Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JAMMR_54420**
Title of the Manuscript:	**OCULAR PRESENTATIONS OF SICKLE CELL DISEASE PATIENTS IN A NIGERIAN HOSPITAL**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Abstract:** Delete the short title under the main title **Introduction** 2nd to last paragraph > delete "Treatment ~~is~~ of sickle cell retinopathy is geared ..." **Methodology:** 1st paragraph 2nd line > delete "University Teaching Hospital Amaku Awka, ~~is~~ a State Government owned ..." What is the duration of the study? 8 months from when to when? Last line of 2nd paragraph> "as well as" was repeated twice. Delete one. 3rd paragraph > examination was spelt wrongly. Correct it. Was there ethical approval given for the study? No mention of that was made. **Results:** Delete all the lines in all the tables except the upper and lower border the heading and the lower border of the table. Table 1: Write the full meaning of HLE under table 1. Within table 1, HLE None write frequency and percentage as: 0 (0%). Total frequency in table 1 under age group added up to 100.1% instead of 100%, please correct. Three lines before Table 2: Change "4 (14.2%) patients..." to "Four (14.2%) patient..." Table 3: write the full meaning of "HLE" immediately under Table 3. **Discussion:** 4th paragraph: "Retinal vascular tortuosity is a common finding ~~in~~ on fundal examination." Delete in as shown. .Rephrase this statement ie last sentence on the 4th paragraph: "SCD retinopathy was common in patients above 30 years (P value= 0.03)" as: "SCD retinopathy was common in patients above 30 years and this was found to be statistically significant" **References:** "Reference" change to plural: "References" The numbering in the references should not be in superscript. The Authors should stick to one referencing style depending on the one that is approved by the journal. There are combination of Vancouver, Harvard and APA referencing style. Ref 1 and 10: The textbooks were not properly referenced. The names of editors were missing. Delete Chpt and write page number. Refer to Vancouver referencing style for Textbooks. Ref 13: Name of the journal missing. Ref 22, 23 and 29: APA referencing style where the year comes immediately after the last author. Change to Vancouver referencing style. Ref 25: Abbreviate the journal. Ref 26 : Delete "and" before the last author. Stick to Vancouver referencing style. Ref 31: 5 authors before et al instead of 6. Change the last sentence to have the same font size with others.	Short title has been deleted Is has been deleted Statement has been corrected Duration of study has been added. Duplicated statement has been deleted Wrong spelling has been corrected I am afraid some of the lines on the tables cannot be removed. It is either there are all there or all removed. Full meaning of HLE has been written Done Corrected 'Four' has been added Done Error has been corrected The statement has been rephrased. Correction made

		References have been removed from subscript Corrected and written in Vancouver style. Ref 13 is an article cited from the internet which could be gotten from this link as we indicated : http://sickle.bwh.harvard edu/eye. This has been corrected Done Done Done
Minor REVISION comments	Accept with minor corrections.	Thank you
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	