SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Experimental Agriculture International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JEAI_53654**
Title of the Manuscript:	**INFLUENCE OF POULTRY MANURE RATES ON THE GROWTH AND YIELD OF OKRA (Abelmochus esculentus (L.) Moench) IN RIVERS STATE**
Type of the Article	Original research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	I will be honored to review this manuscript. I have read it. The work need major revisions in methodology. As they have applied poultry manure to enhance yield of Okra. But in my opinion poultry manure may carry lots of contaminants e.g. nitrates, POPs etc, so there should be analyses for poultry manure as well as soil and under ground waters for that area. secondly author has presented results in tables and in discussion section he has explained those results (table) in detail. If table is given then there is no need to utilize space in describing then again in discussion. so if we remove that section of discussion then we will be hardly left with few lines of discussion. Lastle, if you look at the table, the author has calculated the means of three treatments. This is no way to manipulate data.	Thanks for the review, observations and comments. Observation one. There is soil analysis in the article, there may be no need for underground water and poultry manure analysis, because the soil analysis has taken care of the nutrients present in the soil. Observation two. This is the pattern of the university, because it makes an agronomist and a non-agronomist to understand the results on the table. Those who may find it difficult to comprehend the results on the table will understand it better in the discussion section. Therefore, it makes the work palatable for all.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	