Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Cancer and Tumor International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JCTI_70609**
Title of the Manuscript:	**ANALYSIS OF PATIENTS UNDERGOING SPLENECTOMY FOR SPLEEN MASSES**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	In this manuscript the authors analyse patients undergoing splenectomy for spleen masses. The topic is very interesting and may be useful for clinicians, radiologists, and surgeons. It would be welcomed if radiological imaging (CT, MRI, PET) as well as microscopic imaging were included in the text; since imaging findings are highly recommended. English language is quite acceptable and minor spell checks are required. English proofreading may be advisable. The study has some limitations already highlighted by authors.	1: When planning the study, radiological imaging was not considered due to the diversity of the cases. No radiologist was included in the ethics committee approval. For these reasons, we regret that we cannot include radiological imaging at this time. 2: The manuscript was checked for English spelling/meaning and grammar.
Minor REVISION comments	There are few orthographic mistakes (such as "one patients" in the results section). Besides, sometimes, some digits are written both in numbers and in letters in the same sentence. This following author guideline is not respected (In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3].)	1: Checked and corrected misspelled sentences and words 2: The reference numbers in the text were arranged according to the guideline. 3: Increased number of references
Optional/General comments		Thank you for your comments

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	