SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JENRR_51530**
Title of the Manuscript:	**A Comparative Life Cycle Assessment of Energy Use in Major Agro – processing Industries in Nigeria**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments Materials & methods: Results & discussion: Conclusion: References:	The author makes an excellent diagnosis of the energy matrix of the region studied, using standardized and high reliability tools. The results were presented and discussed clearly and consistently. The conclusions show the importance of a good power distribution network maintenance program, as well as the need to search for energy sources that help in the preservation of the environment. References provide good support for the present work.	Thank you sir.
Minor REVISION comments	No comments.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** ***(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)***
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	***(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)***	