SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Engineering Research and Reports**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JERR_66645**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Addressing Delamination through Advanced Semiconductor Die Design**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The article is very well done, on a theme that has not been addressed much. However, the article contains a total of 8 references, but in a single paragraph, 1 °, the author uses 5 references at once. Thus, the work is poorly based, and brings doubts to the reader. I suggest that the author work more on this point, inserting more references, relevant, and updated, from 2016 to 2021;** **The article contains some typos in the English language, so I suggest that the authors look for a translator, or use software, such as Grammarly, to improve this topic.**	Noted. We've included additional relevant and current references. We've done additional editing and proof-reading. Thank you so much for your inputs.
Minor REVISION comments	**I suggest that you improve the abstract of the work, it must contain between 150-250 words;** **I suggest choosing the keywords better, it must contain between 3-5 words;**	Noted on this. We've added few discussions. Added keywords to make it 5. Thank you very much.
Optional/General comments	**Improve image resolutions;**	Noted. We've enhanced some of the figures.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	