SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JGEESI_53979**
Title of the Manuscript:	**HOUSING SITUATION IN THE BAMENDA URBAN SPACE, CHALLENGES AND THE WAY FORWARD**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The study examines the nature of housing and focused on urbanization and urban growth as the major challenge to adequate housing development in Bamenda Urban Space 1. The abstract has not introduced the housing situation by way of problematizing the study. 2. While the study has indicated that questionnaires were administered to 372 respondents, it fails to specify the population size from which the sample was drawn from. 3. The researchers opines that housing situation is a function of the income of the inhabitants but has not provided the income distribution of the inhabitants.	Correction made in the manuscript Done the correction
Minor REVISION comments	1. Edit citation under author 13. 2. Map 1 is not clear therefore does not communicate. 3. The description of the study area has focussed largely on physical features and discussed very little of human settlement and employment. 4. Edit 3.3 5. The basis for surveying only households who have lived in Bamenda should be explained 6. The distribution of questionnaire should have shown the sample frame which outlines sample size as a proportion of the population.	All correction have been done Done Done Done
Optional/General comments	Accepted with above corrections	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her*

		feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	