Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JEAI_53471**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Effectiveness of Azospirillum brasilense inoculants to wheat (Triticum aestivum) in the micro-region of Curitibanos (SC).**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**It would be very useful to add the main recommendations in the abstract section.** **I recommend the authors to present the novelty of this research compared to previous research.** **The Literature Section is missing.** **The authors must develop the conclusions and add the recommendations.** Major problem with references: **Review all references for consistency according to the Journal Citation style**	The manuscript has been modified and revised
Minor REVISION comments	Minor spelling and editorial mistakes should be addressed.	
Optional/General comments	In general, a very good and attractive subject. The authors were very clear in their research plan. The introduction is appropriate and uses the appropriate references. The methodology is appropriate. The results are clear, well presented appropriate to support the discussion about the objectives of the paper. Generally, the manuscript is technically sound and sustains insightful remarks, it can be published after the consideration of the review comments suggested.	

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	