SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JAMMR_55855**
Title of the Manuscript:	**LYMPHOCYTE TRANSFORMATION OF HEPATITIS B VIRUS INFECTED PREGNANT WOMEN, ATTENDING SPECIALIST HOSPITAL SOKOTO.**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**-NO-**	
Minor REVISION comments	1. If the journal's manuscript style does not specifically prescribe, objectives written on the page 3 and recommendations mentioned on page 13 of the manuscript should be written in the form of a paragraph, instead of enlisting them point wise. 2. Lines 4, Page 1. ABSTARCT section: "assess" instead of "access". 3. Line 10, Page 2, INTRODUCTION: "responses" instead of "response" and "acts" instead of "act". 4. Lin 2, Page 4, STUDY POPULATION: year in which the samples were collected and the study was carried out should be written after the word "October". 5. Line 1, Page 5, Exclusion criteria: Why the HEV test not included in the exclusion criteria? 6. Lines 11 to 14, Page 5: Better to avoid these repetitive sentences as already have been mentioned on the same page under the heading of 'LYMPHOCYTE TRANSFORMATION ASSAY". 7. Line 11, Page 8: "In the Post hoc using LSD" needs to be clarified and elaborated. 8. Line 4, Page 9: "indicate" instead of "indicate".	Noted Corrected Corrected Noted Modified Done Done
Optional/General comments	**-NO-**	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	