Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JEMT_53670**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Services Sector FDI-Growth Nexus in Nigeria: Does Liberalization Policy Matters?**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1. Highlight the Gaps, Objectives, and Methodology in Abstract.** **2. Old Citations please update** **3. Point 2, Page 3, data until 2016 only** **4. Please mention how much the currency exchange rate compared to USD** **5. Justify why some of the causalities in table 8 rejected** **6. CBN reference not comlplete**	RESPONSES TO THE COMMENTS RAISED 1. The gaps, objectives and methodology have been highlighted in both in the Abstract and in the main manuscript. 2. The references have been updated to suit following some of the published papers in your journal. 3. The data under Point 2 (section 2), Page 3 has been effected. It should be 1981-2018 and 2007-2018. 4. Presently, the exchange rate in Nigeria is ₦360/US$1. 5. The rejection in Table 8 shows evidence that some of the explanatory variables used in the analysis do not actually granga cause the explained variable (RGDP). This implies that in the Nigeria context, it was inferred that the growth of Nigerian economy is only influenced by services sector FDI, CPI and employment opportunity (SEREM). However, other explanatory variables do not influence the growth of Nigeria. 6. CBN reference is completely written.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		The results of this study have also been compared with previous studies and all are highlighted. Conclusion section has been rewritten.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	**No**