SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JOCAMR_56559**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Factors favoring non-compliance of treatment to People living with HIV/AIDS in General Reference Hospital of Gbadolite, Nord Ubangi, Democratic Republic of the Congo**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Please: declare if there is any conflict of interest in the article. Write the reference number of ethic committee.	
Minor REVISION comments	In the abstract, it is better to write words in complete form not abbreviation.	
Optional/General comments	Dear Managing Editor, I went through the article and found some points as below: 1. The title and abstract was good. 2. In the abstract, ART better to write completely. 3. Introduction, background and importance of the study well described. 4. In the methodology section, methods were well described, but it is better to mention precision of the study. 5. In the discussion, it is better to analyze and describe result more critically to wider understanding of the problem. Thank you	The manuscript has been thoroughly modified

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	