grammar and format it and correct it as suggested
Optional/General comments	Reference style should be uniform according to the guidelines	Reference styles have been uniformed according to the guidelines

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* Methodology the reference number and date of approval	I have written down the methodology for reference numbers and approval dates.

SDI Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20