Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Cancer and Tumor International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JCTI_70609**
Title of the Manuscript:	**ANALYSIS OF PATIENTS UNDERGOING SPLENECTOMY FOR SPLEEN MASSES**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The conclusions to this report were presented in a confusing way. It is unclear thus far what the actual aim of this report is. It should be stated both in the introduction and conclusions. There are useful data presented in Tables 1 and 2. However, the way the authors introduced and concluded the report does not give the reader the idea of the clinical importance and usefulness of the presented data. I suggest the authors try to engage the reader with the significance of the statistical data reported.	1: introduction part revised and extended 2: The purpose part has been edited both in the introduction and in the manuscript.
Minor REVISION comments	I suggest a spelling/semantics check throughout the manuscript. Please provide the clarification of the abbreviations the first time they appear in the manuscript – SANT, table 1.	1: The manuscript was checked for English spelling/meaning. 2: Clarification of abbreviations are indicated when they first appear – SANT, (in the introduction)
Optional/General comments		Thank you for your comments

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	