



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_53157
Title of the Manuscript:	How effectively Value Engineering Practices are being implemented in Qatar on Infrastructure Projects
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>i) The research is focusing of the effectiveness of VE implementation in the Qatar construction. Therefore the author should define the term of effectiveness in VE i.e. cost reduction, time reduction or etc.</p> <p>ii) Should briefly explain on how the questionnaire and interview were developed. The validation of instrument is every important in order to ensure getting correct data.</p>	<p>i) I have modified the manuscript by adding information highlighted in yellow</p> <p>ii) Modified and highlighted with yellow as suggested.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	As overall comment the manuscript is considered good, however provided comments should be improved and explained clearly.	Please review and let me know if further amendments are required.