SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JENRR_52643**
Title of the Manuscript:	**A BRIEF STUDY OF AN INSTALLATION OF A ROOFTOP SOLAR PV SYSTEM IN INDIA**
Type of the Article	**Short Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:
(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**There are no compulsory revision comments.** **Excellent manuscript.**	
Minor REVISION comments	Suggestion to the authors to re-check spelling and grammar (although there are very few mistakes).	**The manuscript has been modified**
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is very well organized and written. The subject is extremely important and up-to date, especially in the view of developing countries that are in great need for electrical energy. PV system design is carefully planned, step by step, from the site evaluation, preliminary design, load calculation, design, installation and cost estimation. All of these steps present a great contribution from the engineering standpoint, and make actual installation much easier, thus providing application of more such PV systems. The title and the abstract are coherent and appropriate to the content of the paper, and the paper as a whole is very well structured and clear. In my opinion, the paper should be published in its present form (with the above suggestion about spelling and grammar).	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	