Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JAMPS_59905**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Estimating glomerular filtration rate using Full Age Spectrum equation to assess kidney function in Hypertensive patients: a cross-sectional study**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Abstract.** In the results, it is stated that differences have been found between the hypertensive patients and the controls without sufficient details. Details with markers levels should be added. Moreover, the conclusion should be rewritten to highlight the main real findings of the study. **Introduction**. A paragraph related to FAS 2017 equation should be added before the aim of the study. **M&M**. I think the study is a case-control study. With regard to table 02, controls and cases exhibit important differences in age. Is there a mistake in the age of controls 25 or 52?!!! Correct if possible. Statistical analysis should be added to M&M Table 01. Add references to each equation **Results.** Results of table 03 should be more detailed in this section. The authors should highlight the important findings and differences found between the two groups. **Discussion.** The 1st and 2nd paragraph report theoretical concepts and are long. They should be rewritten to be shorter.-+ "In the present study, we found that eGFR levels were low …… the previous published data." Add references and compare their findings with the present study. Scientific explanations should be added to highlight the main findings The usefulness of FAS equation in comparison to other equations should be discussed. **Conclusion** This section is not an abstract and therefore should not contain numerical values. The conclusion should be rewritten to highlight the main findings and the perspectives related to the use of FAS equation. **Language and style.** The manuscript needs language editing. English should be improvd and spelling errors should be corrected.	We sincerely thank you for appreciating our efforts for completing targets of this study. Corrected as per suggestion
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	