



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_53831
Title of the Manuscript:	Geriatric research in Saudi Arabia: A Bibliometric Analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This manuscript gives an important contribution to the approach of geriatric healthcare in countries that till now have not yet had this spam of population as main target. There are some points in the manuscript that could be improved.</p> <p>Methods: The authors should include information about the languages of the abstracts and publication that their retrieved.</p> <p>Discussion: The authors point out different areas where there is a lack of works, do they know or suspect what is the reason for this?</p>	<p>This was clearly mentioned under the selection criteria in methods section: Articles only written in English... etc.</p> <p>One sentence has been added in the discussion. Conclusions were updated as well.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Results: Table 3. The meaning of the abbreviations PHC and MOH should be included</p> <p>All over the text the authors use the word popular, but in the meaning that they use it, I think that frequent would be more appropriate.</p>	<p>Addressed.</p> <p>Addressed.</p>
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	Not applicable.