SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JOCAMR_56559**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Factors favoring non-compliance of treatment to People living with HIV/AIDS in General Reference Hospital of Gbadolite, Nord Ubangi, Democratic Republic of the Congo**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The intention behind the article is satisfactory, however, the write up, research methods, use of terms is not satisfactory. The article fails to imply what is being researched and connect different sections of the same. Some important observations are: Basis for 'non-compliance' is not sound, needs to be supported with either theory or medical facts. Please cite the full form of the abbreviation, where it first appears, to eradicate ambiguity in reading. No clarity about ART and PLWHA, neither the full forms nor the purpose they serve in this work. Computation of sample size is irrelevant when entire population size is 379. There is mismatch between duration suggested in Abstract and specified in RM. The article can be published, provided the author rewrites the Introduction to include description of ART and PLWHA.	The manuscript has been thoroughly modified
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	