Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	**2019_JESBS_52595**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Constraints and suggestions expressed by the farmers in availing Crop Insurance Schemes in Northern Karnataka**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The manuscript overall needs slight polishing of the Engish language, ideally by a native English speaker, particularly phrases such as:** **"The study was conducted Karnataka State"** **"adverse climatic factors like drought, flood and storm"** **"he/she has not to seek loan from a private moneylender"** **"crop insurance bill in 1965 and expert committee headed by Dharam Narian report denying crop insurance scheme in 1971"** **"The reason may be, while conducting Crop Cutting Experiments line department and bank officials are not participate properly due to their pre-occupied work."** **Words such as "taluks", "Lakhs", "Kharif crops", "Rabi crops", "line department", "hobli" and "Panchayat" should be defined upon first mention** **References should be numbered consecutively in square brackets in the text and listed accordingly in the reference list** **"per cent" should be replaced by "%" throughout the text** **Under the formula, "Nj" is not defined** **"Trice" should be replaced by "Three times" throughout the text** **Information about data collecton is missing: Did all 240 farmers chosen respond? Where there incomplete answeres? How was the questionnaire administered? Did the farmers fill in the answers by themselves?**	. Grammatical errors corrected sir Defined sir Corrected sir Corrected sir "Nj" defined Corrected sir Sampling procedure explained in detail sir By using interview method data collected.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	